Concordia Seminary - Saint Louis

Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary

Bachelor of Divinity

Concordia Seminary Scholarship

6-1-1954

Evaluation of the RSV on the basis of First Peter

Stephen R. Brusco Jr Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, irishwomanbrusco2274@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv



Part of the Religious Thought, Theology and Philosophy of Religion Commons

Recommended Citation

Brusco, Stephen R. Jr, "Evaluation of the RSV on the basis of First Peter" (1954). Bachelor of Divinity. 882. https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv/882

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Concordia Seminary Scholarship at Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bachelor of Divinity by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. For more information, please contact seitzw@csl.edu.

EVALUATION OF THE RSV ON THE BASIS OF FIRST PETER

A Thesis Presented to the Faculty of Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, Department of Exegetical Theology in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Divinity

by

Stephen R. Brusco, Jr.

June 1956

Approved by Martin H. Bharleus

Reader

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Manufacture of the American Manufact Vision on Appendix	age
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MATTERS OF INTERPRETATION	8
III.	MATTERS INVOLVING POINTS OF STYLE AND ACCURACY	28
IV.	SOME SPECIFIC POINTS OF GRAMMAR	45
v.	CONCLUSION	56
BIBLIOGR	APHY	60

and norw apparate in translation than ony provious and, common of the

Total N. Valeta, Controls to Introduction to the Revised Standard Species of the Revised Standard Standard of the Revised Standard Standard of the Section Sec

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The American Revised Version of the Bible was published in 1901.

This was a revision of the King James Version of 1611. The Revised

Standard Version claims in turn to be a revision of the American Standard Version.

1

The copyright of the American Standard Version was transferred from the Thomas Nelson & Sons Company to the International Council of Religious Education in 1928. In 1937 the committee which had been placed in charge of the text and all further necessary revisions was given the authorization to make a completely new revision. The Committee, which divided itself into two parts, Old and New Testaments, set out upon its task, and in 1946 the New Testament was published. The Year 1951 marked the completion of the Old Testament, and in that year authorization was made by the National Council of the Churches of Christ in the U.S.A. to publish the Revised Standard Version, Old and New Testaments.

On the jacket of one of the 1946 copies were found these words by the publisher, "The result, critics agree, is a Version of the New Testament more accurate in translation than any previous and, because of its

The Holy Bible, Revised Standard Version (Toronto, New York, Edinburgh: Thomas Nelson & Sons, 1952), p. 111.

Luther N. Weigle, Chairman, An Introduction to the Revised Standard Version of the New Testament, by the Members of the Revision Committee (N.S.A.: n.p., C. 1946), pp. 10, 11.

The Holy Bible, Revised Standard Version, pp. IV, V.

beautiful modern English, more useful, understandable, and pleasurable to the twentieth-century reader.³ In contrast to this Carl McIntire declares in a small pamphlet examining some of the critical passages, "It is evident that such fundamental changes have been made that it would be nothing short of a calamity of infinite proportions if the book should be accepted by the English-speaking world, and replace the King James Version."

Thus it is evident that this version has caused considerable controversy and difference of opinion. Therefore thorough and objective study is meeded to determine the adequacy of this version, to ascertain whether it is true and accurate to the spirit of the original Greek text, to see whether it measures up to the claims made for it by the committee and publishers, to investigage whether or not this new version is usable in public worship and private devotions. The present study is an effort in this direction. It is an evaluation of the RSV on the basis of the First Epistle of Peter to determine how faithful the revisers have been in bringing out what the Greek actually says. It is not an attempt to argue for an all-out acceptance of the version, nor does it have as its goal merely to point out reasons why the RSV must be rejected. Instead it seeks to be an objective study, pointing out some high spots of the translation and some low, indicating instances where the RSV makes a passage quite lucid and clear and also passages where the rendering is

Quoted from Oswald T. Allis, Revision or New Translation? "The Revised Standard Version of 1946" A Comparative Study (Philadelphia: The Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Company, 1948), p. 4.

Should Not Accept It (Revised edition; Collingswood, N. J.: Christian n.d.) p. 3.

not adequate. At the same time the study will point up the fact that at times the translator or reviser has a difficult task before him because of the nature of the passage he is trying to render, and that his work, therefore, must be approached with sympathy and understanding.

This work is not intended to be an exhaustive study on First Peter.

Instead it seeks to point up some of the more noteworthy instances and to give a sampling of what is there found.

In some instances in the body of the study comparison of the RSV with some version was necessary. In these instances the KJV was used rather than the American Standard Version. A quick comparison of the RSV with the ASV will show that very many of the improvements which the RSV has over the text of the KJV were already incorporated into the text of the ASV. However, since the ASV is not commonly used, these improvements are not really available to the average person; consequently, for all practical purposes, they are not too beneficial or valuable.

It might be well to mention some of the principles which guided the RSV translators. These can be ascertained from "An Introduction to the Revised Standard Version of the New Testament," written by various members of the committee.

One of these principles involves the rendering of certain factors in the New Testament which stem from a Semitic background. Millar Burrows, who has a chapter on this in the booklet, declares that the Committee felt that its task was to render what was actually in the Greek text and not to "reconstruct any document or tradition" which served as a background for the New Testament. Thus, for example, in rendering

Weigle, op. cit., p. 22.

New Testament quotations from the Hebrew Old Testament or the Septuagint, translation into English was made on the basis of the New Testament Greek rather than the Hebrew or Septuagint source, in accordance
with the above principle. Also certain Jewish expressions, such as
"kingdom of heaven" or "Son of man" were not changed or paraphrased, but
translated literally. However, in cases where the New Testament gave
different spellings or different forms of Old Testament characters, the
Old Testament form of the name was retained. In the present revision
certain Semitic influences have gone through what Burrows terms a "process of de-Semitization." One illustration of what he means is the
rendering of Jewish expressions depicting the seat of the emotions into
modern equivalents.

Another principle involves textual variants and the use of manuscripts. Frederick C. Grant points out that the principle which guided the revisers was an eclectic principle, i.e., not following slavishly any one manuscript or received text, but rather examining each reading closely on its own individual merit. Thus neither the Westcott-Hort text, nor the Nestle text, nor the Souter text was followed exclusively. However, Grant points out that in most cases the readings will be found in the seventeenth edition of Nostle, printed in Stuttgart in 1941.

rehipy and to be in the d

⁶ Thid., pp. 25, 26.

⁷ Ibid., pp. 22, 23.

⁸ Toid., pp. 23, 24.

⁹ Ibid., p. 28.

¹⁰ Ibid., pp. 28, 29.

¹¹ mid., p. 11.

Another principle involved the rendering of the Greek text itself, its vocabulary, its phraseology, its idioms and style. Henry J. Cadbury points out that while new discoveries in the meaning of words and phrases have not been too many in quantity and should therefore, not be exaggerated, nevertheless, some changes were required in the way of muances or shades of meaning or in some grammatical areas. 12 More basic, however, was the revisers' principle to render the Greek into everyday English that people speak today, into language they understood. The translation was to be more in the vernacular vein than in the high literary, just as the New Testament was written in Koine Greek. Edgar J. Goodspeed writes in his chapter thus. "The New Testament then calls for a direct, familiar style in translation; an elaborate, elegant style is unsuited to it, and in proportion as it is rendered in a conscious literary style, it is misrepresented to the modern reader." And Henry J. Cadbury writes, "The translator can quite conscientiously attempt an English that is as contemporary to himself as the Creek is now recogniged to have been contemporary to the first Christian Authors."

Luther A. Weigle elaborates further on the English used in the New Revised Version. He declares that the revisers were directed by the International Council of Religious Education, which was the group that authorized the new version, that the new version be "designed for use in public and private worship, and to be in the direction of the

¹² Toid., pp. 44-52.

¹³ Tbid., p. 33.

¹⁴Tbid., p. 52.

simple, classic English style of the King James Version."15 Weigle observes that this was understood to mean, not that the committee was to "return to the errors and archaic language of the King James Version, but rather that they were charged to recover its simplicity and directness." Weigle maintains that these qualities had not been maintained in the English and American revisions. Thus the committee felt that its responsibility was to the use of words and phrases that no longer mean today what they did in the seventeenth century. 17 A style of English was aimed at which would direct the attention of the reader or hearer not primarily to the words themselves, but to the thought behind the words. 18 A basic principle, Weigle maintains, was that the Bible be put into English that is "euphonius, readable, and suited for the use in public and private worship."19 It aimed to avoid combinations of words or letters that might be harsh and unpleasant. And it was to be rendered with the need of private and public worship in mind. Walter Bowie states, "This translation—it is hoped—may enlighten private study; but also, and more importantly, it is offered for use in public worship n20

This study is divided into three parts. The first will deal with matters pertaining to interpretation, or which affect the meaning of a

¹⁵ Quoted from ibid., p. 53.

¹⁶ Ibid.

¹⁷ Ibid., pp. 54-56.

¹⁸ Ibid., pp. 66, 67.

¹⁹ Ibid., p. 57.

²⁰ Ibid., pp. 59, 60.

passage. The second will deal with style and matters of accuracy, but which do not have a serious effect on the meaning of the passage. The third will deal with some specific points of grammar.

