Concordia Theological Monthly

Volume 2 Article 8

1-1-1931

Theological Observer. - Klrchllch Zeitgeschichtliches

F Pieper Concordia Seminary, St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.csl.edu/ctm



Part of the Practical Theology Commons

Recommended Citation

Pieper, F (1931) "Theological Observer. - Klrchllch Zeitgeschichtliches," Concordia Theological Monthly. Vol. 2, Article 8.

Available at: https://scholar.csl.edu/ctm/vol2/iss1/8

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Print Publications at Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. It has been accepted for inclusion in Concordia Theological Monthly by an authorized editor of Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. For more information, please contact seitzw@csl.edu.

Das war eine Selbstverherrlichung Gottes. Denn von Gott erbat sich Daniel diese Kraft in täglichem Gebet und Flehen, B. 11, wie er ja auch sonst die Ehre allein Gott gab. Bgl. Kap. 2, 27. 28; 4, 21. 22; 5, 17 ff. Alles, was im Leben eines Christen rühmenswert ist, hat er seinem Gott allein zu verdanken, der noch heute sich durch die Bekehrung, Heiligung und Erhaltung seiner Christen verherrlicht. Sph. 1, 19. 20; 2, 7—10: 1 Vetr. 1. 5.

2.

Gott läßt es zu, daß Daniel in die Löwengrube geworfen wird. Er bedeckt ihn nicht mit Finsternis, noch schlägt er die Feinde mit Blindsheit, daß sie ihn nicht finden können. Im Gegenteil: B. 11—17. Aber während die Feinde jubeln, während der König eine schlässose Nacht zusbringt, geschieht das Bunder, B. 19—23. Ja noch größer erscheint die Macht Gottes, die Daniel beschührte, B. 24.

Gottes Hand ist noch nicht verkürzt. Wohl lätt er die Seinen in allerlei Not und Trübsal geraten, aber nur zu dem Zweck, sich an ihnen zu verherrlichen. Lied 357, 2. 5. Er lätt sie wohl sinken, aber nicht erstrinken. Hohb 5, 19; 1 Kor. 10, 13; Jes. 54, 7. 8. Zu seiner Zeit wird er herrlich mit seiner Hilse erschen, dat wir, errettet, ganz fröhlich rühmen können seine Enade und Macht, die wir ersahren haben. Ihm allein die Ehrel

Theological Observer. — Kirchlich-Zeitgeschichtliches.

I. Amerika.

Mus ber Spnobe. über die Revolution in Brafilien und unfere Uns ftalt in Porto Alegre teilt ber Direktor ber Anftalt, Dr. Jahn, im "Rirchens blatt" bom 15. Oftober b. J. folgendes mit: "Am Abend bes 3. Oftober fündete uns das Gefnatter von Maschinengewehren an, daß die erwartete Erhebung bes Bolles gegen die bisherige Bundesregierung in unferer Stadt begonnen habe. Balb fclugen auch einzelne Rugeln, die ihr Biel verfehlt hatten ober absichtlich in die Sobe geschoffen worden waren, bei uns ein. Rachbarn, Die aus ber Stadt nach Saufe geeilt waren, bestätigten unfere Bermutungen, daß ber Aufstand nun zur Tat geworben war. Am nächsten Morgen erfuhren wir, bag ber Aufftand in unserer Stadt ichon fiegreich gu Ende geführt fei, und die Zeitungen berichteten am folgenden Tage, daß die Revolution in unserm gangen Staate wie auch in vielen andern Staaten, wo fie gleichzeitig stattfand, ohne viel Blutvergießen gesiegt habe. Die letten Rachrichten befagen aber, bag bie Bunbesregierung gefonnen fei, Wiberftand zu leiften, und daß ein Beer, das fich beständig mehrt, auf bem Auge nach bem Norden begriffen fei, um die Regierung abzuseten. Es ift baber noch nicht borauszusehen, was die nächsten Bochen uns bringen werben. Gott bewahre bas Land und schenke ihm bald ben Frieden wieder! Da manche ber Eltern unserer Schüler vielleicht in Sorge um biese leben, fei hier mitgeteilt, bag awar awangig unferer Schuler Referviften ober Ranbibaten für bas Reserviftenegamen find und baber balb gum Dienste herangezogen werben können, daß fie aber vorläufig für ben Bachtbienft in unferer Stadt bestimmt find." F. B.

Buniden auch eine Fortbilbung bes Luthertums. Much bie brotestantifden Settenfirden bon St. Louis, fotveit fie in ber Metropolitan Church Federation of St. Louis bertreten find, forberten au einer besonberen Reformationsfeier am 2. Robember b. J. auf. In bem Lofalblatt The Church at Work hieß es: "Reformation Day this year takes on a special significance in view of the fact that this is the four-hundredth anniversary of the issuing of the Augsburg Confession. That confession embodied the consensus of opinion of those who had discovered the significance of Martin Luther's entrance upon the freer domain of obedience to the Scriptures under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. What thus happened four hundred year ago has become momentous in the history of the world, has profoundly affected all the generations since that day, and has left its mark indelibly upon human institutions." Auf bicfes allgemein gehaltene Lob der Reformation der Kirche durch Luthers Dienst folgte nun aber biefer Schlußparagraph, worin auf eine wünschenswerte Fortbildung bes Luthertums hingewiesen wird: "It is appropriate that, with the spiritual meaning of such occurrences in our minds, we should observe this day this year with particular thankfulness and with a renewal of devotion to the ideals, perhaps not fully seen then by Luther and his associates, which come closer to the mind and heart of Jesus Christ, our Lord." Das ift gart ausgebrudt. Einzelheiten werben nicht genannt. Dagegen haben einige Glieber ber ameritanifch-lutherifden Rirche in What Is Lutheranism? bas "Burud gu Luther und gum lutherifden Befenntnis!" für gang unmöglich erflart, und gwar unter Angabe einzelner Lehren, die zu unserer Beit nicht mehr festgehalten werden konnten. Gie nennen die Irrtumslofigfeit ber Beiligen Schrift, Die gangliche Berberbtbeit ber menschlichen Natur, Die Mitteilung ber göttlichen Gigenschaften an die menschliche Natur Christi, auch die lutherische Lehre vom Abendmahl. Die Belege find mitgeteilt im Monthly, im Robemberheft b. 3., 6, 866 ff. Wenn wir uns nicht irren, war es ber "Lutherische Berold", bas offizielle beutsche Organ ber U. L. C., bas bem Bunfche Ausbrud gab, What Is Lutheranism? möchte gar nicht erschienen fein. Wir find binfichtlich biefes Bunttes anderer Meinung. Laffen wir es völlig far unter uns werben, wie es innerhalb ber ameritanisch-lutherischen Kirche in bezug auf bas Festhalten an ber ichriftgemäßen lutherischen Lehre steht. Das tann und foll die Beranlaffung werben, durch flare Belehrung und Ermahnung ben Schaden zu beilen. F. P.

