Concordia Seminary - Saint Louis

Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary

Master of Sacred Theology Thesis

Concordia Seminary Scholarship

6-1-1971

A Study in the Origin of the Pauline Use of Mysterion

Gilbert John Holstein

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.csl.edu/stm



Part of the Biblical Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

Holstein, Gilbert John, "A Study in the Origin of the Pauline Use of Mysterion" (1971). Master of Sacred Theology Thesis. 400.

https://scholar.csl.edu/stm/400

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Concordia Seminary Scholarship at Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. It has been accepted for inclusion in Master of Sacred Theology Thesis by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. For more information, please contact seitzw@csl.edu.

A STUDY IN THE ORIGIN OF THE PAULINE USE OF MYSTERION

A Thesis Presented to the Faculty of Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, Department of Exegetical Theology in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Sacred Theology

by
Gilbert John Holstein

June 1971

Approved by:

Advisor

Reader

ARCH BV 4070 C69 M3 1971 no.6 SHORT TITLE

THE PAULINE MYSTERION; Holstein; S. T. M., 1971

EUNCORDIA SEMINARY LIBRARY ST. LOUIS. MISSOURI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
ı.	MYSTERION IN PAUL: THE PROBLEM OF ORIGIN	1
II.	HELLENISTIC BACKGROUND OF MYSTERION	3
III.	SEMITIC BACKGROUND OF MYSTERION	37
IV.	MYSTERION IN THE NEW TESTAMENT	67
v.	SUMMARY OF THE ORIGIN OF THE PAULINE MYSTERION	125
BIBLIOGRAPHY		128

CHAPTER I

MYSTERION IN PAUL: THE PROBLEM OF ORIGIN

Although the word $\mu\nu\sigma\tau'_{RCOV}$ appears infrequently in the New Testament, it looms large in Pauline thought. Outside of the Pauline Corpus¹ it occurs only seven times. Paul uses it twenty-one times.² More important, his use of the term indicates a fullness and richness of variegated meaning that makes it a most significant concept in his writings. Wilhelm Stählin notes that "this one word rings throughout in the Epistles to Colossae and Ephesus, sounding, as it were, the ground-tone on which the whole wealth of hymnal overtones is constructed." And yet the Pauline $\mu\nu\sigma\tau'_{ecov}$ is variously understood and frequently misunderstood. No doubt this is due in part to the English word "mystery" often used to translate $\mu\nu\sigma\tau'_{ecov}$. In modern usage mystery connotes something obscure, enigmatic, or incomprehensible. But perhaps the confusion has resulted also from the various views

Although we are well aware of attempts to discredit Pauline authorship of certain writings on the basis of different shades and emphases of meaning of the term $\mathcal{MUTTNELOV}$ in those writings, for the purposes of this study we will assume that Paul was the author of the letters traditionally attributed to him, including those in which the word $\mathcal{MUTNELOV}$ occurs.

²One of these, the occurrence in 1 Cor. 2:1, has the well attested textual variant $M \approx e \tau \dot{\nu} e \cos \nu$, which many scholars accept as the preferred reading.

Wilhelm Stählin, The Mystery of God, translated by R. Birch Hoyle (St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, c.1964), p. 12. Cerfaux says that the word MUTTHELOV "characterizes the epistles of the captivity and dominates their vocabulary." Cf. L. Cerfaux, Christ in the Theology of St. Paul, translated by Geoffrey Webb and Adrian Walker (New York: Herder and Herder, 1959), p. 402.

concerning the source of Paul's use of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$. Many scholars, notably those belonging to the religionsgeschichtliche school of thought, have held that Paul consciously borrowed the term from the mystery religions and consequently its content must be determined in the light of that background. Others have insisted that Paul appropriated $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$ from common Greek usage as the ordinary word for "secret" and thus any additional nuances of meaning must be attributed to Paul himself. Still others have suggested that Paul was influenced by the way $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$ was employed in Jewish apocalyptic literature and therefore his own use of the term must be understood in the light of Semitic thought. The purpose of our investigation is to try to determine the origin of Paul's use of the term $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$ with a view toward clarification of the concept of "mystery" in Pauline theology.

We will proceed as follows. First, we will examine the Hellenistic background of the term $\mu\nu\sigma\tau necov$. Then we will investigate the Semitic background of the term. And finally, after a brief consideration of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau necov$ in the New Testament outside of the Pauline Corpus, we will examine the term exceptically in each of the passages where it occurs in the writings of Paul, trying to determine the source of its use.

We are indebted particularly to the following major sources for data vital to our study: J. A. Robinson, St. Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians (London: Macmillan and Company, Limited, 1909), pp. 234-240; G. Bornkamm, "MUTTMELOW, MUEW;" in Theological Dictionary of the New Testament, translated and edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, c.1967), IV, 802-828; Raymond E. Brown, "The Pre-Christian Semitic Concept of Mystery," The Catholic Biblical Quarterly, XX (1958), 417-443; "The Semitic Background of the New Testament Mysterion (I)," Biblica, XXXIX (April 1958), 426-448; "The Semitic Background of the New Testament Mysterion (II)," Biblica, XI (January 1959), 70-87; and Joseph Coppens, "Mystery in the Theology of Saint Paul and its Parallels at Qumran," in Paul and Qumran, edited by Jerome Murphy-O'Connor (London: Geoffrey Chapman Ltd., c.1968), pp. 132-158.

CHAPTER II

HELLENISTIC BACKGROUND OF MYSTERION

Before we consider μυστής τον as a cultic concept in the Hellemistic world, we want to take a brief look at the etymology of the term which "is itself a mystery." Most scholars believe that μυστής τον is probably derived from μύειν, "to close the apertures whether of eyes or mouth." Thus in the Scholia of Aristophanes' Ramae we find the notation: μυστής το δὲ ἐκλήθη Τακά τὸ τους ἀκούοντας μύειν τὸ στόμα καὶ μησενί ταθτα ἐξηγεῖσθαι. μύειν δὲ ἔστι τὸ κλείειν τὸ στόμα.

3 Jane Harrison, on the other hand, holding that the most essential feature of the mysteries was not secrecy, but purification, and pointing to a passage in Clement of Alexandria (Protrepticus II, μυστής το λουσον μύσους), sees the real origin of μυστής τον in the μύσος done to Dionysus— μυστής τον meaning then the doing of what relates to a pollution, that is, a ceremony of

¹G. Bornkamm, "MUGTTYECOV. MUEW." in Theological Dictionary of the New Testament, translated and edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, c.1967), IV, 803.

 $^{^2}$ J. A. Robinson, St. Paul's Epistles to the Ephesians (London: Macmillan and Company, Limited, 1909), p. 234, however, holds that although $\mu\nu_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{V}}$ is sometimes used by transference also of shutting the mouth, the word "mouth" must always be expressly added to give this meaning.

Bornkamm. IV. 803.

The usage of $Mv\sigma\tau necov$, however, soon established it as a precise technical term with reference to the so-called mystery religions which existed as early as the seventh century B.C. and flourished particularly in the third and fourth centuries A.D. The oldest known occurrence of $Mv\sigma\tau necodes$ is found in a Fragment (14, 68) of Heraclitus (535-475 B.C.) where the term refers to the mysteries of Dionysus. 9 Herodotus (2, 51) speaks of the

⁴Jane Harrison, <u>Prolegomena to the Study of Greek Religion</u>
(New York: Meridian Books, 1955), p. 154. Yet she notes that Clement was formally quite incorrect.

⁵Athenaeus, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page, and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1927), I, 424.

⁶Bornkamm, IV, 803.

⁷ Thid.

^{*}Karl Prümm, "Mysterion von Paulus bis Origenes," Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, LXI (1937), 395, rightly notes the predominance of the plural (μυστ ή ε ι ω) when denoting a pagan rite, in contrast to the singular (μυστή ε ι ον) which occurs most frequently in Paul.

Walther Kranz, editor, <u>Vorsokratische Denker</u> (Berlin: Weidmannsche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1949), p. 78.

Samothracian $M \cup \sigma \tau n' \in \mathcal{C} \times 10^{-10}$ and also of the Egyptian $M \cup \sigma \tau n' \in \mathcal{C} \times (2, 171).^{11}$ And Aristophanes ($\underline{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times (2, 171)}$. And Aristophanes ($\underline{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times (2, 171)}$. Thus $M \cup \sigma \tau n' \in \mathcal{C} \times (2, 171)$. Thus $M \cup \sigma \tau n' \in \mathcal{C} \times (2, 171)$ is used frequently for a particular assemblage of secret rites, regarded as a whole. The term is also sometimes used for the ritual acts themselves had then again for mystic implements and ornaments used in the ritual calebrations, such as those sacred objects carried to Eleusis in connection with the calebration of the Eleusinian mysteries (Aristophanes, $\underline{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times (2, 171)}$.

¹⁰ Herodotus, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1931), I, 338.

¹¹ Ibid. I. 485.

¹² Aristophanes, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1937), II, 376.

¹³ Samuel Cheetham, The Mysteries Pagan and Christian (London: Macmillan and Company, 1897), p. 136.

¹⁴W. Dittenberger, editor, Sylloge Inscriptionum Graecarum (tertium Edita; Lipsiae: Apud S. Hirzelium, 1917), II, 369, 511. Louis Bouyer, Rite and Man. translated by M. Joseph Costelloe (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, c.1963), pp. 127-128, insists that the $\mu\nu\sigma\pi\pi'ec\omega$ were not speculations or teachings about the mysteries, but were simply rites, and nothing more.

¹⁵Aristophanes, II, 310. Cf. H. G. Liddell and R. Scott, A Greek-Erglish Lexicon, revised by H. S. Jones and R. McKenzie (New edition; Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1940), II, 1156.

In contrast to other terms used for the mysteries— $\tau \in A \in \tau_{n}'$ or $\tau \in A \in \tau_{n}'$ (Herodotus, 2, 171; ¹⁶ Pausanias, 10, 31, 11; ¹⁷ Isocrates, 4, 28¹⁸) and $\partial_{e_{p}} \in \mathcal{A}$ (Homeric Hymn to Demeter, 273, 476¹⁹), which do not denote any notion of secrecy, the word $\mu \nu \sigma \tau_{n}' \in \mathcal{A}$ contains a definite secret element. ²⁰ It is a particularly appropriate term for the mysteries which are described by C. A. Lobeck as sacred rites which took place, not in the sight of all or in the full light of day and at public alters, but either in the night, or within closed sanctuaries, or in remote and solitary places. ²¹

J. H. Moulton and G. Milligan cite numerous examples where

μυστήρουν is used as a technical term in pagan religion to

denote a "secret" known only to the initiated which they must not

reveal. For instance, from the inscriptions we have OGIS 33, 54

(Pergamon, middle of the second century B.C.): δ΄ ε εταξώμεθα δὲ

λκολούθως τούτοις καὶ περὶ θυσιῶγ καὶ πομπῶγ καὶ

μυστηρίων τῶν Ἐπιτελουμένωμ πρὸ πόλεως ἀντῶι

¹⁶Herodotus. I. 484.

¹⁷ Pausanias, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1935), IV, 552.

¹⁸ Isocrates, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1928), I, 134.

¹⁹ Hesiod, The Homeric Hymns, and Homerica, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1936), pp. 308, 322.

²⁰Cheetham, p. 137, is guilty of an inaccurate over-simplification, however, when he says that "in the words $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \acute{n} e c \lambda$, $\acute{o}e f c \lambda$, $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \acute{c}$ we have the leading characteristics of the Mysteries—secrecy, emotion, and edification."

²¹C. A. Lobeck, <u>Aglaophamus</u> (Tomus Primus et Secundus; Regimontii Prussorum: Sumtibus Fratrum Borntraeger, 1829), p. 270.

EV τοῦς Καθήκουσε καιροῖς καὶ τόποις. In the sepulchral epigram

Kaibel 588⁴ a priest is described as ἐκτελέσας μυστήρια

πάντοτε σεμνῶς. From the papyri we find ἀρξαι λέγειν

τὴν στήλην καὶ τὸ μυστήριον τοῦ θεοῦ(P Leid W 111 42).

And in an incantation to the Great Deity in P Lond 46110 the following occurs: ἐγώ εἰμε Μουσής ὁ προφήτης

σου ῷ παρεξωκας τὰ μυστήρια σον τὰ συντελούμενα Ἰσραήλ. 22 It is quite evident, then, that this technical religious use of the term μυστήριον was very common during the centuries in which the mystery cults played a significant role in the Graeco-Roman world.

From the time of the Renaissance to the present, these ancient mystery cults have engaged the interest of both classical scholars and theologians, so much so that the voluminousness of the material written on the subject has caused A. D. Nock to comment somewhat sarcastically, "'Savior-gods' and mysteries probably did not bulk so large in the life of the first century A.D. as in modern study." And yet, that the mysteries were immensely popular and exerted wide-spread influence in the Graeco-Roman world is indicated indirectly by the vigor of Christian demunciations leveled against them, and directly by scattered fragments of mystery literature and the distribution

²²M. H. Moulton and G. Milligan, The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament (London: Hodder and Stoughton, Limited, 1952), p. 420.

²³A. D. Nock, <u>Early Gentile Christianity and its Hellenistic</u>
Background in Essays on the Trinity and the Incarnation, edited by
A. E. F. Rawlinson (New York: Longmans, Green and Company LTD, 1928),
p. 81.

of mystery chapels and other archaeological remains. 24 Ironically enough, one of the probable reasons for that popularity, namely the secrecy and esoteric character of the cults, largely accounts for our notably fragmentary information concerning the mysteries. 25 Nevertheless, certain basic common characteristics of the mysteries can be deliniated.

Central to the mystery religions were the secret cultic rites in which the individual participated of his own volition, and by which he was supposedly put into a closer relation with the deity honored.

Among these rites were preliminary fastings, explatory sacrifices, and lustrations; some sort of a ritual meal; showings and handlings of sacred objects; and dramatic representations of the cult-myth.²⁶

Those who wanted to participate in the celebration of the mysteries

²⁴ Harold R. Willoughby, <u>Pagan Regeneration</u> (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, c.1929), pp. 26, 27. However, A. H. Armstrong, "Mystery and Mysteries," <u>The Downside Review</u>, LXXX (July 1962), 218, believes that although the influence of the mystery cults was great from the late second century onwards, yet in the period of the Apostolic Church their influence was much more restricted.

²⁵This scantiness of literary remains of the mystery cults plus the lateness of the material, much of which dates from the second, third, and fourth centuries A.D., should make us cautious in describing with finality any given mystery cult at a given time, and particularly in making superficial comparisons between the mysteries and Apostolic Christianity. Cf. Henry C. Sheldon, The Mystery Religions and the New Testament (New York: The Abingdon Press, c.1918), pp. 14, 15; Charles A. A. Scott, Christianity According to St. Paul (Cambridge: The University Press, 1961), pp. 125, 126; and especially, Bruce M. Metzger, "Considerations of Methodology in the Study of the Mystery Religions and Early Christianity," Harvard Theological Review, XLVIII (January 1955), 6, 7.

²⁶ Armstrong, LXXX, 214.

had to be initiated; the uninitiated were defined not only access to the sacred actions, but even knowledge of them.²⁷

The mysteries began essentially as vegetation and fertility cults, the Great Mother being the embodiment of the powers of nature, but at a very early stage certain dim hopes of an after-life came to be attached to these cults; thus the gods of growth were generally also the gods of the dead. 28 These were suffering deities whose experiences of conception and birth, sorrow and joy, death and life were enacted in the cultic drama. 29 In their personal destiny the gods bestowed the powers of life and as the devotees became incorporated into the deities—a union effected supremely by the sacramental actions such as sacred meals and weddings, fertility and birth rites, baptisms, investitures with sacred garb, rites of death and resurrection, or cultically symbolized journeys to Hades and heaven 30—the initiates

²⁷Borrkamm, IV, 804. He further notes that the initiatory ceremonies and the actual mystery celebrations are often hard to distinguish and that the term Most neck covers the whole celebration, including the initiatory rites.