In some of the passages cited, the Greek text is given. Often this is followed immediately by the RSV rendering. In cases where only an English rendering is given, the rendering is that of the RSV unless otherwise indicated.

their test has been and or the court of the special state of

Proposition interested or the Grount namedians. In your cases there in a pro-

Differentiate or need presidention different short a next my be used

diller thinker me sole for recordality as to pert less a cold of Mades

of symbol to at these in trying to wanter a cortain classics. The file

Booker, Therefore, to on membership of particle executes designing

and here attended and fattlebiller the 207 percentile which the

CHAPTER II

only the Married of the Passesse Trap asserted by

MATTERS OF INTERPRETATION

In this chapter matters which involve the meaning or the interpretation of certain passages will be discussed. This will entail an examination on how closely and faithfully the RSV represents which the Greek text has to say. It will deal specifically with content material in First Peter. There are many passages where the RSV brings out the meaning in a decidedly outstanding and superior way. In other passages the translation has not been so fortunate, and the translation fails to reproduce accurately the Greek meaning. In some cases there is a problem on how a passage should be rendered, leaving a certain amount of room for opinion and individual judgment. Certain difficulties involved, difficulties or word connotation, different ways a word may be used in different books of the Bible or in secular literature, or perhaps other factors may make for uncertainty as to just how a word or phrase is being used or just what the mance or shade of meaning is. Instances in this latter category will help show the difficulty that a translator or reviser has at times in trying to render a certain passage. The following, therefore, is an examination of certain passages depicting matters of interpretation.

When derive Selays, The Pieck Printle of St. Pater (Second

Ministration 15, 17 per 100

Passages in Which the RSV Gives a Very Fine Translation,
Bringing out the Meaning of the Passage Very Accurately
and True to the Original Intention of the Greek Text

1:2 <u>Kata Toorvword Ocal Tayous</u>: "destined by God the Father."

The RSV is closer to the meaning than the KIV's rendering, "according to the <u>foreknowledge</u> of God the Father." Selwyn points out that the preposition <u>Too</u> in the word signifies that God's <u>proofs</u> is not simple knowledge, but it has to do with his "eternal counsel"; it involves

His choosing and calling people.

the word Treated Treated: "sanctified by the Spirit." Here the word Treated agree. Beare points out that in this verse reference is made to the Trinitarian formula used in baptism. The RSV brings out this reference to the Holy Spirit much more clearly than the KJV's rendering, "through sanctification of the Spirit." The KJV's rendering can be taken to mean the same thing. In fact it indicates that this is the meaning by capitalizing the word "Spirit." However, one must have the words before him in order to realize this. If he were listening to the passage in church, this meaning would not be quite so clear.

1:3 "we have been born answ to a <u>living</u> home," and also in 2:5 "and like <u>living</u> stones be yourselves built into a spiritual house." Here in both instances the RSV translation of the participle <u>40 our</u> is much

Edward Gordon Selwyn, The First Epistle of St. Peter (Second edition; London: MacMillan & Co., Ltd., 1955), p. 119.

Francis Wright Bears, The First Epistle of Peter (Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1947), p. 50.

better than the KJV's rendering, "lively," The word "lively" does not mean the same thing as the word "living."

trials." The RSV uses the word "trials" for the Greek Telegrapis over against the KJV's rendering of the word as "temptations." This seems to be truer to the meaning of Peter. Bigg points out that when Paul wants to indicate what we mean by the word "temptation" he uses the terms and or Etilbulia, whereas Peter uses the word 'the word

The adjective <u>Motives</u> also seems to be more accurately rendered by the RSV as "various" rather than by the KJV's "manifold." The English word "manifold" has included in it the idea, not only of diversity, but also of number or quantity, whereas the Greek word <u>Motives</u> means chiefly "various or of different kinds."

1:7 To Sokipsov Upin The MITEUS MONTHOTEDOV XOUTION:
"the genuineness of your faith, more precious than gold." This is an improvement over the MIV's "the trial of your faith." In the third verse

Rev. Charles Bigg, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistles of St. Peter and St. Jude, The International Critical Commentary (Second edition; Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1946), p. 103.

Jude (London: Methuen & Co., Ltd., 1934), p. 47.

Selwyn, op. cit., p. 129.

⁶J. H. A. Hart, The Expositor's Greek Testament The First Epistle General of Peter, W. Robertson Micoll, editor (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Rerdmans Publishing Company, 1951), V, 43, 44.

of James' epistle, the first chapter, James uses this word in the sense of testing or trying. In fact exactly the same phrase is used word for word. Bigg points out that the way faith is tried or tested is through sufferings and afflictions, but one could not say that suffering is more precious than gold. But this would have to be the meaning if the word was translated as "trial," for <u>Makuliphilan</u> has the same case ending as <u>foktime</u> and consequently must be taken with <u>Soktime</u>.

Selwyn suggests the rendering, "the proven part of your faith." Bigg offers "the tested residue of your faith," while Beare in his translation has "the fine metal of your faith."

1:9 To Telas The MicTews: "As the outcome of your faith,"
Selwyn points out that while the New Testament uses the word Telas
usually in the sense of a temporal end, here in this passage it is
better to take the word in its classical usage-end in a logial sense,
the consummation or perfection of something. Thus the RSV is truer
to the meaning than the KJV's rendering, "the end of your faith," which
could be understood to mean a temporal end.

Itll "set your hope fully upon the grace that is coming to you."

The RSV's rendering of Teleius as "fully" is better than the KJV's rendering, "hope to the end." Beare declares that the rendering of Teleius as "to the end" is not correct. Selwyn translates as

⁷Bigg, op. cit., p. 104.

⁸selwyn, dp. cit., p. 129.

Bigg, op. cit., p. 104.

¹⁰ Beare, op. cit., p. 60.

¹¹ Selwyn, op. cit., pp. 132, 133.

¹²Beare, op. cit., p. 70.

"unreservedly," "up to the hilt," or "without reserve." Wuest declares that the word describes "that which is in a state of completeness."

2:6 Low Tibhki Ev Siwu Aibov Ekarkter akeaywwallow Evilles: "Behold, I am laying in Zion a stone, a cornerstone chosen and precious." This is truer to the text than the KJV which does not bring out the proper force of Aibov and has in its translation "chief corner stone," which is really not in the text. 15

ing of Esche as "declare" brings out the precise meaning of the verb more distinctly than does the KJV, which translates as "shew forth." Thayer points out that the word means "to make known by praising or proclaiming." Wuest points out, too, that the word refers to a "spoken message." Beare declares that the word in this epistle refers to the element of preaching as contrasted with the witness that is given by the kind of life that Christians lead.

3:5 "So once the holy women who hoped in God used to adorn themselves." Here the RSV gives the true connotation of the word EATIL OUTSE

¹³ Selwyn, op. cit., p. 140.

English Reader (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmas Publishing Company, 1954),

¹⁵cf. Salwyn, op. cit., p. 163.

¹⁶ Joseph Henry Thayer, A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament (Corrected edition; New York; Cincinnati, Chicago: American Book Company, c. 1889), p. 220.

¹⁷wuest, op. cit., p. 57.

¹⁸ Beare, op. cit., p. 105.

The KJV renders the word as "trusted." The New Testament use of the word EATLY certainly includes the thought of trust and reliance on God. In fact this is the key thought or significance of the word, "to wait for salvation with joy and full of confidence," but nevertheless the rendering as "hoped" is more precise.

3:18 "being put to death in the flesh but made alive in the spirit." The point in question here is the rendering of the word Tveupst. The KJV renders the word as "by the Spirit." Thus the RSV interprets the word to mean Christ's spirit as opposed to Christ's body, whereas the KIV, by rendering the dative Tyeyyd as a dative of means and by capitalizing the word "Spirit," interprets the word to refer to the third person in the Holy Trinity. Whest gives a nice explanation of this problem. He declares that the phrase Jwo Worth A EIS SE TUSULATE is contrasted with the phrase bustwasus per out. There are two contrasts. One is between the putting to death and the making alive. The other is between the flesh and the spirit. Whest points out that the contrast between the flesh and the Holy Spirit would not be a logical one. The human spirit of Christ would to. And while the fact remains that it was the Holy Spirit's power that raised Jesus from the dead (Romans 8:11) Peter is not teaching this fact at this point. He is stating that Christ was put to death according to His flesh; He was made alive according to His human spirit. Wuest gives some evidence from the manuscripts to support him. All the commentaries examined concur in

¹⁹ Thayer, op. cit., p. 205.

²⁰ muest, op. cit., pp. 94, 95.

in this opinion that the Holy Spirit is not referred to in this passage.

wild profligacy." The RSV's rendering of the word <u>low los</u> as "profligacy" seems to be more precise than the KJV's rendering of the word as "riot." To be sure, the difference may not be too great, but the word <u>low los</u> in the Greek seems to go even beyond what the English word "riot" means, i.e., it means to sink even deeper into the mire of profligate living and low morals than the word "riot" commonly implies. The word <u>low low low low</u> is the same word used in the story of the Prodigal Son (Luke 15:13), and also in Ephesians 5:18, where it is used in the same context with drunkermess. 21

hell <u>forthe has seed</u>... "To him belong glory..."

This is better than the KJV's "to whom be praise..." It helps to bring out to the reader the richness and the frequency of the occurance of the term "glory" in the Bible which is somewhat obscured by the KJV rendering.

is superior to the KJV's rendering of the verb <u>HOLLANTE</u> as "Feed."

Solwyn points out that the word is a classical word and does not mean only "to feed," but signifies the whole process of concern for the sheep. So also Weest explains that the word is not used in any limited sense, but means "tending, feeding, guiding, and guarding the flock of God." Bears gives a very fine rendering when in his translation he

²¹ Selwyn, op. cit., p. 213.

²² Ibid., p. 229.