The Oversupply of Ministers.—The Lutheran of October 9, 1930, after investigating the situation which gave currency to the report that there will be an oversupply of ministerial candidates in the United Lutheran Church, characterizes that report as a "disgraceful rumor." It writes editorially: "We recently met a young man who will be graduated from one of our theological seminaries in 1931. He knew that the number of students now in training for pastorates in the United Lutheran Church is larger than ever in the past, and he had heard the rumor that there are too few vacancies to provide them with places of labor. Where this rumor originated he did not tell us, but that it has reached young men now studying theology, the conversation cited above makes evident. The Lutheran has sought to run down the report and hereby transmits the information received. . . . It can also be declared without fear of con-

Theological Observer. — Rirchlich-Reitgeschichtliches.

58 Pieper: Theological Observer. – Kirchilch Zeitgeschichtliches tradiction that the U.L.C. would lack men right now if its program of expansion were in operation instead of on paper in the minutes of its boards. There has been a distinct slowing down in the rate of starting missions both at home and abroad during the past two bienniums. Board of American Missions reports new missions, but it just about balances these with 'congregations that have become self-supporting.' The Board of Foreign Missions makes an equivalent confession when it indicates only replacements instead of entrance into new fields. The problem of student-pastors in non-Lutheran colleges and universities is no nearer solution now than it was two years or four years ago. Inner Mission calls for ordained men are not given in the clarion tones the ministry of mercy deserves. Yet when every thoughtful Christian realizes that a kind of crisis confronts the Church and when there is evidence that the supply of men available for sending is encouraging, the report gets currency that these young men may not be needed. We can tell you where this disgraceful rumor got its start. It came, not from lack of opportunities to use ministers, but from the Church's failure to finance a program of expansion. How can the Board of Foreign Missions commission missionaries when its receipts are insufficient to extend the work under its care? How can the Board of American Missions realize on its opportunities when its financial resources are absorbed by a fixed number of pastors' salaries and its church extension capital is liquid only to the degree that loans are repaid? Instead of being faced with an oversupply of ministers, we are in the midst of an underconviction of the opportunity to extend the kingdom of God. Let the churches meet their apportionments this year, and there will be plenty of places for all the graduates in 1931 and in 1932. We 'feel' that that is how the situation will be met. We have too much confidence in the faith of the membership of the United Lutheran Church to believe that those willing and able to be its pastors will lack parishes and pulpits."

Every thoughtful Christian in our Synod, too, realizes that a crisis confronts us. Are we ready, while supporting the other minor and major activities of the Church, to restrict the one great activity of the Church, the spreading of the Gospel by means of preparing and placing ministers of the Gospel?

A Presbyterian on Dr. Ferm's Symposium in "What Is Lutheranism?" - While there have been some Lutherans who have found nothing to criticize in the collection of essays made by Dr. Ferm except a few soundly and distinctively Lutheran statements made by some of the contributors, there is a Presbyterian who discerns the chaff among the wheat and does not hesitate to draw attention to it. It is Dr. Samuel G. Craig, editor of Christianity To-day. Our readers will be interested in the following paragraph from his review on "What Is Lutheranism?" "The least satisfactory of all is the foreword and conclusion by the editor of the book, Dr. Ferm, who, by the way, is the professor of philosophy in Wooster College, a fact that is not fitted to add to the reputation of that institution as a sound Presbyterian institution. The contributions by Drs. Evjen and Wendell are of doubtful value, while that by Dr. Weigle (who is no longer a Lutheran) is slight and not very significant. Those, however, by Drs. Offerman, Wentz, Reu, Hefelbower, Scherer, Haas, Dau,

and Rohne, while not of equal value, are all of high value and breathe a spirit of genuine Lutheran culture and scholarship. It is regrettable, it seems to us, that such worthy articles should have been published under the auspices of one occupying not merely so un-Lutheran, but so unchristian a position as that of Dr. Ferm. Dr. Ferm has done what he could (unwittingly, of course) to destroy the value of this volume; but despite his efforts it has great worth and is to be commended to the attention of all those interested in learning about contemporary Lutheranism." Will the unionists in the Lutheran Church of America please take notice and ask themselves whether it is in keeping with the principles of Holy Scripture if men of negative views, like Dr. Ferm, are received as brethren by those who squarely stand on the Scriptures and the Lutheran Confessions?

Eine Anweisung zum weisen Gebrauch bes Rabios lefen wir im "Gemeindeblatt" der Wisconfinsnobe. Es heift ba in der Rummer bom 30. November b. 3 .: "Alle Erfindungen in biefer Belt, geftern wie auch heute, die ber Berbreitung von Gedanken dienen und biese zu andern binaustragen, werben von zwei gewaltigen Mächten, die in diefer Belt herrichen, balb nachbem die Erfindung gemacht wurde, in ihren Dienft gestellt. Die eine biefer Madte, die erfte und allerhöchste, ift unfer Gott; die andere ift ber Teufel. Beibe, wie schon gesagt, ergreifen, sobald eine ber Berbreitung von Gedanken dienende Erfindung gemacht worden ift, bon ihr Befit, um burch fie ihre Gebanken in alle Belt hinauszutragen. Gottes Gedanken find immer aut, vollfommen, beilfam und köftlich, benn fie find auf unfer Beil gerichtet; wenn baber eine Erfindung von Gott in feinen Dienst gestellt ift, so wird fie ben Menschen gum Gegen. Des Teufels Gedanken find immer boje, erlogen, benn er ift ein Lügner bon Anfang; bes Teufels Gebanten find immer nur barauf gerichtet, bie Gunbe gu mehren und bamit die Berbammnis. Benn nun ber Teufel eine Erfindung in seine Sand nimmt, so wird fie ben Menschen gum Fluch. Bie merten wir bas bod an einer ber tounderbarften Erfindungen unferer Zeit, bem Radio! Gott hat es in feinen Dienft geftellt und läßt baburch fein seligmachendes Evangelium über die gange Welt hin erschallen. das Radio ein Segen. Aber der Teufel braucht es auch und überschwemmt bamit die Belt mit feinem Gift, bas die Seele totet. Bas follen wir ba tun? Das Radio abidgaffen? Getviß nicht. Damit würden wir ja wohl verhüten, daß das Radio uns und den Unfrigen schabe, zugleich aber auch ben Segen, ber von Gott ausgeht und über bas Radio in unfer Saus kommt, bon uns fernhalten. Wir brauchen bas Radio nicht abzuschaffen, jondern nur abzustellen, sobald wir merten, daß das, was dasfelbe mitteilt, arg ist und bom Teufel ausgesandt ist; ebenso brauchen wir nur angustellen, wenn Gott über bas Radio zu uns rebet. Wer bas tut, braucht fein Radio recht; und diefe fo wertvolle, heute faft unentbehrliche Erfindung wird une nicht aum Aluch, fondern aum Segen gereichen."