²⁸ Hugo Rahner, Greek Myths and Christian Mystery, translated by Brian Battershaw (New York: Harper and Row, 1963), p. 20. In an excellent treatment of the evolution of the mystery cults, Rahner finds only a "mystery atmosphere" prevailing in the first century A.D., a gravitation toward a solar pantheism in the second century, and the development of the "literary mysteries" in the third century (pp. 18-20).

²⁹ Thus in Herodotus (2, 171), I, 484 we find with reference to Osiris: εν δε τη λίμνη ταύτη τὰ δείκηλα τῶν Παθέων ἀὐτοῦ νυκτὸς ποιεῦσιν, τὰ καλέουσι μυστήεια Αἰγύπιοι.

³⁰ Bornkamm, IV, 805.

shared³¹ in their destiny³² and thus in the powers of life necessary for protection in this world and bliss in sternity. In this way the initiate obtained hope for the future.³³ However, the uninitiated $(2c \epsilon k \frac{1}{2})^2 = \epsilon \epsilon e \omega v$), who had no part in the mysteries, could never look forward to a happy lot after death.³⁴

The distinction between imitiates and non-initiates found expression not only in the ritual of the mystery celebrations but also in the vow of silence imposed on the devotees, 35 a feature perhaps implicit

³¹ Bornkamm. (IV, 805) suggests that "the παθείν of devotees is the true experience which corresponds to the πάθη of the deity." Cf. the famous passage in Synesius de Dione, 10, Patrologiae: Patrum Graecorum, edited by J. P. Migne (Paris: n.p., 1863), LXVI, 1.

1133, 1134, in which he says that Aristotle believed that the initiated cũ μαθείν τι ξείν, ἀλλὰ παθείν Καὶ ξιατεθήναι δηλονότι γινομένους ἔπιτη δείους.

This is indicated by some formulae that have been preserved, perhaps the best known of which is found in Maternus Julius Firmicus,

De Errore Profanorum Religionem, edited by Konrat Ziegler (Lipsiae:

In Aedibus B. G. Teubneri, 1907), p. 57: Haeeccre Mostal

TOU HEOU SESUMMENOU. ESTAL FRE TOMICU

EK, HOVWY SWITMELOW (22, 1). Cf. also 18, 1: EK TUMHAVOU

GEBEWKA, EK KUMBAROU HEHWKA, FEROVA MUSTUS

ALLEWS, p. 43, and 2, 9: EVENKAMEN SUFXALEOMEN.

P. 7.

³³ From a dirge in memory of an Athenian who had been initiated into the Eleusinian mysteries, we have the following: δλβιος δστις ιδων εκείνα κοιλαν είσιν δπο Χθονα. οἶδεν Μέν βιότου τελευταν οἶδεν δε Γιόσδοτον λεχάν Fragment 137 from The Odes of Pindar, in The Loeb Classical Library. edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1937), pp. 592, 594. Cf. also Isocrates (4, 28) I, 134.

³⁴So indicates a benediction in The Homeric Hymn to Demeter (480-482), in Hesiod, The Homeric Hymns, and Homerica, p. 322, 323.

³⁵Bornkamm, IV, 806. Cheetham, p. 44, on the other hand, maintaining that the Eleusinian rites were comparatively open, holds that "it is even uncertain whether the address of the hierophant contained any injunction of secrecy" and that "the herald's proclamation for silence almost certainly refers rather to the awful silence to be

in the etymology of the word $\mu \cup \sigma \tau n'e cov$. The pledge to absolute secrecy was almost without exception conscientiously observed, "being enforced both within the brotherhood and from the outside with a rigor that amazes the inquisitive modern mind."36

The reverential attitude of the ancients toward the mysteries³?

may be illustrated by numerous examples. Herodotus (2, 51), in referring to a sacred tale underlying the cultic actions and revealed in the Samothracian mysteries, suddenly stopped his account, apparently because of the impropriety of revealing more.³⁸ Again, speaking of "a rite which the Egyptians call the mysteries," he goes on to say:

I could speak more exactly of these matters, for I know the truth, but I will hold my peace ($\varepsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau \sigma \mu \lambda \quad \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \theta \omega$); nor will I say anything ($\varepsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau \sigma \mu \lambda \quad \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \theta \omega$) concerning that rite of Demeter which the Greeks call Thesmophoria, except that part of it which I am not forbidden to mention, 39

And Lucius Apuleius of Madaura, who detailed an extensive account of his own initiation into the Isis mysteries, continued his account of what was said and done in the most secret and sacred place of the temple (XI, 23): "Verily I would tell thee if it were lawful for

observed during the celebration than to any reserve practised by the worshippers." But cf. The Homeric Hymn to Demeter (476-482), in Hesiod, The Homeric Hymns, and Homerica, p. 322.

³⁶Willoughby, p. 24.

³⁷The Mustineta were considered Lecata which meant that they were not to be disclosed. Cf. Scholia in Sophocles' Oedipus Coloneus, 1051, lines 22-24, in Scholia in Sophoclis Tragoedias Vetera, edited by Petrus N. Papageorgius (Lipsiae: In Aedibus B. G. Teubneri, 1888), p. 445.

³⁸ Herodotus, I, 339.

^{39 &}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, I, 484. Bornkamm, IV, 807, holds that the fact that the silence formulae are a literary convention in Herodotus' writings shows how old and fixed the injunctions of silence were.

me to tell, thou wouldest know if it were convenient for thee to hear; but both thy ears and my tongue should incur the like pain of rash curiosity."

When he himself was on trial for magical practices he insisted that he could not be forced to reveal to the uninitiated what he had received under the vow of secrecy. In taking this stand Lucius relied on accepted Roman legal procedure to prosecute anyone guilty of profaming the mysteries. Such profamation might involve either a parody of the sacred rites, of which Alcibiades was allegedly guilty during a night-time spree with some of his friends, or an intrusion into the rites without initiation. The vow of silence obviously did not extend to all the elements of the mysteries; to oncerned less the general significance of the mysteries, the which was not only known by non-initiates, but was a strong attraction for

the study of the pelation

⁴⁰ Lucius Apuleius, <u>The Golden Ass</u>, translated by W. Addington (New York: The Modern Library, n.d.), p. 293.

⁴¹Willoughby, p. 25.

⁴² Thus in Isocrates (16, 6), III, 178 we read: είδοτες, δε την πόλιν των μεν περί τους θεους μαλιστ' αν σεγισθείσαν εί τις είς τα μυστήρια φαινοιτ' εξαμαρτάνων.

⁴³Plutarch, <u>Alcibiades</u> 19, in <u>Plutarch's Lives</u>, translated by John Langhorne and William Langhorne (New York: Harper and Brothers, n.d.) I, 380-384.

⁴⁴Titus Iivius, <u>Historiarum</u>, ex recensione A. Drakenborchii (Oxonii: J. Parker et G. B. Whittaker, 1825), Tomus III, 14.

⁴⁵S. Argus, The Mystery-Religions and Christianity (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1925), p. 78.

⁴⁶Sheldon, p. 10.

them, than its ceremonial detail. 47 The real reason for the injunction of silence is probably not found in the fact that the cults were originally linked with specific sanctuaries and territories and that the gods were national deities who had to be protected against enemy attack—for the injunction remained even when the original national boundaries were crossed—but is to be sought in the special sanctity of the actions which established communion with the deity. 48

Before we turn to other usages of the term Most necov in the Greek world, we should briefly consider the relationship between the mystery cults, whose basic characteristics we have attempted to describe, and Pauline terminology and thought. Differences of opinion are indeed great, perhaps not surprisingly, owing to the fragmentary and sometimes ambiguous evidence available. Some hold that the amount of influence that the mystery cults exerted on primitive Christianity and particularly Pauline theology is rather considerable. R. Reitzenstein, beginning with the presupposition that Paul had concerned

⁴⁷cf. Diodorus of Sicily (V. 49, 5), in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1939), III, 234: και τὰ μεν κατά μέρος τῶς τελετῶς ἐν ἀπορεῶτοις τελούμενα μόνοις παραδίδοται τοῖς μυπθεῖσο.

⁴⁸ Bornkamm, IV, 808. Cf. The Homeric Hymn to Demeter (479), in Hesiod. The Homeric Hymns, and Homerica, p. 322: μέγα γάς το θεῶν σέβας ἐσχάνει ἀνξάν. Bornkamm further substantiates this as the actual reason by pointing to the common use of σεμνός in connection with the mystery terms, e.g., σεμνά... τελη in Sophocles, Oedipus Coloneus (1050), in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1932), I, 246.

⁴⁹For a succinct historical survey of the study of the relation between the mystery religions and early Christianity, cf. Rahner, pp. 6-15. Also Metzger, XLVIII, 1, 2.

that he used terms, including Most traceco, which derived from the cults, and with the terms, ideas—ideas which even after modification still show that the mystery cults exerted an important influence on Paul. 51 Likewise, Alfred Loisy contends that Paul, having become familiar with the mystery cults from discussions with initiates at Tarsus, as well as from a study of their literature both before and after his conversion, 52 transformed Jesus into "a saviour—god, after the manner of an Osiris, an Attis, a Mithra, "53 and His passion "into a myth of salvation, the Christ of history," having "no place at all in his religion." Furthermore, the apostle's interpretation of Baptism and the Lord's Supper, claims Loisy, came from the mysteries, 55 so that Christianity, "in its worship as in its belief... is a

⁵⁰R. Reitzenstein, <u>Die hellenistischen Mysterienreligionen</u> (Dritte Auflage; Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1927), pp. 209, 210. Cf. Vittorio D. Macchioro, <u>From Orpheus to Paul</u> (New York: Henry Holt and Company, c.1930), p. 204, who goes even further, stating that "there is no reason for rejecting" the view that Paul "had been initiated."

⁵¹Reitzenstein, pp. 218-220.

⁵²Alfred Loisy, "The Christian Mystery," The Hibbert Journal, X (1911-1912), 58. He believes that Paul's "conversion itself, in consequence of a celestial vision, and the lofty attitude he afterwards assumes, bears witness that he was imbued with the spirit of the mysteries." Cf. ibid., X, 59.

⁵³ Tbid., X, 51.

⁵⁴ Tbid., X, 61.

⁵⁵Tbid., X. 52-57.

religion of mystery."56 So, too, Percy Gardner holds that "to say that, with Paul, the Christian Church arose as a mystical sect, combined of Jewish and heathen elements . . . may be regarded as a rough approximation to the truth,"57 the best points in the mysteries being "absorbed by Christianity."58 And Kirsopp Lake, calling Paul "the eclectic Gentile" who "saw every reason for equating the Lord with the Redeemer-God of the Mystery Religions,"59 concludes that "Christianity has not borrowed from the Mystery Religions, because it was always, at least in Europe, a Mystery Religion itself."60

On the other hand, some take a more critical view of Paul's alleged dependence on the mystery cults. Chester C. McCown, for example, holding that Paul was not unfamiliar with the mystery cults from his youth at Tarsus and his work among the heathen, agrees that Paul was clearly borrowing from the religions of his environment, "using illustration after illustration . . . from the language of the cults," but in order "to prove that faith in Christ guarantees all that any mystery cult could promise, and very much more." 61

⁵⁶ Thid., X, 57.

⁵⁷Percy Gardner, The Religious Experience of Saint Paul (New York: B. P. Putnam's Sons, 1913), p. 80.

⁵⁸ Thid., p. 67.

⁵⁹ Kirsopp Lake, The Earlier Epistles of St. Paul (London: Rivingtons, 1911). p. 45.

⁶⁰ Tbid., p. 215. Cf. also Rudolph Steiner, Christianity as Mystical Fact and the Mysteries (New York: Anthroposophic Press, Inc., 1947), pp. 115-118, 182.

⁶¹ Chester C. McCown, "The Sources of Pauline Mysticism," in Contemporary Thinking About Paul, an Anthology, compiled by Thomas S. Kepler

Finally, there are numerous scholars who maintain Paul's independence from the mystery cults with regard both to concepts and terminology.

Already in the late nineteenth century Gustav Amrich affirmed that a

⁽New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, 1950), pp. 118-121. McCowan calls this a "clear case of 'stimulus diffusion,' in which a new content, related but different, was put into another system." Cf. ibid., p. 121.

⁶²Walter W. Hyde, <u>Paganism to Christianity in the Roman Empire</u>
(Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, c.1946), p. 68. Cf.
John A. Faulkner, "Did Mystery Religions Influence Apostolic Christianity?,"
<u>The Methodist Quarterly Review</u>, <u>IXXIII</u> (July 1924), 400, 401. Also
<u>Frederick C. Grant</u>, editor, <u>Hellenistic Religions</u> (New York: The Liberal
Arts Press, c.1953), p. xxxviii.

⁶³G. H. C. MacGregor, <u>Eucharistic Origins</u> (London: James Clarke and Company, Limited, 1928), pp. 205, 206. Cf. also Carl H. Kraeling, "Reitzenstein and the Mystery Religions," <u>The Lutheran Church Quarterly</u>, I (April 1928), 176.

⁶⁴ John W. Moran, "St. Paul and the Mystery Religions," The American Ecclesiastical Review, CXXII (June 1950), 423, 424. Cf. also T. R.

can scarcely have taken place. Two decades later, Carl Clemen emphatically stated that the idea that New Testament conceptions have been borrowed from the mystery cults is simply not the case. Terms that are common both to Paul and the mysteries, he insisted, were only a matter of forms of expression; "sie beweisen aber sich für einem Einflusz der Mysterienreligionen auf die paulinische Theologie noch gar nichts." More recently Hugo Rahner has said, "Theories which postulate a genetic relationship or one of historical causality between the Hellenistic mystery cults and the essentials of Christian belief can no longer be taken seriously." Similarly,

A. E. J. Rawlinson has asserted that

the idea that the development of Christianity in Apostolic times was in any appreciable respect influenced either by the "theology" or by the ceremonial rites of any contemporary pagan "mystery cult" must be regarded as erroneous. 69

Glover, Paul of Tarsus (New York: George H. Doran Company, 1925), p. 115; Kenneth E. Lee, A Study in Romans (London: SPCK, 1962), p. 16; and H. Chadwick, "All Things to All Men," New Testament Studies, I (1954-1955), 272.

⁶⁵Gustav Anrich, <u>Das antike Mysterienwesen in seinem Einfluss</u> auf das Christentum (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1894), p. 235.

⁶⁶ Carl Clemen, <u>Primitive Christianity and its Non-Jewish Sources</u>, translated by Robert G. Nisbet (Edinburgh: T & T Clark, 1912), p. 372.

⁶⁷ Carl Clemen, Der Einfluss der Mysterienreligionen auf das älteste Christentum (Gieszen: Verlag von Alfred Topelmann, 1913), p. 29.

⁶⁸ Rahner, p. 9.

⁶⁹A. E. J. Rawlinson, The New Testament Doctrine of the Christ (London: Longmans, Green and Company, LTD, 1926), p. 270.

Such widely divergent viewpoints, Bruce M. Metzger feels, are
due, at least in part, to differences in methodology in dealing with
the evidence available. The among the methodological considerations
he and others suggest need to be taken into account in estimating
the amount of influence of the mystery cults on Pauline language and
thought are the following. In the first place, it is absurd to believe
that Paul's opponents, who fiercely attacked him for what they considered
to be liberal tendencies in regard to Gentile observance of the
Mosaic law, raised no objections to his supposedly wholesale acceptance
of mystery ideas. Then, too, it must not be overlooked that Paul's
"prevailing set of mind was rabbinically oriented, and that his newlyfound Christian faith ran in molds previously formed at the feet of
Gamaliel."

A. D. Nock speaks to this point when he says of Paul:

He saw life around in terms of the Septuagint and of Jewish apologetics, of the sermons which he heard at Tarsus as a boy.

. . Many curious imaginings have flitted across the minds of men as they have sought to reconstruct the past; but there can have been few more curious than the pictures of Paul listening attentively to Stoic lectures at Tarsus or making enquiries about Mithraism and later enriching Christianity from these sources. 73

⁷⁰ Metzger, XLVIII, 4.

^{71 &}lt;u>Thid.</u>, XLVIII, 7. Cf. also H. G. Marsh, <u>The Origin and Significance of the New Testament Baptism</u> (Manchester: The Manchester University Press, 1941), p. 139.