²³ West, op. cit., p. 124.

renders the Greek phrase simply as "shepherd the flock of God." 24

lation of the word <u>OTENNO</u>. The KJV's rendering, which is "stedfast," while not totally wrong perhaps, does not give quite the proper force or connotation of the word. Bigg points out that the word in its strict meaning is "hard or solid." In the classics the word was used for a moral characteristic and was generally used to describe a bad quality, "hard, harsh, brutal." In the passage before us it means "solid, strong, impenetrable, like a wall, rather than steadfast or brave." 25

5:10 "And . . . the God of all grace, . . . will himself restore

. . . . " The RSV's translation of the verb Karationi perhaps may be
just a little truer to the original meaning than the KJV's rendering,

"make you perfect." Selwyn points out that "to make whole" is the basic
meaning of the word. But the flavor of the word can change, depending
upon what stage it is used in the progress of a situation or in some
ongoing process. Selwyn declares that the past participle in Aliver

The ovigs, which just precedes the words divis have shows
that the thought here is toward the beginning or start of the process
rather than to the end or completion. From chapter four, verse twelve,
it can be surmised that the sufferings and afflictions that the Christians had to undergo as a result of their faith had surprised them and
even discouraged them. Peter is trying to comfort them with the thought
that "God will Himself restablish their common life and make it whole."

Beare, op. cit., p. 171.

²⁵ Bigg, op. cit., p. 193.

²⁶ Selwyn, op. cit., p. 240.

Bigg takes this word in the sense of "shall correct" or "amend." He cites Mark 1:19 where the two brothers, James and John, were mending their nets; also Galatians 6:1 where the Christians are told to restore the brother who has been overtaken in a fault; also, 1 Thessalonians 3:10, and 1 Corinthians 1:10.²⁷ Bears shows that the word has reference to fixing a ship that has been damaged. In his translation he renders the word as "renew."

better than the KJV's "as I suppose." Wheet states that the rendering of the verb <u>April 1984</u> as "suppose" implies some hesitation on the part of Peter, and he wasn't absolutely certain of his character. The Greek word has no thought of this whatsoever. Here is an example where one could get a false idea from the KJV translation which is clarified in the RSV.

Passages in Which the RSV Does an Inferior or Inadequate
Job of Bringing Out the Mouning of the Text, Where it Fails
to Translate in Such a Manner that the True Thought of the
Text is Expressed.

1:7 "VA Ti Set Mier Shor The Tistes . . Edpeth Eis Eldinov tal Sofav tal Tikhv: "so that the germineness of your faith . . . may redound to praise and glory and honor." Here the

²⁷Bigg, op. cit., p. 195.

²⁸Beare, op. cit., p. 181.

²⁹ Ibid., p. 177.

³⁰ west, op. cit., p. 132.

RSV fails to bring out the true comotation of Curch by its rendering, "redound." The dictionary defines the word as "To flow back as a consequence; come as a result; accrue." While not a serious error on the part of the RSV, it would have been better to retain the original flavor of the word which would be "to find," as the KJV does by its rendering, "might be found." The RSV's rendering of the word would not be so much a translation as an interpretation.

this the RSV has "you . . . rejoice with unutterable and exalted joy."
While perhaps the translators of the RSV had the proper thought in mind in rendering the word in this way, still the word "exalted" falls somewhat short of the Greek word Societar Move. The concept of glory in the Bible is a very rich one, and the thought expressed by Peter here would have a part to play in that concept. But by the RSV's rendering, the fact that this passage is relevant to that concept is not at once obvious. Beare in his translation gives a fine rendering, "suffused with glory." 32

Itll "They inquired what person or time was indicated by the Spirit of Christ within them when predicting the sufferings of Christ." The RSV fails to give the proper meaning of the participle Top productive The word means more than merely "to foretell or predict." The word means "testified beforehand" as the KJV renders. It has the connotation of certainty and affirmation, a solemn declaration before the thing happens.

³¹ Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (Fifth edition; Springfield, Mass.: G. & C. Merriam Co., 1947), p. 833.

³² Beare, op. cit., p. 60.

2:4 Mapa Se Dew Ekkekie Eviluar: The RSV renders

2:14 Mapa as "precious." Likewise does the KJV. As Beare points out,

the word Interval as imprecious." Likewise does the KJV. As Beare points out,

the word Interval as imprecious." Likewise does the KJV. As Beare points out,

the word Interval as imprecious.

as it does the sense of "held in honour" or "destined to honour."

The same thing should be said for the occurance of the same word in

2:6 and for the occurance of the noun Toka in 2:7. As Bigg observes

in his comments under 2:7, the rendering "precious" stems from the Old

Testament passage on which is based, Isaiah 28:16, where the word meaning "precious" is used. But this is not the word used here. Bigg declares under his comments on 2:7, "Tokah means 'a price' (Natt. xxvii.6),

or 'honour,' but is hardly used of intrinsic worth, and never of that

value in affection which we call 'preciousness.'"

rendering of the Greek oppow would seem to indicate fear of men. But though Wand refers this fear, not to fear of God, but to fear in general, seare, so Bigg, 37 and Selwyn concur in the belief that fear toward God is here meant. One might perhaps object to this view, but the translation could at least have left the interpretation open. The KJV renders

³³Beare, op. cit., p. 96.

³⁴Bigg, op. cit., p. 131.

³⁵ Wand, op. cit., p. 79.

³⁶ Beare, op. cit., p. 121.

³⁷ Bigg, op. cit., p. 142.

³⁸ selwyn, op. cit., p. 175 and pp. 143-144 under Ev dosw (1:17).

2:22 "no guile was found on his lips." The RSV renders for the word <u>TTO MATI</u> the English word "lips." The word refers, of course, to the mouth rather than to the lips. Perhaps the revisers did this because they felt this was the more common idiom in the English language. Perhaps it is a little more common, but the idea of words or speech coming from the mouth is by no means obsolete or archaic English today. Therefore, it would have been better to retain the original word.

3:16 "so that, when you are abused." Here the revisers do not bring out entirely the meaning of the verb <u>MarkAd Across</u>. The word has precisely to do with the spoken word, with slander. Though the word "abused" could be understood this way, it is not at once obvious.

As in the preceding instance, the emphasis in this word lies in the speaking. While the rendering of the word as "abuse" could be taken as "abusing through slander," this is not clear from the translation itself without having to be further explained. The word "abuse" could refer to maltreatment other than through speech.

5:12 "exhorting and declaring that this is the true grace of God."

The RSV renders the participle (True Trow simply as "declaring."

As with the same stem in 1:11, this too falls short of what the Greek word says. Beare points out that the preposition in the word has the sense of "adding" to the testimony that had previously been given."39

³⁹Beare, op. cit., p. 193.

This word is used to convince these people that what they had previously learned concerning the Christian faith is the truth of God, that their doubts and questions were unfounded. Thus the word in Greek has a much deeper meaning than simply "declaring." In his translation Beare renders the word as "confirming the testimony."

Matters of Opinion

The following are instances where there is room for opinion or where there is difficulty involved as to exactly what the original meaning is. These will point up the difficulty that the translator at times has in rendering from one language to another. The translator cannot always indicate this uncertainty but must choose one or the other meaning.

183 Kard To Word autor Chees. The RSV renders the adjective

What as "great" whereas the KJV has "abundant." The problem arises
whether the word should be taken in the sense of strength or fervency
as the RSV has it, or in the sense of number or quantity as the KJV.

Actually the word can mean both, as Theyer points out in his Lexicon.

Theyer lists this passage under the former, thus supporting the RSV.

Beare in his translation renders the word, along with the KJV, as "abundant."

⁴⁰ Toide, p. 182.

Version of the New Testament, by the Members of the Revised Standard (U.S.A.: n. p., c. 1946), pp. 47, 48.

⁴² Thayer, op. cit., p. 529.

⁴³ Beare, op. cit., p. 55.

The KJV has for this "what, or what manner of time." All the commentaries examined agree with the KJV's rendering of the phrase. Only the Expositor's mentions the possibility of the phrase being taken in the sense of the RSV rendering. It declares that if the Tiva is taken separately, without association with the noun Kalpar, it is possible to render the phrase as "in reference to whom and what time the Spirit signified." However, the Expositor's does not maintain this as the only rendering. It also gives the rendering common to the KJV and the other commentators. This way would mean that the Tiva is taken closely with the noun Kalpar.

The RSV renders this as consequence, "so that your faith and hope are in God." Bigg in rendering the passage takes it in the sense of consequence. Wand likewise believes it expresses consequence rather than purpose. Selwyn, on the other hand, disagrees with this position. He favors the KJV rendering. He cites a goodly amount of grammatical material to substantiate his view. Also he maintains that the purpose clause fits in much better with the context of the passage (1:13-25). The whole section is exhortatory. (He points out that all the main verbs are imperative.) It would be unusual, he feels, to put in a clause which states a fact concerning their spiritual condition at this point.

The Expositor's Greek Testament, op. cit., p. 46.

⁴⁵Bigg, op. cit., p. 122.

Wand, op. cit., p. 58.

⁴⁷selwyn, op. cit., pp. 147, 148.

Selwyn's arguments are very convincing and seem to weigh the balance in favor of the KJV.

212 To Apritor a Saler rald EMITOCHESTE. This is a rather difficult passage in which to arrive at the precise meaning. The RSV renders "Long for the pure spiritual milk." The KJV has "desire the sincere milk of the word." For the rendering of the word hor Kov there is not complete agreement among the commentators. Beare feels that the translation of the word as the KJV has it is "quite impossible." 18 He feels that the word properly understood cannot refer to the Scriptures, 19 as the KJV would suggest. He believes that the word "spiritual" is the best rendering. Selwyn seems to prefer the rendering, "spiritual" also. 50 The Expositor's believes that it is "doubtful" as to what the meaning of Antikou means, but it inclines toward the KIV's rendering on the basis of the word lakes in First Peter 1:23.51 Bigg believes that the KJV's rendering is the correct meaning. He discounts the passage in Romans 12:1 as speaking against the KJV's rendering. He gives his reasons why he feels the KJV is correct. 52 This passage is an illustration of the difficulty that a translator has at times in coming to the exact meaning of a passage.