F. B.

Die Jungfrau Maria gegen die "Missourier" zu Hisse gerufen. Wir lesen im "Kirchenblatt" von Porto Alegre, dem Organ unsers Brasilianischen Distrikts, folgendes: "Die letzte Nummer der "Wonatsmeinung", eines katholischen Blättchens der Diözese Porto Alegre, widmet sich besonders der Abwehr protestantischer Missionen in katholischen Ländern. Zu diesen kathos 60

lischen Ländern rechnet das Blatt auch Brasilien und beklagt es, daß so viele nordameritanifche Rirchengemeinschaften bier Miffion treiben. Bu biefen gahlt ber Schreiber auch unsere Rirche. Er ermahnt die Leser: "Sorgen wir nur bafür, bag unfern Pfarreien ber religiofe Gifer erhalten bleibe; bann werden die Amerikaner und auch die beutschen Missourianer fich nicht bineintpagen. Benn aber je einer es berfuchen follte, auf unfern Beigens ader Unfraut gu faen, legen wir ihm bas Sandwert mit aller Energie, ohne jedoch mit ben Gesetseswächtern in Streit zu geraten.' Die Rathos liten icheinen alfo in großer Gefahr zu fteben, ben Spruch zu bergeffen: Die Baffen unferer Ritterschaft find nicht fleischlich', 2 Ror. 10, 4, benn fonft hatte ber Schreiber fie wohl nicht gewarnt vor ber Anwendung folder Mittel, Die fie mit der Boligei in Konflift brachten. Das tatholifche Blatt fragt weiter: ,Bie follen wir nun gegen biefe religiöfe Gefahr antampfen? Antwort: ,Bunadit mit ben Baffen bes Gebetes, aber beharrlichen Gebetes. Sobann muffen wir in Brafilien die Undacht zu Maria, ber Befiegerin ber Frelehren, nicht nur erhalten, fondern noch mehr berbreiten und bertiefen. Bollte Gott, Die Ratholifen würden ben Ihrigen nicht eine Marienanbacht empfehlen, fondern ihnen Chrifti Erlöfungemert berfundigen! Bapit ift ja ber Antidrift. Rein Bunber, bag feine Anhanger Die Beiligen anrufen, um bas Evangelium bon ihnen fernguhalten."

Apostolic Succession. - How firmly the believers in the Apostolic Succession believe in the reality of an apostolic succession and what great blessings they believe it confers on the Church that possesses it, is brought out in the sermon preached by Bishop W. T. Manning at the consecration of his suffragan bishop. "There has just now been much discussion as to the origin of episcopacy. In the light of all this discussion the report presented to the Lambeth Conference by the Committee on the Unity of the Church says: 'Without entering into the discussion of theories which divide scholars, we may affirm shortly that we see no reason to doubt the statement made in the preface to our ordinal that from the apostles' time there have been these orders of ministers in Christ's Church - bishops, priests, and deacons.' 'What we uphold,' this report states, 'is the episcopate maintained in successive generations by continuity of succession and consecration as it has been throughout the history of the Church from the earliest times.' In common with all the ancient Catholic communions, which include to-day three-fourths of all Christendom, the Episcopal Church believes that, when our Lord founded His Church in this world, He Himself appointed a ministry and that this ministry has come down to the present time through the succession of the bishops. . . . The Episcopal Church holds the Catholic doctrine of the priesthood. No one who reads and understands her Prayer-book can be in doubt as to this. It is this which constitutes the difference between the ministry of the Episcopal Church and that of the Protestant churches; not that one is a real ministry and the other is not .- the Episcopal Church holds no such view. - but that one is a ministerial priesthood and the other does not so regard itself and definitely rejects the doctrine of the priesthood. This explains the fact that a priest of the Roman Catholic Church or of the Holy Orthodox Eastern Church or of any Catholic communion who comes into the ministry of the Anglican communion is not reordained, whereas a minister of any Protestant communion . . . must be ordained to the priesthood through the laying on of hands by a bishop. . . . The report presented to the recent Lambeth Conference says: 'We hold the catholic faith in its entirety, that is to say, the truth of Christ contained in Holy Scripture stated in the Apostles' and Nicene creeds, and safeguarded by the historic threefold order of the ministry.' . . . The unbroken succession of the episcopate, coming down to us from apostolic times, is the visible, living witness of God's coming into this world in the Incarnation; for the episcopate is the successor of the apostolate, and the apostolate was the direct representative of the risen and ascended Christ." (The Living Church, November 8, 1930.)

The story is continued in Time (November 17, 1930): "Several days were necessary for this high view to spread. Then, last week, the brickbats began twirling. . . . The Protestant Episcopal Church League ordered its secretary to denounce 'amazing lack of scholarship. . . . The simple fact is that, in defiance of every scrap of historical evidence, about which, in reality, there is not the slightest ambiguity, he [Bishop Manning] faithfully follows a tradition which took its origin, not from Jesus or His apostles, but from Greek thinkers of the second and following centuries. It is not a matter of doubt that the early Church was neither Baptist, Presbyterian, Congregational, nor Episcopalian; it was a free brotherhood of the Spirit, where its members were all of one heart and mind. Obviously some simple organization soon became necessary in view of the growing number of converts. This assumed different forms in different centers, as, for instance, presbyterian [elders] at Rome, episcopalian [overseers, supervisors] in some parts of Asia, and congregational in other localities. It is also a matter of history that, as the centuries rolled on, the episcopalian form of government ultimately superseded all others until the Reformation. A building can be no stronger than its foundation. There is no evidence to show that Jesus instituted the episcopalian form of government or any particular from of government.' . . ."

To conclude the story, it is necessary to point out that, while Jesus certainly did not institute the episcopal form of government nor any apostolic succession, He certainly did institute the office of the ministry. It was not so much in view of the growing number of converts that some simple organization became necessary as it was by order of the Head of the Church, given through the apostles, that the Christians of any particular locality formed communities and called pastors to minister to them, a form of "organization" maintained in the Lutheran Church to the present day. Paul and Barnabas "ordained them elders in every church," Acts 14, 23. And Paul gave these orders to Titus: "For this cause left I thee in Crete, that thou shouldest set in order the things that are wanting and ordain elders [v.7: "bishops"] in every city, as I had appointed thee," Titus 1, 5.