⁷²Metzger, XLVIII, 7. Cf. W. D. Davies, <u>Paul and Rabbinic</u>
<u>Judaism</u> (London: SPCK, 1948), p. 1, who although not denying all Hellenistic influence on Paul, seeks to show that "Paul belonged to the mainstream of first-century Judaism, and that elements in his thought, which
are often labelled as Hellenistic, might well be derived from Judaism."

⁷³A. D. Nock, "The Vocabulary of the New Testament," <u>Journal of Biblical Literature</u>, LII (1933), 139. Cf. Albert Schweitzer, <u>The Mysticism of Paul the Apostle</u>, translated by William Montgomery (New York: Henry Holt and Company, c.1931), p. 28, who scoffs at Reitzenstein's picture of Paul studying the mystery language and ideas to prepare for his missionary work.

Indeed, Paul may have been little affected by the mystery atmosphere in Tarsus, since he belonged to a Jewish family which no doubt fostered a consciousness of difference and separateness from the heathen environment. 74

At any rate, Paul's own writings give evidence of the fact that the Septuagint exercised a great influence on his choice and use of words. 75 "He is writing the Greek of a man who has the Septuagint in his blood. 76 By contrast, Paul shows only the slightest acquaintance with pagan Greek literature. 77 This is not to say that there are no echoes of the terminology of the mystery cults in the Pauline Corpus. But this is not so surprising for one, who as a missionary was singularly sensitive to what was in the minds of those to whom he wrote, as is the fact that he does not appear to be more keenly interested in these popular cults. 78 The fact is that "the evidence for such an employment of a mystery terminology in the Pauline Epistles is very slight. 79

⁷⁴ Scott, pp. 3, 4.

⁷⁵A. D. Nock, <u>Early Gentile Christianity and its Hellenistic</u>

<u>Background</u> (New York: Harper and Row, c.1964), p. xiii.

⁷⁶ Nock, <u>Journal of Biblical Literature</u>, LII, 138. Cf. also Henry P. Hamann, <u>Justification by Faith in Modern Theology</u> (St. Louis: School for Graduate Studies, Concordia Seminary, 1957), pp. 34, 35.

⁷⁷A. D. Nock, St. Paul (London: Oxford University Press, 1938), p. 235.

⁷⁸ Scott, pp. 4, 5.

⁷⁹ John G. Machen, The Origin of Paul's Religion (New York: The Macmillan Company, c.1921), p. 272.

Secondly, although it cannot be defied that parallels between the mystery cults and Pauline thought and language do exist, 80 in evaluating their significance several factors need to be kept in mind. For one thing some alleged parallels must be discounted because they are the result of the "amalgamation of quite heterogeneous elements drawn from various sources." Albert Schweitzer rightly points this out when he says:

those who are engaged in making these comparisons are rather apt to give the Mystery-religions a greater definiteness and articulation of thought than they really possess, and do not always give sufficient prominence to the distinction between their own hypothetical reconstruction and the medley of statements on which it is based. . . . They manufacture out of the various fragments of information a kind of universal Mystery-religion which never actually existed, least of all in Paul's day. On the distinction and the medley of statements on which never actually existed, least of all in Paul's day.

Then, too, even when parallels are actual and not imaginary, a distinction must be made between genetic derivation and the kind that arises when a process of assimilation and adaptation has set in, particularly for a specific tactical purpose. 83 And one must discriminate between genealogical and merely analogical parallels, that

⁸⁰For parallels suggested by various scholars see Edwyn R. Bevan, "Mystery Religions and Christianity," in Contemporary Thinking About Paul, An Anthology, compiled by Thomas S. Kepler (New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury, 1950), p. 42; Shirley Jackson Case, Experience with the Supernatural in Early Christian Times (New York: The Century Company, c.1929), pp. 260-262; Rawlinson, p. 283; Sheldon, pp. 94-98; and especially, Rahner, pp. 37-45.

⁸¹ Metzger, XLVIII, 8.

⁸² Albert Schweitzer, Paul and His Interpreters, translated by William Montgomery (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1912), pp. 192, 193.

⁸³Rahner, p. 11.

is, similarities that have arisen from more or less equal religious experience and those that are due to actual borrowing. 84 Resemblance or agreement does not establish causality. 85 Finally, even when parallels are genealogical it must be remembered that it is not only possible, but in some cases probable, that Christianity influenced the mystery cults rather than vice-versa. 86

A third consideration in rightly assessing the relation of the mystery cults to Paul's language and ideas is that due attention must be given to their fundamental and far-reaching differences, among which are the following: (1) For Paul the Christian mystery is not mythical but historical. In contrast to the gods of the mystery cults who are merely nebulous figures in an imaginary past. ⁸⁷ "the Divine Being whom the Christians worshipped as Lord was known as a real Person on earth. ⁸⁸ As Rahner puts it.

The God of the Christian mystery is not a thing fashioned out of the thoughts and longings, however sublime, of pious and searching Hellenistic souls . . . rather is he the God . . . who can only be found in the ways taught in the Gospel 89

⁸⁴ Metzger, XLVIII, 9.

⁸⁵Cf. Franz Cumont, The Oriental Religions in Roman Paganism (Chicago: The Open Court Publishing Company, c.1911), p. xviii.

⁸⁶ Metzger, XLVIII, 10, 11. Cf. also Cheetham, p. 77.

⁸⁷Bevan, p. 44. Plutarch believes it necessary to warn the priestess Clea against believing that "any of these tales (concerning Isis and Osiris) actually happened in the manner in which they are related."

⁸⁸ Metzger, XLVIII, 12. Cf. Hans J. Schoeps, Paul: The Theology of the Apostle in the Light of Jewish History, translated by Harold Knight (Philadelphia: The Westminster Press, c.1961), p. 21; Rawlinson, p. 283; Armstrong, LXXX, 219, 220; and Davies, pp. 90, 91.

⁸⁹ Rahner, p. 32.

(2) The Christian mystery, built upon the strictest monotheism, stands in contrast to the obvious syncretistic bent of the mystery cults where "the god of one mystery fades into the god of another."90 And whereas Paul insists that Christians must abandon all would-besaviors for the one Savior, Jesus Christ, none of the mystery cults demands an exclusive religious loyalty on the part of its adherents. 91 (3) The God of the Christian mystery is a personal spiritual Being who, even in His Incarnation, remains superior to the world; on the other hand, cultic deities, who are primarily powers of vegetable and animal life, give to the mystery religions a definite naturalistic basis and pantheistic tendencies. 92 (4) Whereas Christ loves markind so much that He comes into the world and voluntarily undergoes death for man's sake, the mystery gods never die of choice or out of selfgiving love. 93 (5) In contrast to the triumphant note that even on the Cross Christ exercised His kingly rule, the devotees of mystery cults only mourn in sympathy with gods who suffer something imposed upon them. 94 "In the Christian commemoration the only element of mourning is the thought that men have betrayed and murdered Jesus.

⁹⁰ Charles Gore, The Holy Spirit and the Church (London: John Murry, 1924), p. 88. Cf. also Sheldon, p. 70.

⁹¹Cf. Machen, p. 9; Willoughby, pp. 33-35; and George La Piana, "Foreign Groups in Rome During the First Centuries of the Empire," Harvard Theological Review, XX (October 1927), 327.

⁹²Cf. Alfred Wikenhauser, Pauline Mysticism, translated by Joseph Cunningham (Freiburg: Herder, c.1960), p. 166. Also Sheldon, pp. 66, 98.

⁹³Schweitzer, Paul and His Interpreters, p. 193. Cf. also Bevan, p. 44.

⁹⁴Metzger, LXVIII, 17.

His death is itself triumph."95 (6) Whereas in the Christian perspective history consists of a series of unique events from Creation to Judgment and the final realization of God's Kingdom, the most significant event of which is the once-for-all death and resurrection of Jesus Christ, for the mystery cults the world-process, interpreted on the basis of the recurrent death and reanimation of the cultic deities symbolizing the cycle of nature, is an eternal vain recurrence, a circular movement leading nowhere. (7) While the salvation proclaimed by Christ is a redemption from sin and guilt, that promised by the mystery cults is a salvation from fate essentially conceived in terms of the natural order. 97 Even Reitzenstein admits.

Dass diese Erlösung . . . zunächst eine Vergebung der Sünden ist, scheint mir das Neue. Der furchtbare Ernst der Predigt von der Schuld und Versöhnung fehlt, soweit ich sehe, dem Hellenismus.

(8) For the Christian, salvation means incorporation, not just into Christ, but through Him, into a community of all Christians, the Body of Christ, which extends throughout history and has the absolute

⁹⁵A. D. Nock, "A Note on the Resurrection," in <u>Essays on the Trinity and Incarnation</u>, edited by A. E. J. Rawlinson (New York: Longmans, Green and Company, LTD, 1928), p. 48.

⁹⁶Cf. Bevan, p. 47, and Metzger, LXVIII, 19, 20. Schweitzer, Paul and His Interpreters, pp. 227, 228, insists that although the mystery cults speak of death, judgment, and life after death, yet they are not eschatological in the Pauline sense of the word, for they are concerned only with the "subjective future of individuals, in connexion with no imminent catastrophe affecting all markind. . . "

⁹⁷Rahner, p. 35. Sheldon, p. 99, remarks with regard to the mystery cults: "Anyone who can discover in their bizarre and variegated mythology an equivalent for the Pauline doctrine of redemption must be gifted with peculiar eyesight."

⁹⁸R. Reitzenstein, Poimandres (Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1904), p. 180.

cults, "born of the individualism of the age,"100 offer deliverance to the solitary as a purely "individual escape to a higher plane of being."101 (9) In Pauline theology the ethical obligations of Christians are clear and inescapable and they are inextricably related to the life, death, and resurrection of Jesus Christ so that there is no upward mounting in Christianity, but "only the descent of God and the outpouring of divine grace which brings about our transformation in the love of Christ."102 By contrast, not only do the mystery cults make few, if any, moral demands, 103 but their piety "is at

⁹⁹Cf. Armstrong, LXXX, 220 and Scott, p. 9. Also A. Oepke,
"βάπτω, βαπτίζω, βαπτισμός, βάπτισμά,
βαπτισμός," in Theological Dictionary of the New Testament,
translated and edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley (Grand Rapids: Wm.
B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, c.1964), I, 541. Here Oepke summarizes
well this contrast along with several others to which we have already
referred when he says: "On the one side, we have a timeless and
naturalistic individualism of regeneration, on the other a spiritual
historical relationship, a new creation of the totality, eschatologically
understood."

¹⁰⁰ Davies, p. 90.

¹⁰¹ Bevan, p. 47.

¹⁰² Rahner, p. 34.

¹⁰³ Scott, p. 199, notes further that the god's character and conduct are not of any concern to his worshippers. Cf. also Faulkner, LXXIII, 87; Gore, pp. 89, 90; Armstrong, LXXX, 221; and Case, p. 255. Wikenhauser, p. 197, rightly points out, however, that although attacked for lack of ethical emphasis and immoral practices by Roman poets and Christian apologists, the mystery communities cannot simply be written off as "gatherings of scoundrels." There are individual exceptions, the moral concern varies from cult to cult, and later, in the third and fourth centuries, there is an indication of attempts to read moral values into some cultic myths. La Piana, XX, 334, 335, argues that these cults never made real progress in an ethical direction, however; for if they had, they would soon have felt the need of disciplinary

ritual, purification: it is the effort of the soul to mount upward by its own power."104 (10) Whereas apostolic teaching which forms the content of faith looms large in the Pauline writings, in the mystery cults there is no compact body of doctrine, but rather a considerable emphasis on emotional impressions and sensations derived from scenic and spectacular rites and myths which can be interpreted, within very wide limits, as the worshippers wish. 105 (11) Whereas the Christian sacraments, Baptism and the Lord's Supper, are considered to be primarily dona data, that is, blessings bestowed on those who by rature are unfit to participate in Christ's Kingdom, the rites of the mystery cults convey their benefits ex opere operato by creating an immortal element in the devotee with a view to the after-life, but with no effective charge of the moral self in the present life. 106 (12) Finally, unlike

laws and traditions and generally accepted standards of conduct, and consequently, the need of a comprehensive organization—which never happened.

¹⁰⁴ Rahner, p. 34.

¹⁰⁵Cf. Aristotle's statement that those being initiated are not to learn something but to go through an experience and to be put into a state of mind--Synesius de Dione, 10, Patrologiae: Patrum Graecorum, edited by J. P. Migne (Paris: n.p., 1863), LXVI, 1. 1133, 1134. How effectively initiation scenes could stir the imagination may be seen by Apuleius' description of initiation into the mysteries of Isis. Cf. Apuleius, p. 294.

¹⁰⁶ Metzger, XLVIII, p. 13. Rawlinson, p. 280, notes that "the actual evidence, in the period of the New Testament, of a sacramentalism akin to that of Christianity in connexion with any of the 'mystery cults' is exceedingly scanty." And most modern scholars point out that the antecedents of these Christian sacraments are to be found in Jewish soil. Cf. Metzger, XLVIII, 15, 16 and MacGregor, p. 32.

the Christian mystery, an "open secret" disclosed freely to the whole world, mysteries of the cults are carefully guarded under a shroud of secrecy from all but the initiated. 107

From the preceding methodological considerations, we would wholeheartedly agree with Metzger when he concludes:

the evidence requires that the investigator maintain a high degree of caution in evaluating the relation between the Mysteries and early Christianity and . . . if a judgment may be hazarded, the central doctrines and rites of the primitive Church appear to lack genetic continuity with those of antecedent and contemporary pagan cults. 108

But what about Pauline terminology? Did Paul find in the mystery cults a ready-made technical religious vocabulary which he borrowed in order to present the Gospel message more effectively? Efforts to support such claims have not been convincing. 109 The fact is that Paul and the other New Testament writers failed to use many Greek words that were quite familiar in the context of the thought and practice of the mystery cults and which would have been rather appropriate.

We... note that μυηθείς occurs only in Phil. 4:12, and then in a very common metaphorical sense, and not with reference to baptism. μύστης, μυστικός, μυσταγωγός do not appear ... τελῶ in the sense of "I initiate" and its compounds, τέλος in the same sense, τελετή, ἀτελεστος (like ἀμύητος), εεοφάντης, όεγια are missing. So are κατέχεσθαι, κάτοχος, ενθεος, ενθεος, ενθουσιάχω and its correlatives, which might so well have been used to describe possession by the Spirit. For purification we find καθαεισμός (used of a rite only in Lucian, De Asino. 22), and not the religious terms καθαεμός. καθαεμός.

¹⁰⁷cf. Sheldon, pp. 64, 65.

^{*108}Metzger, XLVIII, p. 20.

¹⁰⁹Cf. Davies, p. 91, and Machen, p. 273. Also Nock, <u>Early</u> Gentile Christianity and its Hellenistic Background, p. xiii, who asserts that such an attempt "breaks down completely."

only in its abstract sense as a moral quality, $\epsilon \epsilon e \epsilon v_s$ is never applied to Christian ministers; it describes the status which all the chosen are to have in the Kingdom. $\epsilon \epsilon e \delta v$ and $v \neq \delta s$ are never used of their places of meeting; and Christians are never called $\epsilon \epsilon e \delta \epsilon \cdot \lambda \delta v \epsilon d$, which has Septuagint precedent, is common, but $\chi \epsilon n \delta n \delta s$ and its cognate are absent, and $\kappa d v \epsilon \epsilon \delta \delta d \delta s$ is found only of the pagan ventriloquist in Acts 16:16. $\epsilon \epsilon \delta \delta \delta s$ and its compounds are missing.

Nock continues with this observation:

these are not recondite words; they belonged to the everyday language of religion and the normal stock of metaphors. It almost seems that there was a deliberate avoidance of them as having associations which were deprecated. Certainly there is no indication of an appropriation of pagan religious terms.

Not only that, but the adaptation by the Church of anything like mystery terminology and even its metaphorical application before the fourth century is noticeably slow and slight, even though there can have been few, if any, Greek-speaking inhabitants of cities in the Near East who were without some awareness of the fact that there were ceremonies called $M \cup \sigma \cup \sigma' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ and who were acquainted with some of its vocabulary. 112

¹¹⁰ Nock, Journal of Biblical Literature, LII, 133, 134.