Mantion should be made also of the RSV's rendering of the word

¹⁴⁸ Bears, op. cit., p. 89.

¹⁹ Toid., p. 90.

⁵⁰ Selwyn, op. cit., p. 155.

⁵¹ The Expositor's Greek Testament, op. cit., p. 55.

⁵² Bigg, op. cit., p. 126

the word was rendered this way because it is often used to describe foods in Greek. 53 Beare points out this same fact, though he maintains that the passage does not altogether lose the idea of "guileless." 51 In his translation he renders the word as "uncontaminated." 55 Bigg, however, believes that the word must be taken as "guileless" and not in the sense of "unadulterated." 56

2:19 El Sid TuvelShaw Besu: "if, mindful of God." The KJV renders the word as "conscience." This is another example of the difficulty of translating certain passages. Wand prefers the meaning "consciousness" of God rather than the word "conscience." 57 Selwyn, however, takes it in the sense of "conscience." He feels this is being more consistent with the New Testament, which usually uses the word in this "almost technical meaning." It is used this way in 1 Peter 3:16, 21 also. 58 His arguments are very convincing.

3:1 "so that some . . . may be won without a word by the behavior of their wives." Here the translator has the difficulty whether to render the word love as "a word," meaning "the silent testimony of Christian life and character," or as "the word," referring specifically to the Word of the Gospel, as the KJV seems to imply by its rendering

⁵³ Weigle, op. cit., p. 48.

Beare, op. cit., p. 89.

⁵⁵ Ibid., p. 87.

⁵⁶ Bigg, op. cit., p. 126.

⁵⁷wand, op. cit., p. 80.

⁵⁸ selwyn, op. cit., pp. 176-78.

⁵⁹Beare, op. cit., p. 128.

the word in this way. The Greek word, though used without an article, would in itself not be of conclusive proof either way.

3:15. "Always be prepared to make a defense to anyone who calls you to account." The RSV rendering here suggests somewhat of a formal, perhaps even an official summons to defend one's faith. Bears would support this rendering for he believes that both and nevil two allows have the force of a formal summons such as that in court. O But both Biggs and Selwyn point out that allows can be taken either in a formal or informal sense. Thus more meaning might be had from the JKV rendering, "and be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh you a reason."

3:21 ANA TIVE Shows ANALS ETERNITURE EIS DEON.

This is, as the commentators indicate, a difficult passage from which to derive the true meaning. The word ETERNITURE can mean an "appeal, a request, a petition," which is the sense in which the RSV renders it, "but as an appeal to God for a clear conscience." This is also the sense in which Beare takes it, referring it to a prayer to God made by the convert in the baptismal ritual; he admits, however, that this meaning is not absolutely sure. Or as Bigg and Wand prefer, it could

⁶⁰ Beare, op. cit., p. 138.

⁶¹ Bigg, op. cit., p. 158.

⁶² Selwyn, op. cit., p. 193.

⁶³ Ibid., pp. 193, 194.

⁶h Beare, op. cit., p. 149.

⁶⁵Bigg, op. cit., p. 165.

⁶⁶ Wand, op. cit., p. 102.

or it could mean a "pledge," "a pledge to maintain a good conscience," which is, as Beare points out a possibility. Selwyn takes it in the sense of "pledge." This seems to be the way the KJV takes it, "but the answer of a good conscience toward God." Or still again it could mean, as Theyer holds, "earnest seeking, i.e., a craving, an intense desire." The matter is further complicated by the problem as to what the phrase ELS ARAV should be associated. This is another example of the translator's dilemma.

Here is another good example of the difficulty of getting at the precise meaning of the original. Beare points out that the word

Anatomic microcommunity is a word invented by the writer himself; it is not used in any other place. Beare takes it in the sense of "revolutionary activity." To In his translation he renders the word as "agitator."

Bigg gives a very nice summary on the possible meanings of the word.

He points out that the word could indicate such things as meddling with things that belong to other people, e.g., money, or people's affairs in general. This latter is the sense in which the KJV takes it, "as a busybody in other men's matters." Or it could refer to unbecoming life as a citizen or as a Christian; Bigg feels that this last sense is best

⁶⁷ Beare, op. cit., p. 149.

⁶⁸ Selwyn, op. cit., p. 205.

⁶⁹ Thayer, op. cit., p. 230.

⁷⁰ Beare, op. cit., p. 167.

⁷¹ Ibid., p. 163.

or possibly a combination of the last two thoughts. 72 Thus the translator has a very difficult task in trying to render this word in his native language.

word <u>Mosco Streets</u> used in this passage denotes elders in regard to function or age. As Bigg points out, the word itself can refer to both aspects. The RSV by its translation more readily suggests the word in its sense of function, whereas the KJV's "unto the elder" suggests the thought of age. In 5:1 it is generally agreed that the same word refers to the word in its sense of function, i.e., to the leaders of the church. Though Wand and Wuest take the word in 5:5 to refer to the leaders, Bigg and Selwyn take it to refer to the older men in general. Selwyn feels that it is a natural or logical progression of thought to proceed from the leaders in 5:1 to the older men in general in 5:5.77

5:9 <u>Creased Da Microl</u>: Here is an example where it is hard to translate without at the same time being interpretative. Bigg points out that at times the article in Greek can be used with <u>Microl</u> to denote faith as a virtue or quality. At other times, he observes, the phrase "the faith" can refer to doctrines. Bigg feels that the adjective

⁷²Bigg, op. cit., pp. 177-79.

⁷³Bigg, op. cit., p. 190.

⁷⁴ Wand, op. cit., p. 12h.

⁷⁵ mest, op. cit., p. 126.

⁷⁶Bigg, op. cit., p. 190.

⁷⁷ Selwyn, op. cit., p. 233.

Wuest, however, inclines toward the meaning of the word here as a virtue. The KJV rendering, "stedfast in the faith," seems to indicate faith in the sense of doctrine. The RSV rendering, "firm in your faith," seems to be open to either interpretation.

But have there he told to Toporter or operations

may all investments his historichese Married a 44

Mill yes to young out are busine for 15 per thus 15 patternty. The

My to contract renders the passings "if, shen ye be tuffeded for your

the matter of the participle Asia Torrette. Water of course, Brust

a anyther orthicise of the LIV, but it done their the LIV's concern for

placy and Contributed IV to change to the original shen the New trumps

it as a subjunctive, "to when he preim and desistant". The Aspentants

hall by some & The Hal I'd Karley "To bin below

tedler, on piet? the it committee light the 200 brings solventh better

Parliance House the AST to there accounts in Trutal atten-

basion is implyed. Entend to will be an owner,

⁷⁸ Bigg, op. cit., pp. 193-94.

⁷⁹west, op. cit., p. 130.

CHAPTER III

MATTERS INVOLVING POINTS OF STYLE AND ACCURACY

In this chapter an examination will be made of the style and literal accuracy of the RSV. In these instances no serious matter of meaning or interpretation is involved. Instead it will be an overview to see how true to the Greek text the RSV is.

Instances Where the RSV is Superior or Outstanding

Instances Where the RSV is More Accurate in Translation

2:20 <u>el apporavoutes kai Kokabisbasuoi Mousuelle</u>: "if
when you do wrong and are beaten for it you take it patiently." The
KJV by contrast renders the passage "if, when ye be buffeted for your
faults, ye shall take it patiently." Here the RSV brings out much better
the nature of the participle <u>Anaptavoutes</u>. This, of course, is not
a serious criticism of the KJV, but it does show the RSV's concern for
accuracy of translation at this point.

glory and dominion." It is closer to the original when the RSV translates the verb as an indicative in contrast to the KJV which renders
it as a subjunctive, "to whom be praise and dominion." The Expositor's

5:5b "God opposes the proud, but gives grace to the humble." The RSV's rendering of the word \int_{Σ} as "but" brings out the contrast between the two facts of the sentence much more directly. The KJV's rendering of the word as simply "and" is not quite so forceful.

The RSV's use of More Modern Words

In many cases the KJV contains words which are no longer in use or which, through the passing of the many years since it was composed, have changed their meaning or connotation. Thus, as a result when these words are read by the average reader, either they are not understood at all, or a completely different impression is received because of the change. The RSV strives to make the language of its translation correspond with that which the person of today is acquainted; it strives to use the modern idiom. The following instances will illustrate this.

1:12 "through the Holy Spirit sent from heaven." Instead of calling the third person of the Holy Trinity the "Holy Ghost" as the KJV
does, the RSV translators have changed this to the simpler, "Holy Spirit."
The word "ghost" had a proper usage in the days of the KJV translators,
but today the word has too many connotations that tend to detract from

J. H. A. Hart, The Expositor's Greek Testament The First Epistle General of Peter, W. Robertson Nicoll, editor (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1951), V, 73.

the sacredness of the third person. The rendering of the term as "Holy Spirit" avoids this. 2

A word that is used quite frequently in the New Testament is the word and Tooph. It is used six times in First Peter alone. Each time in First Peter the word is translated in the KJV as "conversation." As Beare points out, the word is used of life in public, or more exactly, "life in relation to others." In the seventeenth century when the KJV was made, the word "conversation" had this connotation, but the word today is no longer used this way. The dictionary terms as "archaic" this use of the word. Today the word is used exclusively of speaking or talking with another person. In First Peter the Greek word is used in the following passages: 1:15 (translated as "conduct"); 1:18 (translated as "behavior").

1:18b In the KJV this passage presents quite a formidable thought by its rendering, "from your vain conversation received by tradition from your fathers," especially to a child or to a person unacquainted with the style of the KJV. The last six words of the KJV citation given above are the translation of only one Greek word, Talpatapa Satura.