Marriage and Divorce. — The *Presbyterian*, criticizing a recent marriage-and-divorce plan suggested by Dean Inge, offers timely comment on the important question of marriage. We read: —

"Gloomy Dean Inge has come forth again, this time on the subject of marriage. His plan is that marriages by the state shall be easily dissolved, but marriages by the Church should be indissoluble. That has

Pieper: Theological Observer. – Kirchilch Zeitgeschichtliches the distinction of being new. A considerable company of the clergy seem bound to make over God's plan to suit the whims and lusts of men. Mar-

riage is very irksome to a certain type of people, and it often happens that they want release. It is not now very difficult to secure freedom if people have the price. But high-minded people have been quite unanimous in the opinion that easy and frequent divorce works badly from every point of view. There is a Christian ground for divorce, and we to-day put no stigma upon the innocent party and very little on the guilty party. It often happens that there is no innocent party, both having violated the promise to keep self only for the other, 'so long as you both shall live.' We are not going to solve the difficulty by catering to human caprice. A majority of couples have a period when continuing true to each other is a hard and serious task, but a great majority of that majority endure the strain and grow into a true unity. To be sure, some women are tied to very trying men, and men also have, in some instances, wives who are far from perfect; but they entered into marriage of their own volition and by God's help can live together until death shall part them. It happens so frequently that one who secures one divorce desires very much to secure another. It is a deep question with many perplexities when we follow human reason or human desires. The best and safest way for family and society is to find God's ideal and stick to it. That there have been tragic blunders no one will deny; but we believe in every case it will be found that they are due to too much haste, too little listening to wise and loving counselors, too little prayer to God for guidance before the event, and no prayer at all together after.

"Dean Inge opens a way for sheer lust to have state sanction. Like that far less able American Ben Lindsay he is pandering to the lower rather than the higher in man. What it amounts to in both cases is that young people will gain a standing for the selfish desires, which are at times very strong. No, we cannot degrade our God-ordained institution of marriage by any device. Once in it, we are to stay in spite of all the friction, burden, trial, that sometimes come, until death intervenes. Hard, you say? Yes, in some instances, very hard; but it is always hard to be fine, righteous, and noble. Shall we reduce the standard because it is hard? It is too bad that so many church leaders try so persistently to let unholy cravings have approval. Let the standards alone. One man, one woman, joined in the most noble union until earthly life for one or the other is finished. Dean Inge is interesting, but very, very wrong."

J. T. M.

A Noted Biblical Scholar. - To all who are acquainted with the excellent work which Prof. Dr. R. D. Wilson, first at Princeton and then at Westminster Seminary, did to expose the fallacies and lies of destructive higher critics, the notice of his death came as a severe shock. The Sunday-school Times accords to him the following words of rare praise and appreciation: -

"Biblical scholarship has had in the past thirty years no self-sacrificing devotee, no competent leader more distinguished or more learned than Robert Dick Wilson. Thousands of students and thousands outside seminary classrooms have been blessedly strengthened in the faith by the findings of that tireless scholar, whose amazing linguistic knowledge, whole-hearted consecration, and mastery of factual evidence in support of the Biblical text have given him preeminence in the defense of the Scriptures. And now, in his seventy-fifth year, Dr. Wilson has been called to be with Christ, whom he so devotedly loved and so nobly served. He died after a brief illness in the Presbyterian Hospital, Philadelphia, on October 11. Two of his most significant books were published by the Sunday-school Times Company: Is the Higher Criticism Scholarly? and A Scientific Investigation of the Old Testament. Dr. Wilson resigned from the faculty of Princeton Theological Seminary in 1929, where he had served for thirty years, and was one of the leaders in organizing the new Westminster Seminary in Philadelphia, where he was Professor of Semitic Philology and Old Testament Criticism. He was widely known as a lecturer here and abroad. What he was to his associates, how he did his really prodigious work of linguistic research, and an appraisal of his place among the foremost Biblical scholars of our time will be told in an early issue of the Sunday-school Times by Prof. Oswald T. Allis, his coworker for many years in his chosen field." J. T. M.

The American Anti-Bible Society. — The Sunday-school Times (September 13) reports: "The American Bible Society is in the old Bible House on Fourth Avenue in New York City. Not far away, on East Fourteenth Street, is the American Anti-Bible Society. 'If it's against the Bible, we have it,' is the announcement of this 'headquarters for anti-Biblical literature.' 'Catalog free on request.' The legal representative of the Soviet government in the United States sought incorporation for this society. The spirit of Moscow is seen in the announced purposes of the society: 'to bar the Bible from the public school; to dislodge it from the guest-room in hotels; to discourage its use at gubernatorial and presidential inaugurations; to remove it from the witness stand; to check and ultimately stop its unsolicited distribution among soldiers, seamen, patients, and prisoners; to counteract the work of societies circulating it as the Word of God.' 'The American Anti-Bible Society,' we are told, 'offers a broad platform upon which Modernists, higher critics, Unitarians, evolutionists, rationalists, freethinkers, agnostics, and atheists may unite to discredit the Bible as an infallible book. . . . Liberals of every shade are eligible for membership."

"These people 'desire the names and addresses of students preparing for the ministry. Copies of current catalogs of preacher factories will be gladly received.' This is obviously a move to break down or remove the future leadership of the Church. They continue: 'Most denominational schools are hotbeds of heresy as it is impossible for an educational institution to maintain any degree of dignity without teaching evolution. Higher criticism produces skeptics. Whoever accepts evolution should stop preaching Christianity. The descendants of apes don't need a Savior. Christmas is more and more being celebrated without reference to Jesus.' The call is sounded to establish forums wherever possible (wherever atheism can be popularized). It is noticeable that among the vice-presidents of the Freethinkers of America are Prof. Ellen Hayes of Wellesley and Prof. H. E. Barnes of Smith. Both colleges were founded by Christians with specifically Christian purpose."

Pieper: Theological Observer. – Kirchlich Zeitgeschichtliches Modernism and Prayer. — Some time ago the Christian Century Magazine conducted a symposium in which prominent American clergymen were asked to express their views on the efficacy of prayer for rain. The replies which were made showed the great cleavage between positive Christian faith and modernistic agnosticism. As the Presbyterian reports, Dr. Mary Matthews of Seattle and Dr. James D. Gray of Chicago were among the minority in the symposium, declaring that God made the weather and could change it. Dr. H. E. Fosdick of New York said: "No imaginable connection exists between man's inward spiritual attitude and a rain-storm." Dr. W. P. Lemon of Minneapolis called praying for rain an attempt to "involve God in a cooperative scheme to maintain present American living standards." The Presbyterian comments on this: "Any utterance which leads men to think that any part of life can be safely divorced from God is very harmful. We would have been in the minority in the gathering referred to."

We can well understand why modernistic preachers should affirm the futility of prayers for rain. Modernism denies both the creative and the sustaining providence of God. Its supreme god is either fate or chance, and neither leaves any room for prayer, just as it allows no trust in a gracious divine providence. Wherever Modernism reigns, there is place only for the Egyptian darkness of utter despair.