^{111 &}lt;u>Tbid.</u>, LII, 134. Cf. Christine Mohrmann, "Linguistic Problems in the Early Christian Church," <u>Vigiliae Christianae</u>, XI (January 1957), 23-25, who traces to the LXX both the principle of rejection of certain technical pagan religious terms in favor of words that lay outside that pagan sphere of religious thought, and the principle of <u>Lehnübersetzung</u> by which a completely new thought complex is attached to an existing word on the strength of certain, more or less limited, points of contact. Perhaps the latter principle was at work in Paul's use of <u>MUTTNECOV</u>.

¹¹² Nock, Early Gentile Christianity and its Hellenistic Background, p. 135. Among the Post-Apostolic Fathers, Muorinecov is used rarely, appearing three times in Ignatius and once in the <u>Didache</u>. Cf. Bornkamm, IV, 824-827.

Thus in considering the origin of Paul's use of MUGTNELOV.

we should be extremely cautious, on the basis of such linguistic evidence, in looking for that source in the mystery cults. Furthermore, we must not allow ourselves to ignore Paul's own Jewish and rabbinical orientation. It could well be that the Old Testament evidence makes it superfluous to seek for the explanation of Paul's use of MUGTNELOV in the Hellenistic mystery religions. 113

¹¹³H. A. A. Kennedy, <u>St. Paul and the Mystery-Religions</u> (New York: Hodder and Stoughton, n.d.), p. 198. Cf. also Sheldon, pp. 76, 77, and James S. Stewart, <u>A Man In Christ</u> (New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, n.d., p. 73.

¹¹⁴Plato, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1939), V, 200-208.

¹¹⁵plato, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1942), II, 55.

are not ceremonial rites but obscure, secret doctrines whose hidden wisdom can be understood only by those capable of knowledge. 116 As in Phaedrus 249c (τοῖς δὲ δὰ τουούτοις ἀνὰε ὑπομνήμασιν ὀεθῶς Χεώμενος, τελέους ἀεί τελετὰς τελούμενος, τέλεος ὁντως μόνος μίγκω). 117 so also here the gradual ascent of philosophical knowledge to the full vision is the genuine initiation. The metaphorical sense of mystery terminology is also apparent in Gorgias 497c, when Socrates says facetiously: εὐδαίμων εῖ, ῷ Καλλίκλεις, ὅτι τὰ μεγάλα μεμύπσαι πεὶν τὰ σμικεά. 118—meaning that one cannot hope to know great things without first learning the truth about little things. And in Meno 76e, μυστήειον is used figuratively in the phrase, ἀπιέναι πεὸ τῶν μυστηείων 119—that is, to leave before one has understood the main point. 120

Although Plato is still averse to mystery terminology, in later philosophy the relation to the mysteries is considerably closer, 121

¹¹⁶Bornkamm, IV, 808. Cf. Brook F. Westcott, Saint Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1906), p. 182, who suggests that already here "the idea of secrecy is subordinate to that of special discovery or possession."

¹¹⁷ Plato, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1917), I, 482.

¹¹⁸ Plato. V. 430.

¹¹⁹Plato, IV. 286.

¹²⁰ Aristotle divides his philosophy into "exoteric" and "esoteric" and the Stoics regard discourses about the gods as $\tau \in \lambda \ell \tau = \ell$. Cf. Harry A. Wolfson, Philo (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, c.1947), I, 24, 25.

¹²¹ Bornkamm, IV, 809.

as is evidenced, for example in Philo. While denouncing the licentiousness of the Greek mysteries (De Specialibus Legibus III, 7, 40), 122

describing them as \tau\epsilon \(\text{Cor} \) \(\

¹²² Philo, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page, and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1958), VII, 500.

¹²³ Ibid., VII, 284.

¹²⁴ Ibid.

¹²⁵E. R. Goodenough, By Light, Light: The Mystic Gospel of Hellenistic Judaism (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1935), p. 7, believes that Philo identifies "the religion of Scripture with the religion of the mysteries," transforming Judaism into "the greatest, the only true, Mystery." Cf. also his article, "Wolfson's Philo," Journal of Biblical Literature, LXVII (1948), 91, where he contends that Philo takes "the concepts of the mysteries over as living forces and ideals into his Judaism, but . . . unconsciously." On the other hand, G. C. Richards, reviewing By Light, Light in The Journal of Theological Studies, XXXVIII (October 1937), 415, insists that Goodenough takes Philo's mystery larguage too literally and asserts that Philo adopts such terminology deliberately for proselytizing purposes. Cf. also Davies, pp. 93-98, and especially Wolfson, I, 44-49, who argues rather convincingly that Philo "uses terms borrowed from the mysteries in the same way as he uses terms borrowed from popular religion and mythology, all of them because they were part of common speech," and that "he explains in what special sense he compares the covenant with God to an initiation into mysteries and in what special sense he enjoins silence with regard to what he calls the mysteries of God."

¹²⁶philo, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1954), VIII, 272.

16, 62). 127 He uses the technical term εεροφάντης of God

(De Somniis I, 26, 164), 128 Moses (De Specialibus Legibus IV, 34, 177; 129

De Virtutibus 11, 75; 32, 174), 130 and Jeremiah (De Cherubim 14, 49). 131

And he asserts that the person who has been initiated into the mysteries of Moses is not to divulge them to the uninitiated (De Cherubim 14, 48), 132 but he is to conceal them in silence (εν απορεντων)

(De Sacrificiis Abelis et Caini 15, 60). 133

The purpose of the knowledge of this mystagogic philosophy is to distinguish between real truth and its symbolic appearance by allegorical interpretation of the mysteries. 134 The term $M \nu \sigma \tau n e \epsilon \omega$ is now not cultic but ontological, denoting that which not only should not be revealed, but also that which by nature cannot be revealed. 135 Bornkamm summarizes well this significant change in the understanding of $M \nu \sigma \tau n e \epsilon \omega$ when the vocabulary of the mystery cults was adopted by philosophy:

¹²⁷ Philo, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1950), II, 140.

¹²⁸ Philo, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1958), V, 382.

¹²⁹Philo, VIII, 116.

¹³⁰ Ibid., VIII, 206, 270.

¹³¹ Philo, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page, and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1950), II, 36.

¹³² Ibid.

¹³³ Ibid., II, 138.

¹³⁴Bornkamm, IV, 809.

¹³⁵ Told. TV. 810, 211, he also estes that the original religious

They were divested of their sacramental character and became secret teachings. In the theology of the philosophical mysteries the cults, strictly speaking, are no longer true mysteries; they conceal mysteries. The term implies, not the cultic event of encounter with deity, but the divine ground of being. Interest has shifted from the earthly contingency of the divine to the divine transcendence of the cosmos. 136

Mystery vocabulary is also richly represented in the texts of magic literature. $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$ is used for a magical action, the formula which effects the magic, magically potent mystery writing, and other means employed in magic, such as magic ointment, material from a scarab, and sacred animals. 137 Apparently there is no change of meaning of the use of the term in the magic texts from that in the mystery cults, except that magic involves individual practice without formal cultic connection. 138

The metaphorical use of Mustine conv., however, can once more clearly be seen in secular contexts. Yet, even here, the influence of religious concepts is not altogether lacking. So, for example, when Mnesimachus in a comedy speaks of sleep as being the small mysteries of death, 139 the cultic concept is the basis, for the metaphor carries an obvious allusion to Eleusinian ritual. 140

But gradually figurative usage prepares the way for secular use where the religious content has disappeared. So we find in the Menander

¹³⁶ Ibid., IV, 810.

¹³⁷ Ibid. For other examples, cf. Moulton and Milligan, p. 420.

¹³⁸ Ibid.

¹³⁹ Nock, Early Gentile Christianity and its Hellenistic Background, p. 120.

 $^{^{140}}$ Bornkamm, IV, 810, 811. He also notes that the original religious sense together with the more secular usage may both be seen in the proper name, $M \, \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau n s$.

Fragment 695: Mustineco'r sou Min Kateining to delay hold with the statement: illud praeterea Mustinecov as who was a secret."

Min de Coron of the coron of the common of the coron of a "secret."

Min de Coron of the coron.

It is noteworthy, however, that this secular use of MUST HELOV is a later phase in the development of the term. And even then, although the religious content disappears to a large extent in popular usage, yet the religious use remains dominant over-all.

Its history moves from the cultic and religious to the general and profane, not vice versa. The fact that examples of secular usage are on the whole rare, and are repeatedly shown by the context to be figurative, demonstrates that the term was never wholly secularised. 145

¹⁴¹ Menander, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1921), p. 522.

¹⁴²Bornkamm, IV, 811.

¹⁴³ Cicero, in The Loeb Classical Library, edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse (London: William Heinemann, 1912), I, 318.

¹⁴⁴ Ibid., I, 464.

¹⁴⁵Bornkamm, IV, 811.

Finally, we note the use of Murtiello in Gnostic literature where we find that Gnosticism, like mystical philosophy, fosters a process of intermingling and reinterpreting the mystery cults. 146

The Gnostics reduce the oriental and Greek myths to the "myth of the heavenly primal man who has fallen into the chaotic cosmos, and will be redeemed and brought to his original destiny. 147 When a person listens in silence to the concealed mystery he becomes pneumatic 148 and through knowledge of that mystery is united with the primal man. 149 The ineffable mysteries of the Spirit are the resurrection of the perfect man and his entrance into heaven by which he is saved and deified. 150 These mysteries are both concealed and revealed 151—concealed from all who because of their purely earthly origin cannot hear the message from the other world, but revealed to the perfect Gnostics who have a heavenly origin. The one who discloses these mysteries is Jesus 152 who promises:

Bearing seals, I shall descend; Through ages whole I'll sweep,

¹⁴⁶Tbid

¹⁴⁷ Ibid.

¹⁴⁸ Hippolytus, The Refutation of All Heresies, translated by J. H. MacMahon, in the Ante-Nicene Christian Library, edited by Alexander Roberts and James Donaldson (Edinburgh: T & T Clark, 1868), VI, 140.

¹⁴⁹ Tbid., VI. 140, 141.

¹⁵⁰ Ibid., VI, 143, 144.

¹⁵¹ Tbid., VI, 135.

¹⁵²Cf. G. R. S. Mead, editor, <u>Pistis Sophia</u> (Revised edition; London: John M. Watkins, 1963), pp. 12-15, where Jesus Himself is called $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}eco\nu$. Significantly though, as Mead notes, He is never called the Christ. Cf. p. xi.

All mysteries I'll unravel, And forms of Gods I'll show; And secrets of the saintly path, Styled "Gnosis," I'll impart. 153

The framework in which the mysteries are concealed and revealed includes heaven, the true sphere of the mysteries, 154 earth, 155 and the spheres of the archontes. 156 Within this broad framework significance may be attached to the cultic action. However, whereas in the mystery cults myth is a by-product of the cultus, for the Gnostics cultus is a by-product of myth. 157 And, finally, it is not without import that the concept of the mysteries in Gnosticism has overtones of magic, such as we also noted in the mystery cults themselves. Thus $\mu \nu \sigma \tau n e^{\epsilon \sigma \nu}$ may refer to the secret means of salvation filled with heavenly power such as a cultic dance, 158 a magical preparation, 159

¹⁵³ Hippolytus, VI, 153.

¹⁵⁴ Mark Lidzbarski, translator, Ginza (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck and Rupprecht, 1925), p. 381.

¹⁵⁵The primal man comprehends the whole cosmos in himself. Cf. Walter Scott, translator, <u>Hermetica</u> (Oxford: At the Clarendon Press, 1924), p. 122.

¹⁵⁶Cf. Mead, pp. 15-17. 32, where the <u>archordes</u> prostrate themselves when the redeemed come. For the heavenly redeemer has revealed the mysteries of these evil forces and thereby wrested them from them. Cf. Lidzbarski, p. 131.

¹⁵⁷Borrkamm, IV, 812.

¹⁵⁸ Acta Johannis, in Acta Apostolorum Apocrypha, editor M. Bonnet (Hildesheim: Georg Olms Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1959), p. 198.

¹⁵⁹Lidzbarski, pp. 228, 232.

baptisms, 160 sacred books, 161 and conjurations 162 -- mysteries that must not be betrayed lest they lose their magical power. 163

¹⁶⁰ Mead, pp. 247-249.

¹⁶¹Lidzbarski, pp. 65, 142, 150.

¹⁶² Cf. Corpus Hermeticum 16, 2 in Scott, p. 264, where we see that the magical formula must not be translated and thus revealed to the Greeks or else their empty, bombastic rhetoric will reduce it to impotence.

¹⁶³Bornkamm, IV, 813.

CHAPTER III

SEMITIC BACKGROUND OF MYSTERION

In our quest for the source of Paul's use of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}eco\nu$ we dare not confine ourselves to the Hellenistic world of ideas and words. We have already suggested that Paul's own linguistic usage which shows relatively little technical mystery terminology, as well as his thoroughly Jewish training and outlook, becken us to consider the Semitic background for his use of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\eta eco\nu$. The scope of our investigation includes the LXX, Pseudepigrapha, Qumran literature, and the writings of Rabbinic Judaism.

The term MUUTN'CCOV occurs twenty-one times in the LXX,² usually translating $\frac{1}{2}$, a Persian loan-word.³ Before we consider these passages, however, we want to look at the Hebrew word $\frac{1}{2}$, a term which, although not translated in the LXX by MUUTN'CCOV,

¹James S. Stewart, <u>A Man In Christ</u> (New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, n.d.), p. 73, in fact states that "the exegesis which turns to Hellemistic sources for the genesis of Paul's regulative ideas, without having in the first instance attempted at least to trace the origin of these ideas in the Old Testament, is entirely unscientific."

²Edwin Hatch and Henry Redpath, <u>A Concordance to the Septuagint</u> (Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1897), II, 937. Cognates are rare: μύστης appears in Wisd. 12:6; μύστις in Wisd. 8:4; and μυστικως in 3 Macc. 3:10.

³F. Brown, S. R. Driver, and C. A. Briggs, <u>A Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament</u> (Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1959), p. 1112.

Left. G. Bornkamm, "MUST Secov, MUEW," in Theological Dictionary of the New Testament, translated and edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company,

is quite significant in the historical development of the Semitic concept of mystery.⁵ The basic meaning of $74 \circ$ appears to be "council" or "assembly," sometimes referring to an earthly assembly (Jer. 6:11; 15;17; Ps. 64:3; Gen. 49:6; Ps. 111:1; Ezek. 13:9), but also at times to the heavenly council (Job 15:8; Ps. 89:8; Jer. 23:18,22).⁶ The decisions reached in this assembly of Yahweh were made known to the people by the prophet who was introduced by vision into the sessions of the $74 \circ$ (Isaiah 6 and Amos 3:7).⁷ Since anyone admitted to such an assembly, whether earthly or heavenly, would share a special intimacy with the others present, $74 \circ$ also conveys the notion of intimate friendship (Ps. 25:14; Prov. 3:32; Job 29:4).⁸ Finally,

c.1967), IV, 814, n. 113. A possible exception is Sir. 3:19 where the Sinaiticus suppletor has Mustine Cov. Other Hexapla translations have Mustine for Tiecov for Tiecov as follows: Symmachus in Prov. 11:13; Theodotion in Job 15:8 and Prov. 20:19; and Theodotion and Quinta in Ps. 24 (25):14.

⁵Raymond E. Brown, "The Pre-Christian Semitic Concept of Mystery,"
The Catholic Biblical Quarterly, XX (1958), 417.

⁶Brown, Driver, and Briggs, p. 691. Robinson holds that this heavenly 740 was regarded very realistically by Jeremiah and his contemporaries for whom the sky was a solid firmament holding Yahweh's temple-court in which He dwelt and to which His counselors, "sons of God" (argelic attendants), came at His call. Cf. H. Wheeler Robinson, "The Council of Yahweh," The Journal of Theological Studies, XLV (1944), 151, 152.