There is no one English word that will give the exact meaning of this

²Cf. Luther A. Weigle, Chairman, An Introduction to the Revised Standard Version of the Old Testament, by members of the Revision Committee. (Toronto, New York, Edinburgh: Thomas Nelson & Sons, c. 1952), p. 10.

Francis Wright Beare, The First Epistle of Peter (Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1947), p. 72.

The American College Dictionary, Clarence L. Barnhart, editor (New York: Random House, c. 1952), p. 265.

Greek word. The RSV has the much clearer "from the futile ways inherited from your fathers." Thus the whole passage is more understandable and readable.

2:4 "Come to him, to that living stone, rejected by men." In 2:7 is found the phrase "The very stone which the builders rejected." In both instances the RSV renders the word attake Soki pages. "In the Lagrance of the RSV renders the word attake Soki pages." Here again the RSV uses a much simpler word, a word with which all people are familiar. The word "disallowed" is a word that is not often used in today's language. Many would not be conversant with its meaning.

2:6 "and he who believes in him will not be put to shame." This is a more modern rendering of the verb Kataloxuvon than the KJV's "be confounded." Again, the use of "confound" in the sense of putting to shame is termed as "archaic" by the Dictionary.

2:9 Upect to . . . Adds Els Weathful "But you are
. . . God's own people." The KJV has "But ye are . . . a peculiar
people." Though the word "peculiar" has the meaning of "one's own,"
yet the connotation that this word has today, especially in colloquial
English, would make its use here inadvisable. Neither the RSV nor the
KJV rendering is exactly literal according to the text. The RSV translators make note of this by putting in a footnote the rendering, "a
pecrle for his possession." In the margin the KJV also has "a purchased
people." The RSV's use here of "God's" while not in the text, is implied.

⁵ Todd., p. 254.

rendering of the adjective Kakhu as "good" in this passage is a more modern rendering than the KJV's rendering of it as "honest." Today the word "honest" is used in a narrower sense to express "genuineness, or freedom from fraud or deception." It is generally not used in as wide a sense as the word Kakas would be.

2:13 "not only to the kind and gentle but also to the overbearing."

Here again the rendering of TKokidis as "overbearing" is more understandable than the KJV's "forward." This is a very infrequently used word by most people. In reading this passage for themselves or hearing it read in church, they would be able to derive its meaning only from its context.

2:21: "He Himself bore our sins." For the word "bore" the KJV
has "bare" which the dictionary terms as "archaic."

3:3 "Let not yours be the outward adorning with braiding of hair."

Here too the RSV has chosen a term which is commonly used in every household and would be readily understood by all. The KJV rendering as

"plaiting of hair" is not so obvious in meaning.

3:4 By contrast the women are admonished, "but let it be the hidden person of the heart." The RSV rendering of 2/2000705 as "person" is clearer and actually more accurate to the meaning of the text than the KJV's "man." Theyer gives as the first meaning for the word "a human being, whether male or female." Beare in his comments under this verse

⁶ Ibid., p. 99.

Joseph Henry Thayer, A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament (Corrected edition: New York, Cincinnati, Chicago: American Book Company, c 1889), p. 46.

points out that the word could be translated as "character" or "per-

The verse continues with the phrase EV TW dobdotw Too

Toddes Kal hourist Thereoffes. This is a difficult phrase to put
into good English. The RSV has "with the imperishable jewel of a gentle
and quiet spirit." This is a more lucid translation than the KJV's

"in that which is not corruptible, even the ornament of a meek and quiet
spirit." Beare and Bigg 10 point out that the word 20000 should
be taken here as a noun rather than as an adjective. Both RSV and KJV
add a word which is not actually in the text, but which is almost imperative for an understanding or clear translation. Here is an example,
too, of the difficulty that a translator has in putting the thought of
one language into another and retain both accuracy and good idiomatic
style.

tender heart and a humble mind." The RSV rendering, "a tender heart" for the Greek and a humble mind." The RSV rendering, "a tender heart" for the Greek and pitiful is a more modern rendering than the KJV's "pitiful." The word "pitiful" no longer is so commonly used to express the thought of compassion. It is more often used in the sense of "deplorable, lamentable," often with the flavor of disdain connected with it. Wuest goes so far as to describe this use of the word as "obsolete

⁸Beare, op. cit., p. 129.

⁹Thid.

¹⁰ Rev. Charles Bigg, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistles of St. Peter and St. Jude, The International Critical Commentary (Second edition; Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1946), p. 152.

English." At any rate, the RSV leaves no question as to what the word means.

3:11 "Let him turn away from evil." Again the RSV's translation of the Greek verb EKKALUATU at this point is more readily understood than the KJV's "eschew" which is no longer in common usage by most people.

3:11 "let him seek peace and <u>pursue</u> it." The same holds true here concerning the word <u>fine (ata)</u> which the KJV renders as "ensue."

3:14 "But even if you do suffer for rightenousness" sake" is an improvement over the KJV's "But and if " for the Greek phrase

3:20 "During the building of the ark" is better than "while the ark was a preparing" of the KJV. The KJV's rendering is not often found anymore in ordinary usage. 12

3:21 6 kar June Lutitude vir Twiff particule.

The Avartage Into I Xolutour This is an exceedingly difficult verse to translate and also to interpret. First of all there is a variant reading involved, (dative), in place of (mominative). The different cases involved would mean different antecedents of the relative pronoun. Then, too, the metaphor which Peter is using here is not altogether clear. The KJV gives a very heavy or difficult-

English Reader (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1954), p. 86.

¹² Luther A. Weigle, Chairman, An Introduction to the Revised Standard Version of the New Testament, by the Members of the Revision Committee (U.S.A.: n.p., c. 1946), p. 55. The Introduction makes mention of this change, giving, however, different illustrations.

to-understand translation of this passage. It has "The like figure
whereunto even haptism doth also now save us . . . by the resurrection
of Jesus Christ." Nuch clearer is the RSV's translation, "Baptism,
which corresponds to this, now saves you . . . through the resurrection
of Jesus Christ." This at once makes sense to the reader and gives the
passage meaning. The RSV takes <u>Julitures</u> as an apposite of <u>Battrons</u>

used to mean "rapid" or "swift," which would be another source of confusion or puzzlement to one unacquainted with the fact that the word was once used to mean "the living." Both the American College Dictionary 13 and Wuest declare that this word is archaic and obsolete.

love covers a multitude of sins." In both instances this passage, where the RSV uses the word "love" as a translation of the Greek word "love" as a translation of the Greek word or think of charity they usually think of giving alms or doing something to help someone poorer than they. It does not indicate what the Greek word 21474 implies.

5:14 The same change occurs in this passage where we have "Greet one another with the kiss of <u>love</u>" in place of the KJV's "kiss of charity."

The American College Dictionary, p. 993.

liwuest, op. cit., p. 113.

Instances in Which the RSV is More Exact and Literal
Than the Corresponding KJV Rendering, but Which
Involve no Great Significance

Most of the examples cited will be only of very small import, but they are cited as an illustration that the RSV was very conscious of remaining as accurate and true to the text as possible.

1:18 bosto Tois do yuniw h Youriw. The RSV correctly renders the h as "or" over against the KJV rendering as "and." But the KJV should not be criticized too severely for this since it may only be a method or style of translation.

1:22 Ev Th Statoh The Alheids: "by your obedience to the truth." The RSV retains the norm form of Statoh, whereas the KJV makes it a participle, "in obeying the truth."

2:13 Tech avacuation KTITEL: "to every human institution."

The RSV retains the adjective as the Greek has it. It should be noted that in a footnote to this passage the revisers suggest this passage could be rendered with a slightly different meaning, "every institution ordained for men."

open to their prayer." The RSV retains the singular of Schow, while KIV puts the word into the plural.

3:16 <u>Tuveishow Exputes and hu</u>: "And keep your conscience clear." Bears points out that the adjective should be regarded as a predicate accusative which completes the noun but does not qualify it directly. Thus Bears would agree with the RSV rendering. KJV has

¹⁵ Beare, op. cit., 1. 139

"Having a good conscience," which does not bring out this force of the adjective.

4:7 Kd) Yhydre eis Therevals: "and sober for your prayers." RSV retains the plural of Testeval, while the KJV renders it as singular.

Love covers a multitude of sins." The RSV retains the present tense here over against the KJV which renders it as a future. It would seem that the RSV's rendering might fit in better with the general character or nature of the statement. The present is more expressive of a general truth.

5:1 & <u>TUMMORABUTERS</u>: "as a fellow elder." This rendering brings out the flavor of the Greek noun better than does the KJV which has "who am also an elder." The KJV is rather circuitous at this point.

"you will obtain the unfading crown of glory." The RSV's rendering of the word and analy." Is more to the point and terser than the KJV's "that fadeth not away." The same occurs in 1th. Weigle observes in the introductory booklet that though there was no conscious aim to use as few words as possible, a sample counting of certain chapters indicates "the style of the Revised Standard Version is terse." He estimates that the RSV has less words than the KJV and AVS and is definitely briefer than the Weymouth, Hoffatt, and Goodspeed versions. However, as he notes, there are cases where more English words were used to express a Greek word. This instance is an example of the former.

¹⁶ Weigle, op. cit., p. 56.

¹⁷ Ibid., pp. 56, 57.