J. T. M.

A Blessing of the Tercentenary Celebration.—There are certain facts in the history which are being stubbornly overlooked; one of these pertains to our boasted liberty of conscience or religion, which certain school-text-book writers present as having existed even in the earliest New England colonies. The Watchman-Examiner, among others, explodes this myth in an editorial on the recent Bay State Tercentenary Celebration. We read: "The Bay State Tercentenary Celebration reached its climax on September 17. On that day, three hundred years ago, Boston received its name. Over in Lancashire, England, there is another Boston, so aged that our Boston seems but a child. It was from that Lancashire Boston that our Boston received its name. . . . The intensive study of New England's history during the past year will prove a blessing to the multitudes who have been engaged in this study. The Puritans came here to escape persecution and then became persecutors themselves. John Cotton in old Boston could not stand interference. John Cotton in new Boston became an intolerant fanatic. . . . The Puritans believed so profoundly in their interpretation of Christianity that they tried to force everybody else to accept that interpretation." It is well for us to keep this fact in mind, for it proved itself a potent factor in shaping many subsequent events. The intolerant spirit of the spiritual descendants of those early settlers, which to-day crops out in Sunday-enforcement laws and insistence on political and economic reforms in the name of religion, is a heritage of those early Colonial days.

Yet there is another fact which must not be ignored. After all, no one can blame the Puritans for believing so profoundly in their religious tenets that they whole-heartedly rejected all opposite views. True conviction never compromises with what it believes to be error. The confessional and missionary spirit of the New England colonists in itself

cannot be condemned. Earnest Christians have always tried to win others to their beliefs. But the great fault of those early settlers lay in their mingling of Church and State, so that recourse was had to the police power of the government to enforce religious submission. It is this fault that explains the religious persecutions of those early times; for wherever Church and State cooperate in the maintenance of certain religious tenets, persecution needs must follow. To-day the mistaken ideas of those early settlers still prevail in the minds of our sectarian churchmen, who, like their fathers, mingle Church and State and in this way create confusion and cause antagonism to the Church. We certainly hope that the intensive study of New England's history will prove a blessing to those engaged in this study.

J. T. M.

The Characteristics of Baptist Churches. — In an article entitled "Needed: A Harmony Church," published in the *Churchman* (September 13), Gerald Cunningham discusses the characteristics of several denominations, particularly the Roman Catholics, the Methodists, the Baptists, the Presbyterians, and the Episcopalians. The *Watchman-Examiner*, taking issue with the writer's "farcical and untrue" description of these churches, charges him with "culpable ignorance" of the Baptist churches and criticizes especially the following paragraph: —

"If the Methodist and Baptist churches had not found the liquor traffic ready to hand, they would have to invent some other 'social problem.' Indeed, they are now beginning to turn to sex hygiene, not too frankly treated, of course, as the next reform program. The skilled mechanic who has begun to graduate into the shopkeeper and smaller business-man group is great on ethics. He has not the cultural background to grasp beauty or symbolism without a very practical basis (which may be to his credit, for all we know). His doctrinal approach is the Ten Commandments and morality. His delight is in numbers; his thrill is to slogans and crusades; his ritual is found in a secret order, but his religion is in reform."

We are not partial to the liberalistic, agnostic, and frivolous *Churchman;* but in spite of the *Watchman-Examincr's* protests it seems to us as if Mr. Cunningham's description of present-day sectarianism contains more than a modicum of truth. The interest of modern sectarian churches in "social problems," their emphasis on ethics and morality, their delight in numbers, slogans, and crusades, their toleration of, and often even attachment to, secret orders, and their mania for social reforms are too conspicuous to be denied.

J. T. M.

The American Lutheran Conference and the U. L. C. — When writing about the American Lutheran Conference, the editor of the Lutheran Companion makes a reference to the United Lutheran Church in America which ought not to pass unnoticed. It seems to us that it requires an explanation. The editor writes: "When we stop to consider that 'the new federation will devote its energies toward elimination of overlapping of work of the various bodies which will compose it, treating the problems of the churches as a whole, without in any way encroaching upon individual prerogatives or independence,' there is one Lutheran body which, in our opinion, should be a part of this American Lutheran Con-

ference, startles, the content of the new conference to see any part of the Lutheran Church in America isolated from the rest when the cause of the whole Church is the object for which we are striving. The U. L. C. does not desire, we believe, to stand alone, and in our mind there is no danger that its coming into the conference will in any way affect the comity that we expect to see ruling in the organization. What we all desire is the growth of American Lutheranism and the extension of God's kingdom on earth and the fulfilment of that wish will depend, not only on the rank and file of the Lutheran Church, but also and primarily on Lutheran church leaders. If we cannot as yet expect the Synodical Conference to join in a larger Lutheran confederation, we can prevent that there shall be three instead of two large Lutheran groups."

We are at a loss what to think of the declaration contained in the above remarks in which the editor of the Lutheran Companion favors the reception of the U. L. C. into the American Lutheran Conference. The American Lutheran Conference, if the recommendations of the committee originating it are followed, will have a definite doctrinal platform. Would the U. L. C. and all its members be willing to place themselves on that platform? Would they, for instance, subscribe to its paragraphs on the inerrancy of the Scriptures and the opposition to membership in lodges? These are the great questions which have to be answered. Perhaps the editor of the Lutheran Companion wishes to suggest that the U. L. C. should be invited to study the platform of the new conference and, if it can, adopt it and be received as a member. That, of course, would throw an altogether different light on his statement. Our interest in drawing attention to the editorial in the Lutheran Companion is the earnest desire that the important truth be not overlooked which Professor Elert of Erlangen, whom we quote at length elsewhere, has expressed thus: "Our Church's chief concern has been purity of doctrine, to which she, together A. with the Augustana, pledges herself."

II. Ausland.

Gine Unweifung jum Studium bes Alten Teftamente. Brof. Dr. Emil Balla-Marburg fchreibt in "Theologifche Mitteilungen aus bem Antiquariat Bernh. Liebifd" bom 15. Robember b. 3. u. a.: "Bei allen feinen Bes mühungen um bas Berftandnis bes Alten Testaments in feinen Teilen und als Ganges bergeffe ber Student jedoch eins nicht. Das Alte Teftament ift für und nicht ein Dofument einer beliebigen Religion, beren Renntnis vielleicht intereffant ift, die uns aber innerlich nichts angeht. Teftament ift ein Stud unferer Bibel, von ber wir glauben, bag fie Gottes Wort enthalt. Jeder Student muß es von feinem erften Gemefter an als eine beilige, ihm gang perfonlich geftellte Aufgabe ansehen, immer wieder burch die zeitgeschichtlich bedingten Formen ber alttestamentlichen Offenbarung zu bem eigentlichen Inhalt bes im Alten Testament enthals tenen Gotteswortes hindurchzudringen, ber Emigfeitsbedeutung hat. bas Alte Teftament ftubiert, ohne felber in feinem Innerften bon bem einen, lebendigen, unbedingten Unipruch erhebenden Gott bes Alten Teftaments ergriffen gu werben, hat im Grunde Beit und Dube bers Sier ift hingugufügen: Chriftus und feine beiligen Apoftel fcbmenbet."