⁷Brown, XX, 420. An interesting parallel has been drawn suggesting that just as the prophet who had been admitted into the intimate council of the Lord had a special acquaintance with His will, purpose, and plan, so Paul believed himself to stand in a peculiar relationship to Christ who informed him, directly or through the Holy Spirit, concerning his message and activity in the ministry of the Gospel. Cf. Jacob M. Myers and Edwin D. Freed, "Is Paul Also Among the Prophets?," Interpretation, XX (January 1966), 48, 49.

⁸ Ibid., XX, 421.

Tio is sometimes used for the secret decision rendered at such a council, 9 and thus, in the case of a heavenly assembly, for heavenly secrets or mysteries. We shall see examples of this latter usage in the Apocrypha, Pseudepigrapha and Qumran literature and hopefully this will provide some insight into the Semitic concept of Mustale cov.

We now turn to the term $Mv\sigma\tau\dot{n}ecov$ itself as it appears in the LXX, looking first at the apocryphal, then the canonical, usage. Eight times $Mv\sigma\tau\dot{n}ecov$ is used in a purely secular sense for secrets which must not be divulged. Tob. 12:7,11 speaks of $Mv\sigma\tau\dot{n}ecov$ $G\sigma\sigma\dot{n}ecov$ $G\sigma\sigma\dot{n}ecov$ is used in a purely secular sense for secrets which must not be divulged. Tob. 12:7,11 speaks of $Mv\sigma\tau\dot{n}ecov$ $G\sigma\sigma\dot{n}ecov$ $G\sigma\sigma\dot{n}ecov$ are military secrets which a Jewish traitor betrays to the enemy. Finally, Sir. 22:22 and 27:16,17,21 use the term with reference to secrets between friends. If these secrets are revealed to others the friendship is destroyed. From these references it is obvious that not in every instance does $Mv\sigma\tau\dot{n}ecov$ have religious connotations in the LXX.

Nevertheless, at times the term does have religious significance.

In Sir. 3:19 (Sinaiticus Suppletor) we are told that God reveals

(ἀποκαλύπτει) His secrets (μυστήθια) to the

^{9&}lt;u>Told</u>. For 750 in the sense of counsel in general cf. Prov. 15:22 and Ps. 83:4. 750 as a human secret appears in Prov. 11:13; 25:9; and Sir. 8:17.

humble. 10 The context does not indicate what these secrets are. but it does advise against investigating and searching out what is hidden (keunta). For the secrets of God lie beyond human knowledge. 11 Therefore, God must reveal them. Elsewhere wisdom personified is an agent of God in revealing these secrets (Keunta in Sir. 4:18 and a mokeudd in Sir. 14:21). When these synonyms of Muotnecov are considered in Sirach, several significant facets of the heavenly secrets emerge. First, the mysteries of God may include natural phenomena such as the sun, moon, stars, clouds, snow, rain, and dewall of which are marvels of God's creation (Sirach 43). Secondly. mysteries may be applied to human actions, particularly evil actions done in secrecy which God knows (Sir. 17:15-21; 23:18-20; 39:19) and shall reveal (Sir. 1:30). Finally, knowledge of the mysteries of God seemingly may be acquired by studying the written tradition (the Law, Wisdom, and Prophets) and the oral tradition (Sir. 39:1-3); yet it is God who must give the understanding (Sir. 39:6-8).12

The other occurrences of MUTTHECOV in the Apocrypha are found in The Wisdom of Solomon, a book which probably was originally written in Greek by an Alexandrian Jew about the middle of the first

¹⁰ Borrkamm, IV, 113, remarks that here "a mystical understanding is obviously presupposed."

¹¹Cf. Sir. 11:4 where the context indicates that the works of God that are hidden $(\kappa e \nu \pi \tau \alpha)$ from men include the vicissitudes of life and the workings of divine providence.

¹²Brown, XX, 424, 425.

century B.C.¹³ Whether it reflects a profound grasp of Greek philosophy or merely a popular use of its terms is debatable.¹⁴ At any rate, it is noteworthy that it "bears the imprint of its Hellenistic milieu."¹⁵

In Wisd. 2:22 we read that the wicked our Eyrwar Mustineed $\theta \in old$. The context describes how the ungodly, unaware of man's eternal destiny in creation and his eternal reward for holiness of life, believe that the life of the righteous ends with death.

This seemingly indicates that God's mysteries here refer to His plans for the righteous in the life to come. 16 The term mustineeleccleck is also used with reference to the nature and origin of wisdom in Wisd. 6:22: $\pi \in S \in \mathcal{E}$ $\pi \in \mathcal{E$

¹³cf. Samuel Holmes, The Wisdom of Solomon, in The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament, edited by R. H. Charles (Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1913), I, 520, 521, 524, 525.

¹⁴Brown, XX, 425, n. 38. Cf. Holmes, I, 531-533.

¹⁵Brown, XX, 425.

¹⁶Holmes, I, 538, n. on Wisd. 2:22, suggests that the mysteries of God refer to the fact that suffering is not necessarily punishment, but often a test of goodness which will be rewarded after death by immortality.

¹⁷cf. Holmes, I, 545, n. on Wisd. 6:22. Wilfred L. Knox, St. Paul and the Church of the Gentiles (Cambridge: The University Press, 1939), p. 227, holds that the mysteries here do not resemble any particular mystery cult, but the esoteric teachings which these cults were supposed to symbolize.

Wolfson who interprets this reference to Mustarela not in opposition to mystery cults, but rather to that wisdom which in Greek philosophy was to be imparted in secret only to a select few. 18

Actually, the use of the term Mustarela in this passage is not surprising since the emanation of wisdom from God (Wisd. 7:25; Sir. 24:3-6) was unobserved by man, and thus it could well be called secret without any reference to a specific opponent. 19 Furthermore, the fact that the description of the origin of wisdom (Sir. 24:1-6) is revealed to the heavenly assembly 20 accounts for its being a mystery.

Other instances of $MV\sigma\tau\gamma'_{CCOV}$ and its cognates in The Wisdom of Solomon would more clearly indicate, however, a familiarity with the technical language of the mystery religions. Raymond E. Brown distinguishes two different usages: the application of mystery cult terminology to pagan rituals of past Israelite history, and the application of such terminology to describe events contemporary with the writer or to express the religious thought of the writer. As an example of the former usage, Wisd. 12:5 uses the term in describing the initiates of Canaanite orginatic rites. 22 With

¹⁸ Harry A. Wolfson, Philo (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, c.1947) I, 24-25. For the development of the meaning of Mustical as philosophical mysteries rather than cultic mysteries, cf. Supra, pp. 28-32.

¹⁹ Brown, XX, 426.

²⁰ The context seems to indicate that the LXX $\mathcal{E}_{KK\lambda n\sigma'\alpha}$ may well render an original 770.

²¹ Brown, XX, 426.

²²Cf. Nu. 25:3-5 which says that Israel was initiated $(\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\lambda\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\eta)$ into the sacrificial rites of Baal of Peor.

reference to the latter usage, Wisd. 14:15 may be cited: "For a father worn with untimely grief, making an image of the child too quickly taken away, now homored him as a god who then was a corpse, and delivered to those that were under him mysteries and solemn rites" (MUSTNECA KAL TELETÁS). A little later the writer speaks of rites (TELETÁS) of child-killing and hidden mysteries (KEÚGLA MUSTNECA) and frantic revels (KŨMOC) of strange rituals (Wisd. 14:23). Seemingly the writer has in mind some debased form of mystery religion. Concerning the author's own religious thought, he calls wisdom, which he regards as God's agent in teaching him mysteries (KEUMTTÁ) (Wisd. 7:21, 22), MUSTCS...

THIS TOU GEOU ETICTTÉMMUS (Wisd. 8:4). Here he uses the technical mystery cult term for a female initiate.

We do find terminology then in this last book of the Apocrypha which seemingly indicates some familiarity with the language of the mystery cults. However, it is "only a familiarity which would be the common possession of Alexandrians in the period just before Christ."²³ It is clear that the $\mu \nu \sigma = \pi/e \epsilon \lambda$ of The Wisdom of Solomon "are linked neither with sacramental rites nor with the Gnostic redemption myth."²⁴ And certainly there is no evidence whatever in the Apocrypha as a whole of a wholesale borrowing of terminology from the mystery religions nor of an incorporation of any of their ideas.

²³Brown, XX, 427.

²⁴Borrkamm, IV, 814.

In the canonical Old Testament the only book where the LXX translates the original by Muotnecov is in the Aramaic section of Daniel (2:18,19,27,28,29,30, and twice in verse 47).25 The Aramaic word so translated is 37. Here we find the familiar episode of how the sorcerers and enchanters under the threat of death fail to reveal King Nebuchadnezzar's dream and its meaning. When Daniel is apprised of the precarious situation he asks for an audience with the king, and then tells his three companions: 3n thoda Mae's TOO KUREOU TOO ENLOTEDU TERE TOO MUST TRECOU τούτου (2:18). Then we are told: τότε τῷ Δανικλ ἐν SedMATE EN LUTA TA VUKTE TO MUSTHERON TOU Barchéws Efectaron Evonnus (2:19). It is God who reveals both the dream, which consists of a series of symbols (the figure of gold, silver, bronze, iron and clay, and a stone--2:31-35), and its content, the coming kingdom which is sovereign and eternal (2:36-45). Thus Daniel blesses the God who controls history, to whom belongs wisdom, who gives wisdom to the wise, and who dvakaluntur tà βαθέα και σκοτεινά (2:20-22).26 No human being can reveal this mystery (2:27), but $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$ $\theta\epsilon\dot{\circ}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}v$ OURAVA ZVAKA NUTTEUN MUSTNELA OS

²⁵It also appears in Theodotion's translation of Dan. 4:9.
For occasional examples of the use of MUTTMECOV in other
Greek translations of the Old Testament, cf. Armitage J. Robinson,
St. Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians (London: Macmillan and Co., Limited,
1909), p. 235. Also Edwin Hatch, Essays in Biblical Greek (Oxford:
The Clarendon Press, 1889), p. 57.

²⁶ The word the LXX here translates σκοτεινά is κη τρομ -the only place in Daniel 2 where "secret" is not rendered by τη - μυστήριον.

ES π λωσε τῷ Βασιλεῖ ΝαΒουχοδνόσος

Κ δεῖ γενέσθαι ἐπ' ἐσχάτων τῶν

π μεςῶν (2:28).27 Daniel even insists that the mystery was
revealed to him not because of any special wisdom on his part but

for the purpose of making known the interpretation to the king (2:30).

The use of Murthecov in Daniel then would seem to indicate that it is an eschatological mystery. It has to do with future events predetermined by God Himself. 28 And it is God alone who reveals the mystery and its real meaning. 29 In fact, Dan. 2:47 suggests that it is God's power to disclose mysteries that sets Him apart from, and above, all pagan gods. "These mysteries therefore are ultimate events, delayed by God from all eternity, to which He holds the key, and which His Spirit alone is able to disclose in advance." 30

It is also noteworthy that in Daniel there appears to be a conjunction of the sapiental and eschatological traditions. Daniel gives Nebuchadnezzar's dream an eschatological interpretation but he

²⁷cf. also 2:29: και δ ανακαλύπτων μυστήρια εδήλωσε σοι & δες γενέσθαι.

²⁸While Bornkamm, IV, 814, 815, holds that the eschatological sense of MUGTNICOV appears here for the first time, Brown, XX, 423, claims that although this may be true of the term itself, yet this is "only a development of the more ancient concept of the prophet's introduction into the heavenly assembly. . . . " Cf. also Kathryn Sullivan, "The Mystery Revealed to Paul-Eph. 3:1-13," The Bible Today, I (February 1963), 250, who suggests that here the words of Amos 3:7 are fulfilled and the secrets of the heavenly council are brought to men.

²⁹Theodotion's translation of Dan. 4:9 indicates that the disclosure and interpretation of the mystery is also reserved for those in whom the Spirit of God dwells.

³⁰M. Bouttier, "Mystery," in <u>A Companion to the Bible</u>, edited by J.-J. Von Allmen (New York: Oxford University Press, 1958), p. 276.

begins his disclosure in the style of the Jewish sage in Dan. 2:20, and in the next verse God's eschatological and sapiental activities are juxtaposed. 31 M. Bogdasavich puts it this way:

By the time the Book of Daniel was written, it was seen that time and history, too, are only another dimension encompassed and transcended by the hidden <u>mysterion</u> in the mind of the Lord of history . . . now the Wisdom writers see history as a series of new creations, continual eruptions of the transcendent God into the here and now. But they are eruptions with a purpose and a plan. The books of Baruch and Daniel especially eschatologize the Wisdom idea, showing that the $\sigma \circ \phi \circ d$ $\theta \circ \circ \circ$ has shaped human destiny and is bearing man toward something new. 32

In a similar vein Louis Bouyer remarks concerning the transition from wisdom to the apocalypse in Daniel:

Daniel is presented to us as a wise Jew. . . . it is he alone who is able to solve the riddles against the proud wisdom of this latter (the court of the king) comes to stumble. . . . he does this only through an inspiration of the Most High. It is He alone, indeed, who holds in His hand the <u>kairoi</u>, that is, the key to the decisive events of history, not only because He alone knew them beforehand but because it is He who determines them as King of Ages. And He <u>reveals</u> their mystery to whom He wills . . . not through any wisdom which His elect would possess as their own, but by His sole grace. 33

Bouyer goes on to say that here we are at "the exact source of the mystery of St. Paul as it is to appear in the First Epistle to the Corinthians." This judgment we will evaluate when we study Paul's use of Must here we are at "the exact source of the mystery of St. Paul as it is to appear in the First Epistle to the Corinthians."

This judgment we will evaluate when we study Paul's use of Must here we are at "the exact source of the mystery of St. Paul as it is to appear in the First Epistle to the Corinthians."

³¹M. Bogdasavich, "The Idea of Pleroma in the Epistles to the Colossians and Ephesians," The Downside Review, LXXXIII (April 1965), 124.

³² Ibid., LXXXIII, 124-127.

³³Louis Bouyer, <u>Rite and Man</u>, translated by M. Joseph Costelloe (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, c.1963), p. 143.

³⁴ Ibid.

It would seem, then, that the use of Mustine cov to translate in Daniel was not influenced by the mystery religions. In fact the sparse use of Mustine cov throughout the LXX might indicate that the translators purposely avoided the word because of the alien religious connotations which the term had in accordance with its origin. It may well be that we have here what Mohrmann calls the principle of "rejection," in which "words were deliberately chosen which lay outside the pagan sphere of religious thought. "36 Furthermore, in their limited use of Mustine cov the LXX translators perhaps employ the process of "calque" or "Lehmübersetzurg," by which a totally new thought complex is attached to an existing word on the strength of certain limited points of contact. This new thought complex takes shape as Mustine contact. This new associated with the development of apocalyptic in later Judaism. 38

³⁵Cf. Robinson, p. 235. Also Bornkamm, IV, 814. In contrast to Robinson, p. 234, who holds that Mustinecov starting with a technical meaning in pagan religion, passes through a neutral phase in which the original metaphor was no longer felt, and finally, is adopted as a technical term of Christianity, Bornkamm, IV, 814, cautions that "only with reservations... can one speak of the transition of the religious word to general profane use or of an uninhibited new religious application."

³⁶ Christine Mohrmann, "Linguistic Problems in the Early Christian Church," Vigiliae Christianae, XI (January 1957), 23.

^{37&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, XI, 24. Mohrmann, XI, 25, concludes that "early Christian Greek finds a point of departure in the language of the LXX not only as an 'arsenal' of utilizable linguistic material, but also as a methodical example of linguistic adaptation and renewal."

³⁸ Bornkamm, IV, 815, states that "The disclosure of divine secrets is the true theme of later Jewish apocalyptic."