It might be noted here that neither the RSV or KJV has the real meaning of this word. however. It is really the name of a flower. 18
But Selwyn observes, "these flowers were so named because they do not fade; so that the meaning is the same." 19

5:9 "your brotherhood throughout the world." RSV is probably more correct in rendering $\frac{2S_{E} \lambda \phi \delta T h T}{2S_{E} \lambda \phi \delta T h T}$ as "brotherhood" rather than simply as "brethren" as the KJV. Selwyn observes that $\frac{1}{h}$ $\frac{2S_{E} \lambda \phi \delta T h S}{2S_{E} \lambda \phi \delta T h S}$ is a collective noun for $\frac{1}{2S_{E} \lambda \phi \delta T}$ as in 1 Peter 2:17.20 Thayer, too, points out that it is "the abstract for the concrete." 21

Instances Where the RSV is Sytlistically
Inferior or Inaccurate

These involve either doubtful English or an inexact recording of what the Greek actually says. There will be only two instances cited.

2:1 ATOBEREVOL OUN TROSE KAN AKIAN KAN TRAVEL SOANN KAN UTOKONOSIS KAN APONOUS KAN TRAVEL SOANN "So put away all malice and all guile and insincerity and envy and all slander."

The plural nouns UTOKONOSIS, APONOUS, and KATANANAS are rendered as singulars. Ordinarily this would be merely a minor difference, involving no great significance. But Bigg in his comments under the word

J. W. C. Wand, editor, The General Epistles of St. Peter and St. Jude (London: Methune & Co., Ltd., 1934), p. 124.

¹⁹ Edward Gordon Selwyn, The First Epistle of St. Peter (Second edition; London: MacMillan & Co., Ldt., 1955), p. 233.

²⁰ Ibid., p. 239.

²¹ Thayer, op. cit., p. 11.

by by both significance. Wand, too, indicates that the plurals may have some special significance. It would have been better if the RSV had retained the plurals.

2:23 "but he trusted to him who judges justly." The phrase "trusted to" may be regarded as questionable English. The English idiom is usually "trusted in" or "trusted that" or simply "trusted" with the object of the person trusted. The English phrase "trusted to" may at times occur, especially in colloquial language, but it is, to say the least, somewhat rare.

Instances Where the RSV is not Exact or Literal, but Which Involve no Great Significance

Changes in the Parts of Speech

While some changes were made in the interest of readability, not all seem to be necessary.

a noun is rendered as an adjective. But this is done for the sake of modern English rendering. Bigg points out that this is a Hebraism.

have tasted the kindness of the Lord." The adjective KonoTas is changed into a noun. As a result, also Kupias is changed from the

²²Bigg, op. cit., p. 125.

²³ wand, op. cit., p. 64.

²⁴Bigg, op. cit., p. 3.

nominative to the genitive.

- 2:7 <u>DAIN OUN H TIKH TOIS MOTEURUUU</u>: "To you therefore who believe, he is precious." The noun <u>Tikh</u> is rendered an adjective.
- 2:11 375. Yes dat Two Stoke Kwo Enthumews "to abstain from the passions of the flesh." The adjective sapke Kwo is made into a noun.
- 2:19 & Sid The sour BEOD: "if, mindful of God."

 The noun The sour is changed to an adjective.
- 3:8 To SE TELOS TILVES SHOPPOVES, TUNTABELS PILASELDOL, ENTRACENO, TATTELVO POVES "Finally, all of you, have
 unity of spirit, sympathy, love of the brethren, a tender heart and a
 humble mind." The adjectives here are rendered as nouns.
- 4:3 deteros pas 5 Tape An Authus Xpovas: "Let the time that is past suffice." The adjective deketos is made into a verb form.
- 4:3 To Bou Ah Pa Two Ed Ywo Katelopardal: "for doing what the Gentiles like to do." The noun Bou Ah Pa is changed into a verb form.

Lish Eis The AUTHO The down is changed to an adjective.

Other Incidental and Minor Changes

Some changes seem to have been made in the interest of readability.

Others seem to have been unnecessary.

1:3 Avarevances hus: "we have been born anew." The accusative hus is changed to the nominative case and the participle changed

from the active to the passive voice.

1:4 Tetnoh/Evhv ev oudaves eis veds: "kept in heaven for you." The plural, oudaves, is rendered a singular. But although it might be translated "in the heavens," it is much more common to say simply, "in heaven."

1:6 <u>Shirev</u> <u>dore & Sear Auth Gentes</u>: "though now for a little while you may have to suffer." The Greek phrase & Sear is not translated literally, but it is given its required sense.

1:8 OV AUK ISOVIES: "without having seen him." The adverb

1:11 ESANOU TO EV AUTOIS TVEUPA XOLOTOU: "was indicated by the Spirit of Christ within them." The verb ESANOV which is passive, is changed into the active voice. This makes also the nominative "Spirit" the object of the preposition of agency.

1:11 Kai Tas McTa Tauta Socas: "and the subsequent glory."
The plural, Sigas, is rendered a singular.

1:17 Kd) ET MATERA ENCKADE OF TOU AMPRICATIONS

KNOWTH KATH TO EKACTON SOFAN: "And if you invoke as Father him who judges each one impartially according to his deeds." The RSV makes the possessive adjective EkacTon, which in Greek modifies the noun Sopan in the prepositional phrase, a direct object of the participle.

Also the RSV renders the singular, Soyov, as a plural.

lanb without blemish or spot." Kdi is rendered as "or." This undoubtedly is merely a device of translation.

2012 The LUNGTROPHY UPWIN EN TOIS EAVEOUR EXONTES

better to take the adjective falke as a predicate accusative. Bigg points out that the position of the definite article in the sentence puts the adjective in a predicate position.

somewhat difficult phrase to render into English. The word <u>XOPIS</u> here is not used in the sense of a free gift on the part of God toward men. Selwyn observes that the word "is used in a quite naive and untechnical sense here for a gracious act pleasing to God." The kind and gracious thing a person does as a result of the grace of God is also known as <u>XAPIS</u>. Bigg points out too that these words probably have as their background the words of Jesus in Luke 6:32-34. Thus it would seen that, while not exactly literal to the text, the meaning is brought out very well by the RSV.

2:19 El Sid ouveishour Bear Unaberel Tis kunds:
"if, mindful of God, he endures pain." The plural noun Autos is
rendered as a singular.

2:20 E de prover kal kolabiberrol Offererette:

"If when you do wrong and are beaten for it you take it patiently."

The future werb is rendered as a present.

The same problem arises here as in the instance above in 2:19, where the word Xdois occurred and was resolved in a similar manner.

²⁵ Toid., p. 136.

²⁶ Selwyn, op. cit., p. 176.

²⁷Bigg, op. cit., p. 143.

- 2:24 iva Tais apartials attorevous what we might die to sin." The plural, & valotials, is made a singular.
- 2:24 of Tw www TC (2017: "By his wounds you have been healed." The singular, www TC, is rendered a plural.
- 3:3 Kai Meolosses Xporlar: "decoration of gold." Here the plural, <u>kevriuv</u>, is not expressed, but the singular here is certainly adequate and expressive of the thought.
- 3:3 h <u>20506 cms [pdT cmv Korpus:</u> "And wearing of robes," The conjunction h meaning "or" is rendered as "and." Again it is probably just a method of translation. Certainly no diffierence in meaning is involved.
- 3:13 <u>Kal The Sake Mark Deas.</u> . .: "Now who is there to harm you . . ?" Here the word "now" is taken for <u>Kal</u>. The introductory booklet points out that often where the Semitic "and" occurred, the sentence was broken up by the reviser or a different conjunction was used where the sense seemed advisable. One of these different ways of expressing the conjunction was the word "now." The word "now" for <u>Kal</u> seems to express the thought nicely at this point.
- 3:17 <u>El BEROL TO BERNYL TOU BEOU</u> wif that should be God's will." This is another fine example of the difficulty in rendering an idiom of one language into the idiom of another. Bigg describes this as "a rugged pleonasm" and compares it to <u>El Seav</u> in 1:6.²⁹

Weigle, op. cit., p. 28.

²⁹Bigg, op. cit., p. 159.

- 1:3 HEROPE UNE VOUS EN ACENTEIAS. ETIBURIAIS.

 OLVEDAUPICIS, KWINDS, HOTOIS KAI ABERITOIS ETSWADATOIAIS

 "living in licentiousness, passions, drunkenness, revels, carousing, and lawless idolatry." Here the plural nouns arehyeidis, divochapicis,

 Hotois, and etswadatoiais are rendered as singulars.
- 5:7 The period by Etterfalls Ette
- 5:9 EISSTES To aBTA TWV MADRUATUV: "knowing that the same experience of suffering." The plural, Madruatuv, is rendered as a singular.

titlets, oftential to one an article shurete the fresh free. This,

We deput her fore, names for a lappy west order. The Lot our year

the article may be considered smoothst enfortunate atoms the side-

With thinks a lone of your of the original member As illustration upon

The state of the s

he sate just will the word "judgment" to refer to searthing more specifie

FRANCE IN TRANSPORT OF the Manuary o

W. M. O. tand, editor, The Constant Reduction of St. Later and St. (Liveline believes & Co., 18de, 1936), F. Life.

in Ballier does regard ensure rather than the Greek Lider. Company there

CHAPTER IV

SOME SPECIFIC POINTS OF GRAMMAR

The Definite Article

The use of the definite article in Greek is not the same as in English. The Greek can omit an article where good English sense demands it. Again good Greek will insert an article where good English would not use it. The introductory booklet feels that the 1881 and 1901 revisions were overliteral in their translation of the Greek definite article, attempting to use an article wherever the Greek does. This, the Committee felt, makes for a heavy translation. The RSV strives to follow good English usage rather than the Greek idiom. However, there are a few instances in First Peter where it may be argued that the omission of the article may be considered somewhat unfortunate since the omission causes a loss of some of the original muance. As illustration are the three following passages.