Iehren, daß die Schrift Alten Testaments Gottes Wort nicht bloß "enthält", sondern Gottes eigenes umfehlbares Wort ist, Joh. 10, 35: "Die Schrift kann nicht gebrochen werden"; 2 Tim. 3, 16: "Alle Schrift dom Gott eingegeben." Auch 2 Petr. 1, 21 beziehen sich die Worte: "Die heisligen Wenschen Gottes haben geredet, getrieben von dem Heiligen Geist", auf die Schrift des Alten Testaments, wie aus dem griechischen Text (B. 20) hervorgeht. In bezug auf die Erlernung der hebräischen Sprache gibt Prof. Balla einige gute Winke, z. B. den, möglichst viel hebräische Vokadeln auswendig zu lernen und laut hebräisch zu lesen.

Verbal Inspiration Denied in Australia. - The Australian Lutheran reports the following: "Again and again the Anglican bishop of Adelaide has given evidence of his Modernism in theology. Another evidence of this was given when he recently stated in his pastoral address that, although recent Biblical criticism had only strengthened the position of the Bible and excavations and research had confirmed the Bible narrative in unexpected ways, yet the contentions of the Fundamentalists (those who stick to the old faith), who believed that every word of the Bible was inspired and that everything happened historically as the Bible records, were not confirmed and could not be. Naturally a public statement of this kind brought forth many protests from believing children of God. Letters written to the press gave evidence that the Lord still has His seven thousand who have not bowed the knee to the modern Baal of skepticism. Possibly more letters were written than were published, and those published were possibly much abridged. The following, sent in to the Advertiser by Pastor Th. Lutze, appeared only in part: -

"To the deep sorrow of thousands of earnest Christians Dr. Thomas, in his pastoral address, has again attacked the Bible, God's Book, declaring that not all of its historical statements are true. Fortunately there are many thousands who know and believe the Bible to be in its each and every statement the inspired, inerrant Word of God, it having proved itself to be such in their lives and daily experience. For the benefit, however, of those who are inclined to follow the bishop rather than the Book of God, will Dr. Thomas give your readers a list of the historical inaccuracies he so confidently alleges the Bible contains? Those who have read but a little about the Higher Criticism know of its bombastic assertions and its many humiliating defeats at the hands of able scholars who accept the verbal inspiration of every part of the Bible. The history of the Higher Criticism is a tragic one and too sad for words. Surely, 'tis true that "the time is out of joint" when leaders in the Church charge God's Book with untruthfulness. Let me quote what the learned Bishop Ryle wrote: "Once admit the principle that the writers of the Bible could make mistakes and were not in all things guided by the Spirit, and I know not where I am. I see nothing certain, nothing solid, nothing trustworthy, in the foundations of my faith. A fog has descended on the Book of God and enveloped every chapter in uncertainty. Who shall decide when the writers of Scripture made mistakes and when they did not? How am I to know where inspiration ends and where it begins? What I think inspired another may think uninspired. The texts I rest upon may possibly have been put in by a slip of the pen! The words and phrases that I love to feed upon may possibly be weak, earthly expressions, in writing which the author was left to his own private, uninspired mind. The glory is departed from my Bible at this rate. A cold feeling of suspicion and doubt creeps over me as I read it. I am almost tempted to lay it down in flat despair. A partially inspired Bible is little better than no Bible at all."

"That is perfectly true. Our Christian faith rests on the Bible. If the Bible is a hoax, then also is Christianity. Let Christians continue to believe that they have a sure word of prophecy. The Scriptures cannot be broken.

"At a conference of the Southern Subdivision of the South Australian Pastoral Conference, held at Birdwood on September 9 and 10, 1930, the following resolution with regard to this matter was adopted:—

"This conference notes with deep regret the seemingly determined efforts on the part of leaders of some churches to discredit the Bible in some of its historical statements and to undermine the Biblical truth of verbal inspiration, and it pledges itself to resist to the utmost the insidious attacks of Higher Criticism and Modernism, which, under the specious plea that verbal inspiration is not acceptable to the intellectual man of to-day, make concessions to man's innate unbelief and in fact charge those with insincerity who still hold the doctrine of verbal inspiration."

J. T. M.

The Lutheran Church in Russia. - In an open news-letter Dr. John A. Morehead touches on this subject and presents what we might look upon as the latest information available. He says: "The Christian churches in Russia, after suffering the distresses of the World War, succeeding civil wars, change of form of government, and famine, have been caught in the toils of a thoroughgoing social and economic revolution. To what extent the almost unendurable afflictions of organized religion are due to the temporarily unavoidable hardships and excesses of the period of transition and to what extent they grow out of permanent elements of the Soviet system, are not yet entirely clear. The process of the execution of the five-year plan for the nationalization of industry, including agriculture, is impoverishing well-to-do farmers (Kulaks), a large class, upon whom the churches have largely depended for support. Moreover, although the new Russian constitution proclaims the separation of Church and State and provides, in a way, for religious freedom, limiting decrees and practise raise the gravest questions as to the real attitude of the Soviet government toward religion and as to whether there really is genuine religious liberty in Russia. Is the Soviet system with its background of antireligious philosophy, with its secularization of education, the press, and charity, and with its unofficial support of the activities of the 'Society of the Godless' compatible with the existence and development of the Christian Church in Russia?" In a later paragraph Dr. Morehead relates that in the closing months of 1929 and in the first part of 1930 the hostility against churches amounted to persecution. Among others, Lutheran pastors were arrested, imprisoned, and exiled. The Lutheran theological seminary in Leningrad was compelled to quit its quarters, although the rental contract was still good for three years. However, the students were housed in farmers' homes, and with exemplary devotion on the part of students and professors the instruction continued. Early in April the clouds dispersed a little, when Mr. Stalin issued a proclamation ordering that all physical religious persecution cease and that religion be opposed by no other means than education. For the seminary at Leningrad the tide quite unexpectedly has turned for the better. "A wealthy citizen of a foreign country offered his residence near the center of the city of Leningrad at a rental no more than previously paid for the use of this vitally necessary institution for the recruiting of the ministry of the Lutheran Church. The building is larger and more commodious than that previously occupied, providing ample facilities for classrooms, dormitories for the students, and apartments for professors. . . . Hence the outlook now is favorable." It will be remembered that this seminary, which means so much for the Lutheran Church of Russia, was opened in 1924.