To trace further this apocalyptic background of Mustinecov we now turn to the Pseudepigrapha, 39 specifically Enoch, 2 Baruch, and 4 Ezra. 40 In Enoch, where the term mystery appears most frequently, we find several different kinds of mysteries 1 which may conveniently be categorized as evil mysteries, cosmic mysteries, and mysteries of God's will and human actions. 42 Evil mysteries form a key part of the story of how evil angels lusted after women, came to earth, married them, and begat giants, with the result that much violence and lawlessness ensued (Enoch 6-7). The good angels then appeal to God: "Thou seest what Azazel hath done, who hath taught all unrighteousness on earth and revealed the eternal secrets which were (preserved) in heaven, which men were striving to learn" (En. 9:6,7). 43 The context indicates that "these mysteries" through which "women and men work

³⁹Robinson, p. 236, remarks that later Jewish Apocryphal literature provides "an important link between the usage of the Greek O.T. and the usage of the N.T."

⁴⁰ References to these books are from R. H. Charles' edition of The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament (Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1913), 2 vols., except where otherwise indicated.

⁴¹ Throughout Enoch mysteries are viewed as all-inclusive heavenly ultimate realities which lie behind and form the basis of world realities. Cf. Borrkamm, IV, 815.

⁴²Brown, XX, 428-433.

⁴³Brown, translates this passage, "He (the evil angel) revealed the eternal secrets which were being done in heaven." This text, together with En. 16:3 where God reproaches the fallen angels, "You have been in heaven, but (all) the mysteries had not yet been revealed to you, and you knew worthless ones, and these in the hardness of your hearts you have made known to the women . . ." Brown holds, suggest some sort of belief that evil mysteries were enacted in heaven before the angels came to earth and revealed them to humans and that God played a role in bringing about these evil mysteries.

much evil on earth" (En. 16:3) include charms and enchantments; cutting of roots and knowledge of plants; the art of making offensive and defensive weapons and of using metals; ornaments, cosmetics and costly gems--all materials used in the evil practices of magic, idolatry, violence, and seduction (Enoch 7 and 8).

The significance of the cosmic mysteries seems to lie in the role they play in divine providence. Such natural phenomena as the function of the moon, the division of the stars, winds, thunder, lightning, rain, hail, and snow (En. 60:11-22) are arranged and fulfilled "according to the commandment of the Lord of Spirits" (En. 41:6). The luminaries give "light to the righteous and darkness to the sinners in the name of the Lord" (En. 41:8). Later Enoch is informed by the angel who is explaining the heavenly mysteries that the names of the stars have parabolic meaning: "These are the names of the holy who dwell on the earth and believe in the name of the Lord of Spirits for ever and ever" (En. 43:4). And in En. 80:7 we are told that the mystery of the order of the luminaries is not revealed to sinners, with the result that they make them their gods. Finally, the mysteries of the mountains of metals--iron, copper, silver, gold, soft metal, and lead--are significant for the role they play in the realization of the Messianic kingdon. Enoch is told: "All these things which those hast seen shall serve the dominion of His Anointed that he may be potent and mighty on the earth! (En. 52:4).44

⁴⁴ Yet their role is strictly instrumental: "And they shall become powerless before his feet. . . . And all these things shall be destroyed from the surface of the earth, when the Elect One shall appear before the face of the Lord of Spirits" (En. 52:6,9). Here an eschatological flavor is evident.

Most often mysteries in Enoch, however, refer to God's will as it affects mankind and to man's actions under God's judgment—and here the mysteries have a strong eschatological bent. God's being and rule are unsearchable. The evil angels say of the Lord of Spirits: "And splendid in every secret thing is Thy power from generation to generation.

. . . Deep are all Thy secrets and innumerable, and Thy righteousness is beyond reckoning" (En. 63:3). Human actions, too, are called mysteries, 45 whether good deeds (En. 38:3;46 49:2) or evil (En. 68:2; 83:7).

Since these actions stand under divine judgment it is not surprising that God's judgment itself is called a mystery. 48 In En. 41:1 Enoch says: "And after that I saw all the secrets of the heavens, and how the kingdom is divided, and how the actions of men are weighed in the balance." Even more explicit is Enoch's statement:

I know a mystery and have read the heavenly tablets . . . that all goodness and joy and glory are prepared for them, and written down for the spirits of those who have died in righteousness. . . . Woe to you, ye sinners, when ye have died. . . . Know ye, that their souls will be made to descend into Sheol and they shall be wretched in their great tribulation (En. 103: 2-7).

⁴⁵According to Brown, XX, 432, since the term mystery frequently refers to God's judgment, when even man's hidden thoughts shall be revealed (cf. Sir. 1:30), it is not unnatural that human actions should be called mysteries.

⁴⁶ Charles, II, 209, on the other hand, takes "The secrets of the righteous" to be the secret blessings of the righteous which, though still hidden, shall be revealed at the final judgment.

⁴⁷The secret human actions of En. 49:4 and 61:9 perhaps refer to human deeds period-good and bad.

⁴⁸In En. 89:1 a divine temporal judgment, the Flood, is called a mystery.

And in En. 68:5 Michael tells Raphael concerning the evil angels:
"Therefore all that is hidden 49 shall come upon them for ever and ever. . . . they have received their judgment for ever and ever."

In addition, the term mystery also applies to the Son of Man who was "chosen and hidden before Him, before the creation of the world" (En. 48:6)⁵⁰ and who shall be revealed on judgment day (En. 62:1). "And the Elect One shall in those days sit on My throne, and his mouth shall pour forth all the secrets of wisdom and counsel" (En. 51:3). En. 62:2,13 adds:

And the Lord of Spirits seated him (the Elect One) on the throne of His glory, and the spirit of righteousness was poured out upon him, and the word of his mouth slays all the sinners.

. . . And the righteous and elect shall be saved on that day.

Finally, Enoch 69 tells of how an angel asked Michael to show him "the hidden name" so that he could put it in the oath and consequently the evil angels who revealed secrets to mankind would tremble before that name. Thereupon, "the name of the Son of Man" was revealed to the good angels (En. 69:26). And in the following verse we are told:

And he sat on the throne of his glory, and the sum of judgment was given unto the Son of Man, and he caused the sinners to pass away and be destroyed from off the face of the earth. . . .

⁴⁹A later Ethiopic MS, has "the hidden judgment."

⁵⁰cf. also En. 62:7.

⁵¹Cf. also En. 49:2,3, which states that the Elect One, described as "mighty in all the secrets of righteousness," shall "judge the secret things."

We now turn our attention briefly to the appearance of mystery in 2 Baruch. ⁵² Baruch, praying to God, says: "Thou alone knowest the duration of the generations, and Thou revealest not Thy mysteries to many" (2 Bar. 48:3). The context seems to indicate cosmic mysteries: seasons, fire, wind, the heavens, darkness. ⁵³ Yet here, too, as in Enoch, there seems to be a hint of eschatological import: "O my Lord, Thou summonest the advent of the times, and they stand before Thee; Thou causest the power of the ages to pass away, and they do not resist Thee" (2 Bar. 48:2). The eschatological thrust of the mysteries becomes even more apparent when in writing to the Israelites in the Babylomian captivity Baruch comforts them by saying:

I prayed for mercy from the Most High, and I said: "How long will these things endure for us? And will these evils come upon us always?" And the Mighty One did according to the multitude of His mercies . . . and He showed me visions that I should not again endure anguish, and He made known to me the mystery."

of the times. And the advent of the hours He showed me (2 Bar. 81:2-4).

A similar thought appears in 2 Bar. 85:8-10 although the term mystery does not occur there:

⁵² Charles, II, 470, dates 2 Baruch (the Syriac version) between 50 and 90 A.D., making it contemporaneous with the New Testament writings.

⁵³³ Baruch (Greek version), which according to Charles, II, 529, 530, dates from the first part of the second century A.D. and shows some signs of Christian redaction, develops the cosmic mysteries at length. An angel promises to show Baruch "the mysteries of God" (3 Bar. 1:8) and then proceeds to take him on a tour of the heavens where he points out the measurements of the heavenly plains (ch. 2), the chariot of the sun (chs. 6-8) and that of the moon (ch. 9), and other physical phenomenon. Interspersed are descriptions of "spiritual" mysteries: the builders of the tower of Babel (ch. 3), the evil dragon (chs. 4 and 5), and the tree that led Adam astray (ch. 4).

⁵⁴Other MSS. read "mysteries."

the Most High . . . hath shown to us that which is to be, and has not concealed from us what will befall in the end. Before therefore judgment exact its own . . . let us prepare our soul. . . . For the youth of the world is past . . . and the advent of the times is very short.

In 4 Ezra55 the mystery likewise is eschatological; it concerns the last times and the destiny of Israel revealed to Ezra through dreams and visions (4 Ezra 12:33-36; 14:5-9). The angel Uriel tells Ezra that "the Most High hath revealed many secrets unto thee. For he hath seen thy righteous conduct 56 for thy people. . . . " (4 Ezra 10:38). Uriel then explains how the vision of the suffering woman refers to Zion which will be restored (4 Ezra 10:40-50). The mysteries of God concerning the times and seasons are to be kept secret (4 Ezra 14:5-8)--reminiscent of the command to secrecy in the mystery cults-just as Moses was ordered to keep some secret, namely, the apocalyptic tradition, while publishing others, that is, the Torah. 57

It is evident, then, that $\mu\nu\sigma\tau n'eco\nu$ is an important concept in later Jewish apocalyptic 58 and must not be overlooked in seeking the

⁵⁵Charles, II, 552, dates the final redaction of 4 Ezra from 100-135 A.D., but notes that some sources embodied in the book may go back to about 30 B.C.

found worthy to learn this mystery of the Most High") 4 Ezra contrasts sharply with Daniel in that the former attributes the revelation of divine mysteries to individuals on the basis of their upright conduct (as in Gnosticism where the mysteries are revealed to the $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon coc$ $\lambda v \omega \tau \tau c \kappa c \dot{c}$), whereas the latter insists that God alone reveals His mysteries to those whom He chooses—and not because of their own righteousness or wisdom.

⁵⁷cf. Charles. II. 621, n. 6.

⁵⁸L. Johnston, "The Mystery of Marriage," Scripture, XI (1959), 2, concludes that the term mystery "belongs to the language of Jewish apocalyptic literature."

background for Pauline usage of the term. Admittedly, there are some similarities between this apocalyptic usage, on the one hand, and that of the mystery cults and Gnosticism, on the other. There is a similar demand for silence—although not nearly so strongly stressed in apocalyptic. Both hold that the mysteries are revealed only to the perfect and wise. Journeys to heaven and hell, depicted liturgically in the mystery religions, while taking the form of dreams and visions in Gnosticism and apocalyptic, play an important role in both. And the role of the mystagogue in the mystery cults finds a parallel in the role of the angel who guides the apocalyptists through the heavenly visions and explains the mysteries to him⁵⁹—although Brown contends that the role of angels as guides to the heavenly secrets would flow quite naturally from the hypothesis that the heavenly $\tau \uparrow \tau$ was the ultimate background of the revelation of the divine mysteries. ⁶⁰

Nevertheless, there are important differences between apocalyptic mysteries and those of the mystery cults-differences that are indeed decisive. Bornkamm summarizes:

1. The apocalyptic mysteries do not relate to a destiny which the deity or the heavenly redeemer suffers, but to one which the deity decides and ordains; 2. reception of the mysteries is not deification in apocalyptic; 3. the mysteries are in apocalyptic oriented to an eschatological cosmic revelation.

⁵⁹Borrkamm, IV, 816.

⁶⁰Brown, XX, 430, n. 57.

⁶¹ Borrkamm, IV, 816. Cf. also E. J. Price, "Jewish Apocalyptic and the Mysteries," The Hibbert Journal, XVIII (1919), 101-104, who cites the following differences between Jewish apocalyptic and the mystery cults: the dualism of apocalyptic is ethical, that of the mystery cults metaphysical; in the mystery religions salvation is by deification, whereas this mystical identification with God is wholly

We agree with Price who, while admitting "that in apocalyptic we have a parallel phenomenon to that of the Hellenistic mystery religions," 62 concludes that

in estimating the points of contact between Paul and the mystery religions, we must have due regard for the apocalyptic background of his thought; conceptions which appear to be derived from the mystery cults may really have their roots in Paul's apocalyptic Judaism. 63

We are now ready to focus our attention on the occurrence and usage of Must necov in the Qumran literature. 64 The term appears approximately fifty times, 65 frequently enough to warrant a close

alien to Jewish piety; the conception of faith is much more prominent in apocalyptic than in the cults; and while for the mysteries the heavenly world exists here and now, apocalyptic is primarily eschatological, pointing forward to the time, not far off, when the evil forces will be destroyed and God's plan of deliverance fully realized. In connection with this last point, Albert Schweitzer, Paul and His Interpreters, translated by W. Montgomery (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1912), pp. 227, 228, insists that of eschatology in the late Jewish or early Christian sense, that is, when reference is made to the end of the world as expected in the immediate future, and the events, hopes, and fears connected with it—and not just the subjective future end of individuals—"there is not a single trace to be found in any Graeco-Oriental doctrine."

⁶² Price, XVIII, 96.

⁶³ Ibid., XVIII, 112.

Although scholars differ in their estimation of the composition date of the Qumran writings, we accept the first century B.C. as an approximate date. Cf. Frank M. Cross, Jr., The Ancient Library of Qumran and Modern Biblical Studies (New York: Doubleday and Company, Inc., 1958), pp. 89, 90. Cf. also Joseph Coppens, "'Mystery' in the Theology of Saint Paul and its Parallels at Qumran," in Paul and Qumran, edited by Jerome Murphy-0' Connor (London: Geoffrey Chapman Ltd., c.1968), p. 137, n. 6.

⁶⁵Cf. Karl G. Kuhn, Konkordanz Zu Den Qumrantexten (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Rupprecht, 1960), pp. 203, 204. He cites the following references of 37: 1 Qp Hab (The Commentary on Habakkuk) 7:5,8,14; 1 QS (The Rule of the Community) 3:23; 4:6,18; 9:18; 11:3,5,19; 1 QM (The War Rule) 3:9,15; 14:9,14; 16:11,16; 17:9; 1 QH (The Hymns)

examination of its use here with a view to determining to what extent, if any, the Pauline concept of mystery finds its source in this particular Semitic background. The term \(\bar{7} \) appears most frequently, but \(770 \) also occurs a significant number of times, sometimes parallel to \(\bar{7} \) (as, for example, in 1 QH 11:10), \(66 \) with no apparent distinction. Finally, \(\tau \bar{7} \bar{9} \bar{9} \) also occurs.

Cosmic mysteries, such as we have already seen in the apocrypha and pseudepigrapha, are also to be found in the Qumran writings.

1 QH 1:11,12, in which the psalmist thanks God for ordaining "the heavenly lights to their mysteries, the stars to their paths . . . to their tasks," for refers to the orbits of the heavenly bodies as mysteries. The Psalmist then, having described thunder and lightning, the earth and its seas, and man and his destiny, says, "These things I know by the wisdom which comes from Thee, for Thou hast unstopped my ears to marvellous mysteries" (1 QH 1:21). The same chapter speaks

^{1:11,13,21,29; 2:13; 4:27; 5:25,36; 7:27; 8:6,11 (}twice); 9:23; 11:10; 12:13,20; 13:2,3,13; f (fragment) 3:7; 6:5; 17:3; 25:1; 50:5; 1 Q26 1:4; 1 Q27 1:2,3,4,7; 13:3; 1 Q30 4:1; 1 Q36 9:2; 16:2; 1 Q40 1:2; 4 QMa 12 (fragment of an old edition of The War Rule from Cave 4); CD (Damascus Document) 3:18. Cf. also E. Vogt, "'Mysteria' in Textibus Qumran," Biblica, 37 (1956), 247-256, who prefaces his list of occurrences of mystery in the Qumran writings by saying: "Iuvabit colligere textus qumranicos, in quibus haec vox adhibetur, quo facilius sensus vocis, momentum in doctrina sectae, relatio ad cosimilem usum vocis 'mysterium' in N.T. elucidari possit, ubi fere 30ies invenitur."

⁶⁶Cf. Eduard Lohse, <u>Die Texte aus Qumran</u> (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1964), p. 154.