4:17 571 (6) Kaipas ToD defarbal To Keina 2000 ToD alkay ToD Beod: "For the time has come for judgment to begin with the household of God." Wand feels the definite article should be retained with the word "judgment" to refer to something more specific than merely judgment in general.²

Luther A. Weigle, Chairman, An Introduction to the Revised Standard Version of the New Testament, by the Members of the Revision Committee (U.S.A.: n. p., c. 1946), p. 54.

Jude (London: Methuen & Co., Ltd., 1934), p. 120.

inite article with the word <u>currest Alkeos</u> has special significance which the RSV fails to bring out. Wand points out that to the readers it means the fellow elder "who is well known to them either in person or by reputation, and has a special claim on their affection." Bigg and Selwyn run along similar lines in bringing out the significance of the definite article at this point.

Silvanus, a faithful brother." Wuest points out that the definite article with <u>Microv</u> <u>ASELDOV</u> indicated that Silvanus was not a stranger to the readers. The RSV loses this touch.

Case Renderings

In translating from one language to the other, it is not always possible to keep the same case rendering for every noun, pronoun, or adjective. Usage varies from one language to the other. For example, though the genitive case is often used in Greek to express locality, in English this is more easily expressed with the preposition "in" (1:1).

However, the flavor of a case is at times lost altogether. An illustration of this might be found in 4:13. "But rejoice in so far as

^{3&}lt;sub>1bid., p. 122.</sub>

Rev. Charles Bigg, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistles of St. Peter and St. Jude, The International Critical Commentary (Second edition; Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1946), p. 186.

⁵ Edward Gordon Selwyn, The First Epistle of St. Peter (Second edition; London: MacMillan & Co., Ltd., 1955), p. 228.

Kenneth S. Wusst, First Peter in the Greek New Testament for the English Reader (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1954), p. 132.

you share Christ's sufferings." Here the dative case in which the Greek puts the word for "sufferings" is lost in the RSV translation. It could have been retained by the rendering, "you share in Christ's sufferings."

Word Combinations

In Greek it is a question at times with what word another word or phrase should be taken. At times this can be quite a problem, causing both the translator and the exegete much difficulty and uncertainty. In First Peter instances of this may be found in 1:13, where the question arises whether Teke/ws goes with whoves or skylvets; in 1:23, where the participles could go either with kepev or deal; and in 4:14, where the exact word or words that Trever should go with can be disputed. In the above examples, both the RSV and KJV agree in their renderings. Below are two instances where the RSV and KJV differ.

"ignorance," and renders "do not be conformed to the passions of your former ignorance." The KJV takes it with ETILBURIALS Bears in his translation? and Thayer take it with ETILBURIALS Perhaps the RSV rendering can be argued on the basis of Greek word order.

⁷Francis Wright Beare, The First Epistle of Peter (Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1947), p. 71.

Joseph Henry Thayer, A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament (Corrected edition; New York, Cincinnati, Chicago: American Book Company, c. 1889), p. 552.

5:12 Ala Elloward Jair Tou Thorow Lock don, we deployed, I'm allower, a faithful brother as I regard him, I have written briefly to you." The RSV thus takes the dative pronoun with Expayd. However, the two words are widely separated in the sentence, which would seem to speak for the KJV rendering, "a faithful brother unto you."

Omission of Words in the Text

For the sales of translation many words of minor importance are left out. Such words as the Greek <u>So</u> or <u>Mev</u> are not at all times easily translatable into our idiom. Also such conjunctions as <u>STC</u>, <u>pao</u>, or adverbs such as <u>OUT ws</u> are sometimes omitted. Instances of this are found in First Peter. The Greek conjunction <u>Mai</u> is often omitted by the translators. The introductory booklet observes that this is a Semitic influence; the translators have frequently felt free to omit it.

There are those, however, who take strong objection to this omission of Kell. Oswald T. Allis, for instance, in his book, "Revision or New Translation?" believes that the RSV translators were wrong in their frequent omission of this connective. He argues that a translation should preserve the style and the flavor of the original author. Omitting the connective, to mention just one example, takes away, he feels, something of that original style.

⁹weigle, op. cit., pp. 46, 52.

¹⁰⁰swald T. Allis, Revision or New Translation: "The Revised Standard Version of 1946" A Comparative Study (Philadelphia: The Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Company, 1948), pp. 48ff.

Special note might be taken of a few cases where an omission oc-

Here the RSV loses a certain muance or meaning by its omission of

**Tolov*. The meaning in Greek is not simply "time," but "to what manner or kind of time." As Beare points out, the **Tolov* refers to the external conditions that will be found during the **Kalodv*.

1:13 Avaluation Tas Stavelas Upinus:

"gird up your minds." As Bigg points out, the phrase Tas Stavelas

The Stavelas is a Hebraism. 12 The RSV omits it evidently because people today do not speak this way. However, it might be argued that something is lost by its omission.

instances the RSV omits the <u>ISIOIS</u>, rendering the phrase simply as "your (their) husbands." The reason why it does so is explained in the introductory booklet, where it is stated "that nothing is lost" by rendering it this way. Bigg, however, in his commentary under 3:1 points out that while the definite article already signifies the possessive "your," the <u>ISIOIS</u> adds strength to this and gives it the sense of our expression, "your own husbands." In addition to this he feels that it makes the thought of subjection more palatable for the wives

th women fill been by manne of a com-

those as easier and some redeen readily

De May De 96.

¹¹ Bears, op. cit., p. 65.

¹²Bigg, op. cit., p. 3.

¹³ Weigle, op. cit., p. 49.

¹ Bigg, op. cit., p. 150.

since it is to their own husbands they are to be obedient. Thus it could perhaps be argued that the omission of the library was somewhat unfortunate.

3:14 Tov SE pogov autor ph poghente: "Have no fear of them." The RSV rendering of Tov pogov is not obvious. Selwyn declares that Tov pogov is here a cognate accusative. He renders it as the RSV does, "be not afraid of them." Wand points out that the phrase is a Hebraism for, "Do not be afraid of them." Evidently the revisers were aiming at a more English idiom.

4:17 67 50 MODTON 20 how : "and if it begins with us." Here, perhaps, it could be argued that something in the way of emphasis is lost by the omission of the MoDTON.

The Addition of Words

As was seen above that words can be omitted for the sake of translation, so in several instances words can be supplied in order to give the English a sense of fluency and flow. This can be said not only of individual parts of speech, but even of phrases and groups of words.

A few instances might be cited where the addition of words by the RSV might be said to have some significance.

2:16 "Live as free men . . . live as servants of God." Here the RSV supplies the verb "live," whereas the KJV, along with the Greek, uses no verb, but connects the thought with verse fifteen by means of a conjunction. The RSV rendering makes for an easier and more modern reading.

¹⁵Bigg, op. cit., p. 151.

¹⁶ selwyn, op. cit., p. 192.

¹⁷wand, op. cit., p. 98.

3:7 <u>TUVULKOUVIES KATA PUDGIU</u>: "live considerately with your wives." The RSV supplies what is implied by the prefix of the verb.

3:12 kg) <u>atta ditor eis Schour ditor</u>: "And his ears are open to their prayer." The RSV (and also KJV) supply what is implied in the preposition <u>eis</u>, but would not be as clear by the simple rendering of the word "to."

"They are surprised that you do not now join them." The addition of word "now" while perhaps usoful, does not seem altogether essential.

The Rendering of Prepositions

In translating from one language into another, it becomes difficult at times to give an exat equivalent of a prepositional phrase and its usage into the new language. However, in some cases the force of a preposition or prepositional phrase is lost or changed altogether. Some instances where the RSV does this in the First Epistle of Peter will be mentioned below.

- 1. Prepositional phrases rendered as adjectives:
- 1:11 Tas META TAUTA SOEAS: "the subsequent glory."
- 21.9 <u>ALOS EIS WEDIWOLLOUV</u>: "God's own people" ("a people for his possession" in the footnotes).
 - 3:2 2 60 60 "reverent."
 - 2. Prepositional phrases rendered as adverbs:
 - 3:7 Kata yvwoiv: "considerately."
 - 4:9 LVEU KAFFUTFAU: "ungrudgingly."
 - 3. Prepositions or prepositional phrases rendered as conjunctions:
 - 2:8 E/5 : "as."
 - 3:7 Eis : "in order that."

3:16 Er 3: "when."

4:2 Eis : "so as."

4:13 2 "when."

4. Prepositional phrases rendered as an infinitive:

2:5 Eis CEPATEURA LYIOV: "to be a holy priesthood."

"to punish those who do wrong and to praise those who do right."

3:15 Toos attohorar: "to make a defense."

4:12 Tons TEIRSONOV: "to prove you."

5. Prepositional phrases rendered as a participle:

1:2 hard Wookvwow Beou Warpas: "destined by God the

1:2 Ev apid The Pates: "sanctified by the Spirit."

6. Prepositional phrases rendered as a verb:

4:19 Thota Knoth Tapaties of work Tas Yuxas

dital Er drabottoria: "do right and entrust their soul to a
faithful creator."

7. The preposition omitted altogether:

1:6 El Seov Authbeutes ev Monthous Weight : "you may have to suffer various trials." Here the preposition ev is dropped and the object of the preposition made into the direct object.

1:11 <u>Epeuv Dutes</u> <u>els</u> <u>Tiva</u> h <u>Hoïsu</u> <u>Kalpou</u>: "they inquired what person or time." The <u>els</u> is omitted here from the translation, or perhaps, it would be better to say that it was absorbed by the English verb.

1:11 TesusoTupi Mevov To Eis XpirTov Titth Matta: "when predicting the sufferings of Christ." Here the Eis is omitted and

of the genitive here as a Habraism. ¹⁸ It is rather unfortunate that the RSV omitted the mance that the preposition gives to the thought here for it expresses purpose and the predestined character of the sufferings of Christ. ¹⁹ Beare declares that this is on a parallel with the preposition and in 1:10. ²⁰ The simple genitive fails to bring this out.