The Augsburg Confession a Bond of Union. - Writing on this subject, Prof. Werner Elert of Erlangen, Germany, expresses some thoughts which merit quotation. He points out that at the time when the Augsburg Confession was drawn up, three views were held as to how the unity of faith should be given expression. "The Landgrave Philip of Hesse, who was greatly influenced by Zwingli, demanded a political federation against the emperor and the Pope. The Margrave of Brandenburg advocated as a necessary condition of union, not only agreement in doctrine, but acceptance of a common church constitution as well. Saxony declined to enter into such an agreement. Unity of doctrine was essential. Freedom in determining matters pertaining to external ceremonials must be granted to each province." We all know that this view prevailed, and we thank God for it. Professor Elert reminds us how in the centuries that followed the Augsburg Confession united the Lutherans of Germany with those of the Scandinavian countries. What of other peoples? He says: "The Evangelicals east of the empire, the churches in Esthonia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Poland, among the Transylvanians as well as in the Netherlands, were united into a great communion of faith with the Germans in the unity of the Augsburg Confession. Dissension in most of these regions, especially in Poland and Hungary, was caused by Calvinism. If at this time constant pressure for union is being exerted, if the Lutherans are accused of endangering the unity of Protestantism by faithfully adhering to the [Augsburg] Confession, we might well ask why the propaganda for Calvinistic doctrine was made in these regions, which destroyed the unity of faith; for Calvinism did not enter these countries till the Lutheran Reformation had been introduced or where the Lutheran Church was already prospering. The Slovenian and Croatian Church became the prey of the Counter-Reformation." We hope that the following declaration of Professor Elert will more and more be recognized as true by all who call themselves Lutherans: "Our Church's chief concern has been purity of doctrine, to which she, together with the Augustana, pledges herself. Our Church therefore has been, and ever will be, true to herself so long as she holds fast to this Confession."

Bas Einstein nicht weiß, darüber hat er sich selbst nach einem Bericht ber Associated Press, datiert Berlin, den 15. November 1930, so ausges sprochen: "Dr. Albert Einstein, originator of the relativity theory, lectured on the laws of cause and effect last night before a crowd of young

radicals in Humboldt Hall. He encouraged his audience to ask questions and not to imagine they were foolish questions. 'For before God we are relatively all equally wise or - equally foolish,' he remarked. He touched on the metaphysical and psychological aspects of causation, beginning with the ideas of primitive peoples, who are able to conceive only an 'animistic will cause'; who, in other words, believe all happenings are directly caused by a thinking agency, human, divine, or demoniacal. He said he saw nothing to prove that the world was 'causal.' As to the 'first cause,' he said, he couldn't even now tell which came first, the hen or the egg. Determinism, which lays down that everything that happens is due to the law of necessity, Einstein said, 'is belief, not knowledge.' Physicists no longer believe in strict determinism, he added. Mankind, he said, has not gone very far in knowledge. 'The farther we proceed, the more formidable are the riddles facing us,' he asserted. He said the ultimate issues were beyond man's ken." Das ift bescheiden geredet. diese Bescheidenheit ift am Blate. Es gibt ein "metaphysisches Problem". Es wird wahr bleiben, daß in das Innere der Natur fein geschaffener Geift bringt. Es ift auch in neuerer Reit von Naturforschern barauf hingewiesen worden, daß die Ratfel in der Ratur fich mehren, je fcharfer bas Sandwerkszeug wird, womit wir die Ratur beobachten. Der Grund hierfür ift ber: Bie Gott alle Dinge geschaffen hat, so ift er es auch, ber alle Dinge in ihrem Gein und Leben und in Bewegung erhalt, Rol. 1, 16. 17. aber ift unfichtbar, 1 Tim. 6, 16, also unerreichbar für Mitroffop und Daher bas Refultat, bag bei ber gunehmenben Scharfe unferer Beobachtungsinstrumente die Rätsel sich mehren. Aber die "Bescheibenheit" kann auch zu weit getrieben werben. Das geschieht bann, wenn sie in Ugnoftigismus ausartet. Die Belt ift "causal" in bem Ginne, baß fie, auch abgesehen von der Offenbarung der Schrift, als von Gott gemacht erkannt wird, wenn fie mit Berstand (rovs) betrachtet wird, Rom. 1, 20; "Gottes unfichtbares Befen, bas ift, feine etvige Rraft und Gottheit, wird erfeben, fo man bes wahrnimmt an ben Berfen, nämlich an ber Schöpfung ber Belt." Bas die berühmt gewordene Prioritätsfrage betrifft, ob bas huhn ober das Ei ober — was auf gleicher Linie liegt — ob der Eichbaum ober bie Gidel bas erfte fei, fo lebrt bie Schrift befanntlich, baf burch Gottes Schöpfungswort eine fertige, bollfommen ausgebilbete Bflangens und Tierwelt ins Dafein trat. Die Bflangen find eber als ihr Came und Die Tiere eher als ihre Jungen. Ebenfo ift ber Menfch fertig und volls tommen ausgebildet geschaffen. Für die gegenteilige Annahme, die gange und die halbe Evolution, fehlen die "ausfüllenden Mittelglieder", wie befonnene Naturwiffenschaftler auch ber Reuzeit zugeben.

Der Papst will "bie dristliche Einheitsfront" nicht mitmachen. Der Berliner "Reichsbote" schreibt: "Man kann es in Deutschland noch immer nicht lassen, mehr ober weniger vernehmlich und deutlich von der "christ-lichen Einheitsfront" zu reden und zu schwärmen, in der sich der Protestantismus und Katholizismus zusammensinden müsse und werde gegen die Mächte der Gottlosigkeit und des Untermenschentums. Daß man mit diesen Träumen tatsächlich einem Phantom nachjagt, zeigt mit wünschenswerter Deutlichkeit wieder einmal der Osservatore Romano, den die "Schönere Zuskunst" vom 29. Juni 1930 zitiert. Das Batikanische Organ nimmt seine ablehnende Stellungnahme gegenüber einer Ligue pour le Christianisme

jum Anlag, um grundfähliche Erwägungen jum Problem interfonfeffioneller Bufammenfaffungen überhaubt zu veröffentlichen. Wir lefen u. a.: Bir erinnern bor allem baran, daß bas fichtbare Band, bas die Chriften gufammenfdließt, von Befu Chrifto, unferm Berrn, festgefest worden ift in feiner wahren Rirche, die, wie St. Ambrofius fagte, bort ift, wo Betrus ift. Die driftlichen Diffibenten berichiebener Denominationen haben fich leiber von diesem Bande losgemacht, und darum nehmen die Spaltungen und Setten immer mehr gu. Das einzige Mittel, fie zu vereinigen, tann tein anderes fein als das von Chrifto gewollte, das von ihm eingesette Bindemittel ber einen Berbe unter bem einen Birten Betrus, bem er auftrug: "Weibe meine Lämmer, weibe meine Schafel" . . . Gin Bindemittel unter den bestehenden driftlichen Institutionen ist eben unmöglich, gerade weil es bem einzigen von Chrifto in der Einheit seiner wahren sichtbar auf Betrus gegründeten Rirche entgegengesett ift. . . . Biele halten fich abfichtlich fern bon bem einen Weg und bilben fich ein, die Ginheit gu erreichen auf bem Wege bon Bergleichen, Bereinbarungen, Abschwächungen in Glaubensfachen oder durch Beiseitelegen, Musichliegen oder Abjehen bom Glauben mit dem Bwede, eine Art überfirche ohne Glaube und ohne Dogma herzustellen. . . Die Bereinigung ber driftlichen Kräfte gegen die Mächte bes Bofen ift unmöglich ohne bie Einheit bes driftlichen Geiftes, ber fich gehorsam ber einen und höchsten Leitung im Glauben und in christlicher Disziplin unterwirft. Gine folde Ginheit ift unmöglich ohne bas oberfte Lehr- und hirtenamt ber Christenheit, bas von Christo in Betrus eingesetzt worden ift.' Das ist deutlich. . . . Das wird die deutschen Schwarmgeifter freilich nicht hindern, weiter ihre Schlöffer in die leere Luft zu bauen, fich felbft zum Schaben." R. P.