⁶⁷All quotations in English from the Qumran literature are, unless otherwise indicated, from G. Vermes, <u>The Dead Sea Scrolls in English</u> (Middlesex, England: Penguin, c.1962), pp. 71-249.

of sounds which God brings forth according to their mysteries (1 QH 1:29), possibly a reference to human language, 68 or to the measure and the harmony basic to poetry and music.69

The Qumran writings also speak of evil mysteries. In The War Rule we read:

In all our generations Thou hast bestowed Thy wonderful favours on the remnant (of Thy people) under the dominion of Satan. During all the mysteries of his Malevolence he has not made (us) stray from Thy Covenant (1 QM 14:8, 9).70

⁶⁸cf. Brown, XX, 441, n. 88.

⁶⁹Cf. Coppens, p. 135. Coppens (p. 135) also lists among the cosmic mysteries the mysteries of the abyss (1 Q27 f. 13:3) and the mysterious domain of a new Paradise (1 QH 8:6).

⁷⁰ Brown, XX, 440, translates verse 8, "against the dominion of Belial and against his hostile mysteries."

⁷¹ The phrase here (cf. Lohse, p. 132), as well as in 1 QHf
50:5 [cf. E. L. Sukenik, editor, The Dead Sea Scrolls of the Hebrew
University (Jerusalem: The Magnes Press, 1955)] and 1 Q27 1:2 [cf.
D. Barthelemy and J. T. Milik, Discoveries in the Judaem Desert:
Qumran Cave I (Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1955), I] is yet?

It also occurs in 1 Q27 1:7, although here Milik reads % ??

the signs of the mystery to come: wickedness will vanish like smoke; righteousness will be revealed like a sun; and "all who cleave to the mysteries of sin () 45 +37) shall be no more" (1 Q27 1:7).

This brings us to the mysteries of divine providence. God, who has created both the spirit of light and the spirit of darkness (1 QS 3:28), does permit the evil mysteries to function for a time.

1 QS 3:20-23 tells us:

The angel of darkness leads all the children of righteousness astray, and until his end, all their sin, iniquities, wickedness, and all their unlawful deeds are caused by his dominion in accordance with the mysteries of God.

But God loathes the counsel (740) of the angel of darkness (1 QS 4:1) and "in the mysteries of His understanding, and in His glorious wisdom, God has ordained an end for falsehood, and at the time of the visitation He will destroy it for ever" (1QS 4:18).72 How this will happen is metaphorically described in The War Rule, which speaks of the sons of light marching in battle against the sons of darkness, carrying trumpets of ambush on which is written, "The Mysteries of God shall undo Wickedness" (1QM 3:8,9).

The trials which the mysterious wisdom of God inflicts on people are another aspect of the mysteries of divine providence. The psalmist is confident that God will plead his cause:

⁷² Franz Mussner, "Contributions Made by Qumran to the Understanding of the Epistle to the Ephesians," in Paul and Qumran, p. 160, comments: "The community is convinced that all time is determined by God, especially the hour of his action at the end of time. Time lies 'in the mysteries' of his wise providence." Cf. also 1 QM 14:14 which, although not clear, seems to speak of God's wonderful mysteries in terms of casting down the angels.

For it is according to the mystery of Thy wisdom that Thou hast rebuked me. Thou wilt conceal the truth until (its) time, (and righteousness) until its appointed moment. Thy rebuke shall become my joy and gladness. . . . (1QH 9:23,24).

God's mysterious providence is also seen when His soldiers "begin to fall by the mysteries of God" in order to test all those ready for battle (1 QM 16:11). Thereupon the high priest encourages the soldiers in battle with the words: "And you, the sons of His Covenant, be strong in the ordeal of God! His mysteries shall uphold you until He moves His hand for His trials to come to an end" (1 QM 17:8,9).

Finally, God's providential mysteries relate especially to Israel, His elect people in terms of both present pardon and future salvation. When the remnant continued to wallow in sin and uncleanness in spite of the fact that God had revealed to them the hidden things, that is, His Sabbaths, feasts, righteous testimonies, truthful ways and purposes of His will, "God, in His wonderful mysteries, forgave them their sin and pardoned their wickedness. . . ." (CD 3:18).

In 1 QpHab. 7:1-5 we have an example of God's mysteries referring to Israel's future. Commenting on Hab. 2:1,2 the author says:

And God told Habakkuk to write down that which would happen to the final generation, but He did not make known to him when time would come to an end. And as for that which He said, "That he who reads may read it speedily," interpreted this concerns

⁷³Brown, XX, 437, n. 78, also cites 1 QM 16:15, 16 which he translates: "Blessed be God who strengthens the heart of His people, (who) tests by . . . your slain, because from of old you have heard of the mysteries of God."

the Teacher of Righteousness, to whom God made known all the mysteries of the words of His servants the Prophets.74

The commentator goes on to say that the final age shall be delayed beyond the expectation of the prophets. "for the mysteries of God are astounding" (10pHab 7:8). Nevertheless. "all the ages of God reach their appointed end as He determines for them in the mysteries of His wisdom" (1 OpHab. 7:13, 14), 75 This eschatological motif is striking in 1 Q 27:3.4 where we read: "They know not the mystery to come, nor do they understand the things of the past. They know not that which shall befall them. nor do they save their soul from the mystery to come." Isaac Rabinowitz describes this mystery as "the expected consummation in which the idolatrous enemy-nations and the apostates of Israel would be destroyed forever, while Israel's righteous remnant should enjoy eternal dominion."76 And he notes a significant parallel of the phrase "a mystery which is to come" (75 77 47) in Dan. 2:28,29: "But there is a God in heaven that revealeth secrets () > 7 / 7), and He hath made known . . . what shall be in the end of days . . . and He that revealeth secrets

⁷⁴Brown, XX, 438, n. 80, referring to the phrase "all the mysteries ('57) of the words of His servants the prophets," notes a clear reminiscence of Amos 3:8 where God reveals His secret (750) to His servants the prophets.

⁷⁵Mussner, pp. 160, 161, cites various interpretations of this passage. His own is that all periods of time attain that measure which God in the mysteries of His wisdom has ordained.

⁷⁶ Isaac Rabinowitz, "The Authorship, Audience and Date of the de Vaux Fragment of an Unknown Work," <u>Journal of Biblical Literature</u>, LXXI (1952), 23.

hath made known to thee what shall come to pass." The same expression,

I had a sprung from the source of His knowledge; my eyes have beheld His marvellous

deeds, and the light of my heart, the mystery to come."

In the Qumran writings it is God alone who, in the final analysis, reveals the mysteries.

For without Thee no way is perfect, and without Thy will nothing is done. It is Thou who has taught all knowledge and all things come to pass by Thy will. There is none beside Thee to dispute Thy counsel or to understand all Thy holy design, or to contemplate the depth of Thy mysteries and the power of Thy might. 78

God reveals His marvelous mysteries hidden from ordinary men to the Qumran community:

From the source of His righteousness are my judgments; From His marvelous mysteries is there a light in my heart. My eye has contemplated what is eternal; Sound wisdom which is hidden from wise men,

and discretion (hidden) from mankind . . . A fountain of glory (hidden) from the worldly assembly--

God has granted these to those whom He elected as an eternal possession.

He has constituted them as an inheritance in the lot of the saints;

And He has joined their society with the sons of heaven into a unified congregation and an assembly of saintly fabric. 79

The psalmist says that God has made known to the sons of His goodwill "the counsel of Thy truth, and has taught them Thy marvellous mysteries" (1 QH 11:9,10). Included among those who have received these mysteries

^{77&}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, LXXI, 22, 23. Cf. <u>supra</u>, pp. 44, 45.

⁷⁸¹ QS 11:18, 19. This is followed by an acknowledgement of insignificance and limitations of man who is but moulded clay.

 $^{^{79}}$ 1 QS 11:5-8, translated by Brown, XX, 438, who notes that "The last line is an echo which connects the community's special knowledge of divine mysteries with the ancient notion of the angelic 74-0."

is the psalmist himself: 80 "by Thy Holy Spirit I have faithfully hearkened to Thy marvellous counsel. In the mystery of Thy wisdom Thou hast opened knowledge to me. . . " (1 QH 12: 11-13). 81 Again:

I (thank Thee, O Lord), for Thou hast enlightened me through Thy truth. In Thy marvellous mysteries, and in Thy lovingkindness to a man (of vanity, and) in the greatness of Thy mercy to a perverse heart Thou hast granted me knowledge" (1 QH 7:26-33).

Here the psalmist acknowledges that he is unworthy of receiving these mysteries. Elsewhere he confesses: "Before Thee no man is just . . . (that he may) understand all Thy mysteries" (1 QH 12:19,20). Particularly are those of carnal spirit unable to understand the mysteries of God (1 QH 13:14). Nor do they want to, according to 1 QS 5:11:

For they are not reckoned in His Covenant. They have neither inquired nor sought after Him concerning His laws that they might know the hidden things in which they have sinfully erred; and matters revealed they have treated with insolence.

The sons of truth who possess the secret counsels of the spirit are obligated to conceal the mysteries of truth⁸³ (7) $\frac{9}{7}$ $\frac{7}{5}$ $\frac{7}{7}$)⁸⁴

⁸⁰ Some identify the anonymous author of <u>The Hymns</u> as the Teacher of Righteousness, but this is uncertain. Multiple authorship is not precluded.

^{81&}lt;sub>Cf.</sub> also 1 QS 11:3.

⁸²In the context of God's marvelous mysteries the author asks, "Who is like Thee among the gods, O Lord . . .? And he concludes, "For Thou art an eternal God; all Thy ways are determined for ever (and ever) and there is none other beside Thee" (1 QH 7:34-49). Cf. Dan. 2:47.

⁸³The psalmist speaks of those who share in his secret counsel and then go "as talebearers before the children of mischief concerning the mystery which Thou hast hidden in me . . . and because of their guilt, Thou hast hidden the fountain of understanding and the counsel of truth" (1 QH 5:25, 26).

⁸⁴cf. Lohse, p. 12.

from those ruled by the spirit of falsehood (1 QS 4:6-17). On the other hand, those who have received the mysteries must instruct those who have chosen the way of the sect "in the mysteries of marvellous truth that in the midst of the men of the Community they may walk perfectly together in all that has been revealed to them! (1 QS 9: 18,19). The author of The Hymns apparently has a particularly responsible role in communicating the divine mysteries. He insists, even in the face of the taunts of the wicked: "But to the elect of righteousness Thou has made me a banner, and a discerning interpreter of worderful mysteries, to try (those who practise) truth and to test those who love correction!" (1 QH 2:13,14). Elsewhere the psalmist says:

Through me Thou hast illumined the face of the Congregation and hast shown Thine infinite power. For Thou hast given me knowledge through Thy marvellous mysteries, and hast shown Thyself mighty within me in the midst of Thy marvellous Council" (1 QH 4:26-28).

It is apparent then that $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\nu'eco\nu'$ is an important concept in Qumran literature. Its use is varied: 86 sometimes cosmic mysteries, then again evil mysteries, and most often mysteries of divine

⁸⁵Brown, XX, 438-440, who sees as one of the mysteries of God the interpretation of the law He has entrusted to the Qumran community, accordingly finds other commands of secrecy in 1 QS 9:17,22 and CD 15:10, 11, the latter involving untrained initiates of the community. In interpreting the allegory of 1 QH 8:4-36 Brown, XX, 440, finds the law a secret source of life entrusted to the sect and suggests that a certain person, perhaps the Teacher of Righteousness, is spoken of as hidden, reminiscent of the Elect One of Enoch.

Brown, XX, 443, cautions that in evaluating the Qumran use of mystery one must keep in mind that we have only part of the community's literature.

providence. 87 They all have their center in God and no one can know them unless God graciously reveals them. 88 Frequently, they have an eschatological flavoring, pointing to that climactic mystery in the not too distant future when God's people will be vindicated and His enemies destroyed.

We close our survey of the Semitic background of Mustine Vecov with a brief look at its use in Rabbinic literature. Although Rabbinic Judaism after the destruction of Jerusalem developed a strong distaste for the secret doctrines of apocalyptic, yet earlier the Rabbinate itself engaged in apocalyptic speculations and made some use of the concept of mystery. Among the mysteries in Rabbinic writings are: 91 (1) The Mischna, the traditional doctrine of Israel. It is by holding to this oral tradition that the Israelites show themselves

⁸⁷L. Johnston, "The Mystery of Marriage, "Scripture, XI (1959), 2, says the Qumran literature uses the term "mystery" in much the same way as Daniel and the New Testament Gospels, namely, as "the hidden wisdom of the divine plan and of its execution, revealed to the prophets in part, and now to the sect of the new covenant." Brown, XX, 443, also finds a concept unique in the pre-Christian literature: the mysteries of the special interpretation of the Torah.

⁸⁸There is no emphasis in Qumran writings on the role of dreams and visions in such revelation. In 1 QH 12:11-13 God reveals His mysteries through His Holy Spirit. Brown, XX, 441, n. 89, suggests that this "could be the good spirit who rules over human actions, or it may be a vague reference to the power of God."

⁸⁹Bornkamm, IV, 817.

⁹⁰We find both דיס and ין used, as well as מִּכְּרִין,
the transliteration of מִכְּרִין, into Hebrew. And sometimes

ן יִ יְיִם became יִיִחָם חִיּה , "hidden things." Cf. Knox, p. 227.

⁹¹We follow the list compiled by Herman L. Strack and Paul Billerbeck, Kommentar zum Neuen Testament aus Talmud und Midrasch (Munich: C. H. Beck'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1922), I, 659, 660.

to be the true children of God. in contrast to the Gentiles who do not have this mystery. That is the reason this mystery was not put into writing and that too is why Israel was divinely charged not to reveal it to the people of the world (Pe siq R5, 14b). (2) Circumcision, a mystery (750) of God which He has revealed only to Abraham (Tanch B To To \$23, 40a); (3) The end, that is, the beginning of the Messianic Age (Tanch 4514 562); (4) The calculation of the calendar (pRH 2, 58b, 22). This mystery (calculation of the calendar according to the lunar year) God has given to the Israelites, while the Gentiles reckon according to the sun (Ex R 15, 79a). (5) Certain matters of the Torah, especially the grounds of the Torah and cosmological and theosophical esoteric doctrines. These mysteries God will reveal to him who occupies himself with the Torah for its own sake (Aboth 6, 2) and curbs himself in this world for the sake of the Torah (Chag 142). The Torah then is a kind of "envelope for the mystery of divine creation which underlies it and all being and to which one must seek to penetrate in mystical interpretation."92

From our survey of pre-Christian Jewish literature two things seem clear. First, the concept of $M\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$ was rather widespread in Jewish circles. Secondly, $M\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$, which one author calls a "chameleon-like word," was used with a considerable variety of meaning. We submit that there is ample Semitic background material

⁹²Bornkamm, IV, 817. He also notes (n. 132) that mysteries of the Torah in Heb. En. 11:1 comprehend mysteries of wisdom, deep things of the perfect law, and mysteries of creation.

⁹³ Sullivan, I, 249.

from which Paul could have derived his use of MUGTNECOV, 94 which as we shall see, also subsumes several nuances of meaning. It remains to be seen from an examination of the passages where Paul uses the term MUGTNECOV just how close the similarity is in vocabulary and thought between his usage and that of this pre-Christian Jewish background. 95

Old Sullivan, I, 250, referring to the concept of mystery in Daniel, the books of Wisdom, the apocryphal apocalypses, and the Qumran literature, concludes: "All this would provide ample Semitic background-reading for Paul's disciples. To understand all the resonances of the word 'mystery,' there would have been no need for them to seek parallels in the Greek mystery religions of the day."

⁹⁵Brown, XX, 443, holds "that the similarity in word and idea between the N. T. use of Must necessary and the Semitic background . . . is far more valid than any of the far-fetched parallels to the Greek mystery religions hitherto presented."

CHAPTER IV

MYSTERION IN THE NEW TESTAMENT

In the New Testament the word MUTTNecov appears almost exclusively in the Pauline writings. Altogether the term occurs twenty-eight times, three times in the Synoptics, four times in the Apocalypse, and twenty-one times in the Pauline corpus. Before examining the passages in which Paul uses MUTTNecov, we shall look briefly at the other occurrences of the word in the New Testament, hopefully to see what light its origin and use there might shed on the origin of Paul's use of the term.