2:7 EVENTON EIS KE GANNY purids: "has become the head of the corner." The preposition Eis is omitted and the object of the preposition has become the predicate nominative.

2:12 EK TWV KANGY Epper Enontevers, "they may see your good deeds." The object of the preposition is made the direct object of the participle.

half En En feriloutal: "they are surprised." The prepositional phrase is omitted altogether.

The Participle as Imperative

The participle is used very frequently in Greek. As the introductory booklet observes, the imperatival use of the participle is indicated much more in this translation than has been the case with others. 21

Instances in First Peter where RSV renders the participle as im-

¹⁸ Ibid., p. 50.

¹⁹ Selwyn, op. cit., p. 136; Beare, op. cit., p. 66.

²⁰ Ibid. Beare also cites Acts 2:23 and Acts 4:28, which express a similar thought.

²¹ Weigle, op. cit., p. 50.

peratives and the KJV does not may be found in 1:14; 2:1,4,12; 3:9 (two instances), 16: 5:7.

Not all commentators would agree as to the exact number of participles that Peter uses with imporatival force.

Participles Rendered as Regular Verbs

In many cases when translating from one language to another, it is very difficult to give a participle its true participial flavor.

Abdal Ross Wents says in the introductory booklet, "Often a participle was resolved into a clause to conform to the manner of writing and speaking in our time." Thus, in some cases a participle was rendered as a simple indicative, or an independent clause, or as a co-ordinate to the main verb of the clause. Instances where the participle was changed to some regular verb form may be found in 1:8,9,11,18,20, 23; 2:12,24; 3:5,6,19,22; 4:4,13.

Tenses

The RSV translators have felt that it would be best to render the Greek tense a little more freely, e.g., the English pluperfect for the Greek aroist as in Acts 7:16,17, or the English past for the Greek historical present as in John 1:16 or Acts 23:18.²³

The introductory booklet observes that the best translation of the Greek aroist is sometimes the English perfect. The revisions of 1881 and 1901, however, used this principle to a minimum, rendering

²² Toid., p. 68.

²³ Ibid., p. 50, 51.

very few Greek aprists into English perfects, and were severally criticized for doing so, though admittedly undjustly at times; the RSV has made more use of this principle. Illustrations from First Peter of the Greek aprist rendered as an English perfect can be found in 1:12; 2:21, 25; 3:9,22; 5:12.

Military transferra, bein, one by besite, and to the partie of the

It was taken in his been systemed they the MAT has follow show of

We stop, "They have not as employ worst, not play here here and blood playerable morney

contin directional encountered), in a difficult one indeed, Parances

coming their life possibly are not always close and breaks they are

ballets to verteen intentializing and punkerings. In more Mounty

kertheer's dark meet he regarded with grapathy and understanding. Its

really contradictory to what fertables to suneral too to our. That the

²h Ibid., p. 51.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

What of the accuracy and faithfulness of the RSV to the original text? This has been the major concern of this paper.

In matters of interpretation and meaning it has been shown that in many passages the RSV gives a decidedly superior rendering, giving the more precise nuance of the phrase, making clearer what Peter through the inspiration of the Holy Spirit was saying to the people of Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia, and to the people of the United States in modern twentieth-century America. On the other hand in some cases it has been indicated that the RSV has fallen short of giving an explicit and precise meaning as to what a certain passage has to say. The use of a wrong word or phrase has at times obscured somewhat the meaning in certain cases. At the same time it was shown that the translator's art, if it may be called that (it is first and foremost a devotional experience), is a difficult one indeed. Passages coming under his scrutiny are not always clear and lucid; they are subject to various understandings and renderings. He must, however, choose upon a rendering, and in doing so, he is open to the charge of interpreting instead of translating. Therefore, the translator's and reviser's task must be regarded with sympathy and understanding. It can be said that in the passages where differences were involved regarding matters of interpretation, there was no difference that was really contradictory to what Scripture in general had to say. They involved matters not of doctrinal truth, but rather of what Peter was actually saying and the way he was explaining certain concepts.

Matters of style and literal accuracy were examined, matters which did not deal specifically with factors involving interpretation or meaning, but with the question of accuracy and the rendering of words and phrases into the English idiom. Here again the RSV was found to have many high points. The RSV excelled especially in the rendering of words and phrases into the modern idiom, so that the meaning at once became apparent and understandable. The importance of this cannot be overstated. For it is important that the reader or hearer understand what is being given him. The constant aim of the revisers was to present the text in terms that could be understood. It was also found that even in some instances of seemingly minute importance the rendering of the RSV was accurate and exact, in fact more accurate in some cases than the KJV. But it was, strangely enough, right in this area that the RSV showed itself at the same time inferior in many renderings to the KJV. For in the rendering of certain plural or singulars, active or passive voices, etc., it seemed at times to be quite lax, even negligent or careless. In several instances it changed singulars to plurals and vice versa, actives to passives, etc., with no apparent reason; in many cases it would have been better to retain the form in the original.

Some specific points of grammar were examined. It was shown that while over-literalness in regards to the definite article would make for very heavy and stilted English, nevertheless, the omission of the article in certain passages was rather unfortunate. The same might be said for the omission of certain words, seemingly unimportant, but still

adding a certain nuance or flavor to the text. Contrariwise, the addition of certain words for the sake of translation, though not in the text itself, was nevertheless true to the spirit of the text and offered more understanding of the passage to the reader or the hearer. At the same time some other specific points of grammar and syntax were examined both to gain a sympathetic understanding for the translator's problem and to examine objectively what the RSV did with these various types of constructions.

Thus no all-out acceptance or rejection seems to be possible. One cannot speak only of the good points of the version and close his eyes to the weaker spots. Nor can one honestly see only the weaker spots and refuse to see the many areas in which the RSV excells.

What of the future of the RSV? As Oswald Allis says, "What the final verdict will be, only the future can disclose." In the mean time further study is needed to determine the accuracy of the whole version, to determine how well the version meets the claims made for it, to see whether the version is consistently of the same caliber throughout, to see if the version would be usable for public worship and for purposes of teaching, to determine whether or not the version is worthy to take the place of the KJV.

Referring specifically to the present study, this has been only an investigation into matters dealing with faithfulness to the text and in bringing out what the text has to say. A more extensive study could

Oswald T. Allis, Revision or New Translation? "The Revised Standard Version of 1946" A Comparative Study (Philadelphia: The Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Company, 1948), p. 155.

language used. Are there really any hard or bad sounding groupings together of consonants or words, as the committee claims were studiously avoided? Still another field of investigation could be matters dealing with the ASV. As was pointed out in the introduction, many of the improvements made by the RSV were already incorporated into the text of the ASV. This does not support the objection put forth by some that this fact made another revision somewhat unnecessary, since the fact remains that the ASV is not commonly used today. But an investigation could be made to determine how true the committee was to its claim that the present revision is in turn a revision of the 1901 American Standard Version.

Postin, A. therises, Torres to the process of the entitle to repetable to the state of the state

Satisfies Shared Carolina The First Spicial of the Brief Ste Organic Con-

Burdy J. S. C. Gistor. To Constall Spirites of St. Sake and the Spirites

Testing Subsection in Proceedings to the Land State of the Land St

HELENAL TO PERSON.

Luther A. Weigle, Chairman, An Introduction to the Revised Standard Version of the New Testament, by the Members of the Revision Committee (U.S.A.: n. p., c. 1946), p. 57.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Allis, Oswald T. Revision or New Translation? "The Revised Standard Version of 1946." A Comparative Study. Philadelphia: The Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Company, 1948.
- Barnhart, Clarence L., editor. The American College Dictionary. New York: Random House, c. 1952.
- Beare, Francis Wright, editor. The First Epistle of Peter The Greek
 Text with Introduction and Notes. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1947.
- Bible, Holy. Authorized Version.
- Bible, Holy. Revised Standard Version. Toronto, New York, Edinburghs
 Thomas Nelson & Sons, 1952.
- Bigg, Charles. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Commentary.

 of St. Peter and St. Jude. The International Critical Commentary.

 Second edition. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1902.
- Hart, J. H. A. The First Epistle General of Peter, The Expositor's Greek Testament. Edited by W. Robertson Nicholl. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1951.
- McIntire, Carl. The New Bible Revised Standard Version Why Christians Should not Accept it. Revised edition. Collingswood, N. J.:
- Westle, D. Eberhard. Novum Testamentum Graece. 18th edition (compared where necessary with 17th edition, 1941). Stuttgart: Privilegierte Wuerttembergische Bibelanstalt. 1948.
- Selwyn, Edward Gordon. The First Epistle of St. Peter The Greek Text with Introduction, Notes and Essays. Second edition. London: NacMillan & Co., Ltd., 1955.
- Thayer, Joseph Henry. A Greek English Lexicon of the New York, Cincinnati, Chicago: American Book Company, c. 1889.
- Wand, J. W. C. editor. The General Epistles of St. Peter and St. Jude.
 London: Methuen & Co., Ltd., 1934.
- Weigle, Luther A., Chairman. An Introduction to the Revised Standard Version of the New Testament, by the Members of the Revision Committee. U.S.A., n. p., c. 1946.

- Weigle, Luther A., chairman. An Introduction to the Revised Standard

 Version of the Old Testament, by the Members of the Revision Committee. Toronto, New York, Edinburgh: Thomas Nelson & Sons, c.

 1952.
- Webster's Collegiate Dictionary. Fifth edition. Springfield, Mass.: Co., & C. Merriam Co., 1947.
- Wuest, Kenneth S. First Peter in the Greek New Testament for the English
 Reader. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Wm. B. Ferdmans Publishing Company,
 1954.