Die "ameritanische Religion" in ber Türkei. In einer Mitteilung in der "M. G. Q. M." lefen wir: "Die ,ameritanifche Religion' wird ben Türken als Borbild borgehalten. Bas ift fie? Einer ber prominenten Staatsmänner der Türkei, naber Freund des Muftafa Remal Bafca, Faleh Rafigh Ben, Mitglied bes türkifchen Barlaments, fchreibt in ber Regierungszeitung Meliat': In einem Lande, beffen Natur, Städte, Tednit, Biffenichaft und Bolf erneuert werden follten, wie das von unferm Lande gilt, follte ameritanifche Rivilifation die Grundlage aller Anftrengungen fein. Eine Nachahmung ber Zivilisation Europas ift nicht gut für uns. Der erfte Schritt Diesem Riel entgegen wird die Ausbreitung ber englischen Sprache in unferm Bolle fein, weil wir, um ben Stand ameritanifcher Bivilifation und amerikanischen Geistes zu erreichen, nicht nur unsere Broduktionsorganifation ufto. andern follten, fondern querft unfer Erziehungsfiftem. Die geistige Berfassung, die man burch Erziehung erreicht und bie einen alles mit Erfolg angreifen läßt, mit andern Borten Initiative, fann man erlangen burch ameritanischen Geift und Zivilisation. Das ist bas gerabe Gegenteil des Geiftes, den unfere türfifchen Mollas uns gelehrt haben, und zwar jahrhundertelang. Wir follten entweder von diefem Bege abbiegen oder in unferer Starre bleiben wie gubor und fo fterben. Bir follten die amerikanische Religion annehmen mit gangem Bergen und fie als unfere wahre Religion anerkennen, weil das Ibeal diefer Religion ichopferifcher Angriffsgeift ift. Der Gott ber Ameritaner liebt nicht die Leute, die als Barafiten auf ben Strafen Sungers fterben, fondern liebt und fegnet einen Meniden, ber arbeitet und einen Valaft für fich baut. Faleh Rafigh Beh Pieper: Theological Observer. – Klrchllch Zeitgeschichtliches machte eine Reise durch Südamerika und Europa und begann seine Propaganda danach. Der Einsluß der Bereinigten Staaten in Latein-Amerika machte einen großen Eindruck auf ihn und führte ihn dazu, die amerikanische Zivilisation zu propagieren. Und jeht hilft ihm die türkische Regierung. Im fremdsprachlichen Unterricht ist weithin das Englische an die Stelle des Französischen getreten. — Daß der Türke die dollartüchtige Initiative der Amerikaner sir "Religion" hält, ist niederschlagend."

Book Review. - Literatur.

Allgemeine Missionsstudien. Herausgegeben von Prof. D. Julius Richter und Prof. D. M. Schlunt. Siebtes Heft: "Die Briefe des Apostels Paulus als missionarische Sendschreiben." Bon Prof. D. Julius Richter. Drud und Berlag von C. Bertelsmann in Güterssoh. 1929.

212 Seiten 6½×9½, in Leinwand mit Dedels und Rüdentitel gebunden. Preis: M. 8.

Eine Fulle intereffanter Bunfte wird in Diefem Buche berührt, Die namentlich benjenigen, ber fich mit paulinifder Eregeje beschäftigt, intereffieren werben, und immer werben biefe Buntte bom miffionarifden Befichtspuntt aus betrachtet. Go mag es wirflich ber Gall fein, bag ber befannte Diffionsmann ber Wegenwart D. Richter in biefem Buche bas Befte feiner Lebensarbeit barbietet, wie wir fürglich in einer Ungeige biefes Bertes lafen. Richter erweift fich auf jeber Geite als einen, ber bie manderlei Fragen über bie Entftehung ber paulinischen Briefe fennt. Er weiß auch in ber religionsgeschichtlichen Forschung ber Reuzeit gut Bescheib, weißt fie mehr als einmal ab und fagt gang richtig: "Es bertieft fich bei mir immer mehr bie überzeugung, bag ber wirfliche Schluffel jum Berftanbnis bes Apoftels feine Miffionsaufgabe ift, Die Botichaft bon ber Berfohnung ber Belt burch ben Rreugestod und die Auferstehung 3Gfu Chrifti ber bellenifden und belleniftifden Belt au berfündigen und boll berftanblich ju machen" (S. 3). Und fo behandelt er ber Reihe nach bie folgenden Rapitel: Der Apoftel Paulus als Berfonlichfeit; Paulus als Miffionar; Die Botichaft; Bauli Miffionsmethobe; Die beiben Theffalonicher: briefe; Der Galaterbrief; Die Gefchichte ber Rorinthergemeinbe bon ihrer Grun: bung bis jum zweiten Rorintherbriefe; Streifzige burch bie Rorintherbriefe; Der Römerbrief; Der Bhilipperbrief; Der Rolofferbrief; Der Cpheferbrief; Die Baftoralbriefe. - Wir tonnen nicht allen feinen Ausführungen guftimmen. Wenn er fagt: "Baulus war, wenn wir ben innerften Rerb feiner Frommigfeit carafterifieren wollen, Mpftifer" (S. 12), fo ift bas nicht richtig, es fei benn, bag man jeben gläubigen Chriften, ber mit Paulus fagt: "Ich lebe, boch nun nicht ich, fonbern Chriftus lebet in mir", Gal. 2, 20, einen Dhiftifer nennen will. fagt auch, bak "ber Charafter bes Baulus vielleicht nicht gans ohne Datel ift. Es banat wohl mit ber Leibenschaftlichfeit feines Temperaments, mit ber beigen Biebe gu feinen geiftlichen Rinbern gufammen, bag er im Rampfe mit feinen Gegnern bielleicht nicht immer bie ftrenge Grenge bes Bulaffigen in ber Rritit eingehalten hat" (6.13). Aber es gibt auch einen beiligen Born, einen beiligen Gifer um Gottes Chre und um bie Bahrheit bes Evangeliums und gegen beffen Feinde. Und fo haben wir uns noch eine Reihe fraglicher ober irriger Gage angemertt. Aber babei ift auch fo viel Richtiges und Gutes gefagt, bie einzelnen Briefe werben inhaltlich bem Lefer fo nabe gebracht, und bie Grunbe