In the Gospel accounts MUJTHeloV appears only in the difficult saying of Jesus concerning the purpose of parables, recorded by the Synoptists in the context of the Parable of the Soils (Mark 4: 11: UMZV TO MUJTHELOV SESOTAL THS SAJJELOS TOU OEOU. Luke 8:10: UMZV SESOTAL THS SAJJELOS TOU OEOU. Matt. 13:11 has the same as Luke except for TUV OUEAVUV instead of TOU OEOU). God gives 2 to the disciples the mystery of the kingdom. Jeremias comments:

This is surely nothing less than a cry of exaltation! God's gift is for the disciples. Moreover, "the secret of the Kingdom of God" which constitutes God's gift must not be understood as implying general revelations about the coming Kingdom of

¹ Included is 1 Cor. 2:1 where the reading is contested.

The verb Seforal is perfect, denoting a giving that obtains at that present time, and passive, indicating a circumlocution for the divine name.

God, but, as the singular shows, a particular revelation, namely, the recognition of its present irruption. This recognition is wholly the result of God's grace.

³ Joachim Jeremias, The Parables of Jesus, translated by S. H. Hooke (London: SCM Press, 1954), p. 13. The significance of the singular Mustinecov in Mark, in contrast to the plural Mustineco in Matthew and Luke, is not clear. Henry B. Swete, The Gospel According to St. Mark (London: Macmillan and Company, 1905), p. 76, holds that the Mustice of Matthew and Luke loses sight of the unity of the gift and belongs to a somewhat later form of the common tradition. Cf. also Otto A. Piper, "The Mystery of the Kingdom of God," Interpretation, I (1947), 196. Piper suggests that "the use of the plural indicates that the two evangelists are not thinking of the factual givenness of the mystery as the believers have it in Jesus Christ; but, rather, of the various implications of that secret, by which the gracious will of God would be revealed to them." Later we shall note Paul's predominant use of the singular. This has led some to conjecture that Mark may have been influenced by Paul and therefore Matthew and Luke have the older tradition. Cf. Raymond E. Brown, "The Semitic Background of the New Testament Mysterion (I)," Biblica, XXXIX (April 1958), 428.

⁴G. Bornkamm, "μυστπείον, μυεω," in Theological
Dictionary of the New Testament, translated and edited by Geoffrey
W. Bromiley (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, c.1967),
IV, 818, states: "The antithesis . . . implies first that the parables contain the mystery, but concealed in such sort that it may be found only by special revelation."

⁵Fr. Bouyer, "Mysterion," in <u>Mystery and Mysticism</u> (New York: Philadelphia Library, 1956), p. 22.

⁶Jeremias, p. 15, concludes that Jesus' saying concerns not His parables, but His preaching in general.

between the use of MUTTNECOV here and the method of revealing divine secrets through enigmatic symbols should not be exaggerated.

What precisely is to northerov the Barble of the Soils which implies that In view of the context of the Parable of the Soils which implies that the kingdom is already active among different kinds of hearers, and that of Matt. 13:16,17 which infers that the disciples are blessed because they see in Jesus the coming of the reign of God, the meaning here seems to be the climactic, yet unpretentious, unroyal coming of God's royal reign in the person of Jesus the Messiah. Bornkamm elaborates:

The mystery of the divine rule . . . can refer, not to some general content of the Barcheid, but only to the fact of its coming. Hence the step from image to matter can be taken only by the faith which grasps the real event of this coming of the divine rule as this event is concealed in the parable but takes place with its proclamation. This view is confirmed by the fact that the phrase MUTTNECOV TRS Barcheids had long been current in apocalyptic usage to indicate the counsel of God which is concealed from human eyes, which is disclosed only by revelation, and which will be enacted at the end. . . . the parables point them (the disciples) to the incursion of the divine rule in the word and work of Jesus. This perception is not the result of their own perspicacity or a reward for their own achievement. It is the gift of God's free and sovereign grace. The MUGTACION to the disciples is thus Jesus Himself as Messiah. 9

⁷Brown, XXXIX, 429, 430.

⁸Genitive of possession: the mystery that belongs to, or has to do with, the kingdom.

⁹Bornkamm, IV, 818, 819. Cf. also Swete, p. 76, who holds that "the mystery of the kingdom of God is the content of the Gospel...i.e., Christ Himself as revealing the Father, and fulfilling His counsels." Similarly, M. Bouttier, "Mystery," in A Companion to the Bible, edited by J.-J. Von Allmen (New York: Oxford University Press, 1958), p. 277, describes the Markan mystery as "the hidden presence of the Kingdom of God in the person of Jesus of Nazareth, His words,

While it has been suggested that the source of the synoptic $M \nu \sigma \tau \gamma e co \nu$ is the secret rites and teachings of the mystery cults, it seems much more probable that the word employed here follows the usage of Jewish sources. ¹⁰ For example, we find a strong parallel to synoptic usage in Daniel 2 where the mystery refers to God's secret plans which only He as the wise and powerful Lord of history can and does reveal concerning His coming sovereign and eternal kingdom. ¹¹ Hans von Soden concludes:

Es unterliegt wohl keinem Zweifel, dasz die Danielstellen den Ursprung des neutestamentlichen Sprachgebrauchs von Must neute angeben; die ursprüngliche Verbindung Must neute Tag Barch Eids stammt ja ebendaher. 12

Still there are other parallels in pre-Christian Semitic literature which may form part of the background of the synoptic $m v \sigma \tau n e cov$. There is, for instance, a reminiscence of the kingdom mystery in En. 41:1. Enoch says that he saw "all the secrets of the heavens, and how the kingdom is divided, and how the actions of men are weighed

His acts; a mystery, for some welcome in Him the arrival of a new world, whereas for others, those who are without, 'everything is in parables' (they understand nothing!)." Likewise H. A. A. Kennedy, St. Paul and the Mystery-Religions (New York: Hodder and Stoughton, n.d.), p. 125, says that here the term "suggests the secret purposes or plans of God concerning His kingdom which are coming to light in the work and teaching of Jesus. . . "

¹⁰Piper, I, 187, suggests that this Semitic usage "agrees better with the Biblical idea of God than does the pagan usage. Throughout the two Testaments the God of the Bible is described as a person who has a purpose with mankind and who, step by step in the history of the chosen people, carries out His plan."

¹¹ Cf. Martin H. Franzmann, Follow Me: Discipleship According to Saint Matthew (St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1961), pp. 121, 122.

¹² Hans von Soden, "Mysterion und Sacramentum in den ersten zwei Jahrhunderten der Kirche," Zeitschrift Für Die Neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, XII (1911), 198.

in the balance." Note how both here, as well as in Christ's saying, the revelation of the mystery of the kingdom has also to do with divine judgment. Furthermore, the fact that until God's final judgment evil does, within the limits of divine providence, impede good is part of the mystery of the times according to 2 Bar. 81:4.13 So in the Parable of the Soils fruitful production is hampered by the thorns and the hard and the rocky soil.

There is likewise fertile background in Hebrew thought for the fact that God reveals the mystery of the kingdom to His disciples but conceals it from outsiders. In Wisdom 2:22 we are told that the wicked do not know the mysteries of God. God alone knows the duration of the generations and does not reveal His mysteries to many, according to 2 Bar. 48:3. But He does disclose His marvelous mysteries, hidden from ordinary men, to the Elect (1 QS 11:5-8; also 1 QH 11:9,10). 14 And they in turn are to make these mysteries known to the Elect (1 QS 9:18,19; 1 QH 2:13,14), but not to the sons of falsehood (1 QS 4:6-17). The reason for the difference is explained. The wicked do not understand the mysteries of God because they do not want to (1 QS 5:11). So, too, the synoptic mystery remains obscure to outsiders because they do not care for the truth and are unwilling

¹³Cf. also 1 QS 3:20-23 where the activity of the angel of darkness in leading the righteous astray is "in accordance with the mysteries of God."

¹⁴At times, as in 4 Ezra 10:38 and 12:36, God reveals His mysteries to people on the basis of their own righteous conduct. Elsewhere we are told that God reveals His mysteries to the humble (Sir. 3:19), and, in fact, man's unworthiness to receive knowledge of God's mysteries, granted purely by grace, is stressed (cf. 1 QH 7:26-33 and 12:19, 20).

to learn. 15 They who do "not want to understand will not be permitted to understand. "16

The other non-Pauline references to Mustine cov occur in the Apocalypse of John. Here, too, Semitic usage of Mustine cov. particularly that of Daniel, makes quite unnecessary and patently forced the view that there is "clearly present here a parallelism to the Greek Mysteries." In Rev. 1:19,20 the one like the Son of Man, holding seven stars in his right hand and surrounded by seven lampstands, tells John to write a Mellice perésone Meta tadita, and then speaks of the Mustinecov of the seven stars and the seven lampstands. This seems highly reminiscent of Dan. 2:29: & Zvakalumew Mustinecov & Esilumeé

¹⁵ Isaiah 6:9,10 which all of the synoptists quote here speaks of the divine judgment of the hardening of the heart. William F. Arndt, The Gospel According to St. Luke (St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, c.1956), p. 230, comments: "In the case of those hearers of the parable, too, who are contrasted with Jesus' disciples, one must say that the judgment of God strikes them: the saving truth is withheld from them. They reap what they sowed."

^{16&}lt;u>Tbid.</u>, p. 227. With regard to this concealment of the mystery from outsiders, Brown, XXXIX, 431, rightly cautions: "We should not over-emphasize the denial by Jesus of knowledge of the mystery of the kingdom to outsiders. After all, the Synoptic passage emphasizes the positive side--revelation to the disciples. And even to outsiders the mystery is at least given; and the parables which cloak it are not meaningless narration. The parable gives some knowledge of the kingdom of God without completely unveiling it. The complete unveiling will come not so much by way of added revelation, as of added perception gained through faith. . . "

¹⁷Percy Gardner, The Religious Experience of Saint Paul (New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons, 1913), p. 72.

the Mustarecov involves both the symbol itself as well as its meaning or content. Rev. 17:5,7 is similar, where the Mustarecov is the name Babylon and the beast on which she rides, that is, a symbol containing a secret meaning, and also the meaning of that symbol. Also the judgment motif, apparent in Dan. 2:44 and En. 41:1, 68:5, and 103:2-7, comes through clearly in this eschatological mystery of anti-godly forces (compare Rev. 17:1,8,16,17; 18:1-10).

It is also noteworthy that the symbols used to convey mysterious meaning in Rev. 1:20 and 17:5,7 are quite familiar from Semitic usage of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau = eco\nu$. The former employs a popular device of symbolic mystery visions, namely, a numbered series, in this case, seven stars and seven lampstands (compare the four kingdoms of Daniel 2, the six metallic mountains of Enoch 52, and the seven heavens of 3 Baruch

1). And the latter passage's use of a name as a mystery finds precedence both in Enoch 43 where heavenly mysteries include stars which are given names by God, names of His saints on earth, and in En. 69:14 where the hidden name is mentioned in the heavenly oath, a name soon identified as that of the Son of Man whose glory is great and whose judgment of sinners is sure (En. 69:26-29). 19

¹⁸J. Armitage Robinson, St. Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians (London: Macmillan and Co., 1909), p. 236, however, doubts whether the writer intended a direct allusion because in Daniel the term has a general sense whereas here it is used in a somewhat special sense.

¹⁹For these and other Semitic parallels to the symbols of the mystery of the Apocalypse, cf. Brown, XXXIX, 432, 433.

The final use of MUSTNELOV in the Apocalypse, this time referring not to a secret, symbolic meaning, but to the secret eschatological plan of God, occurs in 10:7. An angel swears that there will no longer be a delay (verse 6), but in the days of the call of the seventh angel, when he is about to sound the trumpet, Kal ETELEOON to MUSTNELOV too BEOU, WS EUNGGELLOEV τούς έσυτοῦ δούλους τους πεοφήτας (verse ?). This last phrase recalls Amos 3:7: Scote ou Mn Hochon Kuecos & GEOS TREZZMA, Edv Min attokatúyn Hacfewy dutoù meos tous fouhous dutoù tous meodintas. And according to 1 QpHab, 7:1-5 God did not reveal to Habakkuk when time would come to an end but He did make known to the Teacher of Righteousness "all the mysteries of the words of His servants the Prophets." The commentator goes on to say that the final age shall be delayed beyond the expectation of the prophets, and yet all the ages of God reach their appointed end as He determines in the mysteries of His wisdom (1 QpHab, 7:8,13,14). Now according to Rev. 10:6,7 the delay is over and at the trumpet call of the seventh angel, the mystery of God is fulfilled. 20 God's secret plan has moved to its definitive. climactic conclusion.

In view then of the fairly frequent thought and language parallels to Semitic literature underlying the extra-Pauline occurrences of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\eta'eco\nu$ in the New Testament, it does not seem unreasonable to expect a similar background for Paul's own use of the term.

²⁰Some parallel to this eschatological mystery seems to be reflected also in 2 Bar. 85:8-10 and 4 Ezra 14:5.

To this special study we now address ourselves as we consider the twenty-one appearances of Mustinecov in the Pauline writings, 21 beginning with 2 Thess. 2:7. It reads: To joe Mustinecov in the Pauline writings, 21 èvecy elevate the twenty-one appearances of Mustinecov in the Pauline writings, 21 beginning with 2 Thess. 2:7. It reads: To joe Mustinecov in the Pauline writings, 21 beginning with 2 Thess. 2:7. It reads: To joe Mustinecov in the Autorities in the context, which describes the series is a series of the context, which describes the coming of the apostasy or rebellion (in attention of the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation to the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness, the son of destruction (in alternation the appearance of the man of lawlessness the appearance of the

And you know what is restraining him now so that he may be revealed in his time. For the mystery of lawlessness is already at work; only he who now restrains it will do so until he is out of the way. And then the lawless one will be revealed, and the Lord Jesus will slay him with the breath of his mouth and destroy him by his appearing and his coming (RSV).²³

²¹ They include 2 Thess. 2:7; 1 Cor. 2:1 (contested reading), 2:7; 4:1; 13:2; 14:2; 15:51; Rom. 11:25,26; Col. 1:26,27; 2:2; 4:3; Eph. 1:9; 3:3,4,9; 5:32; 6:19; 1 Tim. 3:9,16.

²²For the suggestion that the term $2\pi \sigma \tau d\sigma dd$, after the time of Antiochus Epiphanes, became a fixed element in apocalyptic tradition as one of the fearful signs of the end, cf. James E. Frame, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistles of St. Paul to the Thessalomians, in The International Critical Commentary, edited by Charles A. Briggs, Samuel R. Driver, and Alfred Plummer (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1912), pp. 38, 251.

²³ The force (to Katexov) or person (o Katexov) holding back both the man of lawlessness and the mystery of lawlessness is not identified by Paul. Among numerous conjectures as to the identity of this obstacle, Paul H. Furley, "The Mystery of Lawlessness," The Catholic Biblical Quarterly, VIII (April 1946), 188, n. 55, finds as one of the most plausible the identification of to Katexov as the heavenly host and its leader (o Katexov), St. Michael. A novel interpretation is offered by Joseph Coppens, "Mystery in the Theology of Saint Paul and its Parallels at Qumran," in Paul and Qumran, edited by Jerome Murphy-O'Connor (London: Geoffrey Chapman

The term MUTTNECOV here is limited by the genitive, TRS

a vonicas, probably best taken as a genitive of quality, "the
mystery which is characterized by lawlessness."

The mystery thus
is associated with revolt against Christ's law. It is also associated
with, though distinct from, the man of lawlessness, and thus contrasts
with the revealed secrets of God's redemptive plan, which are associated
with Christ. Perhaps the mystery of lawlessness then is most
suitably interpreted as Satan's continuously operative, evil plan
to oppose God's redemptive plan which culminates in the person and
work of Christ. Furley, who calls this pericope "a miniature apocalypse,"
feels this interpretation is in keeping with the way apocalyptic writers
like to get behind the scenes of human history where the cosmic forces
of good and evil operate. In a similar vein Brown explains the
mystery of lawlessness this way:

The economy of evil (like the economy of divine salvation) is a mystery because it is the work of a supernatural being, beyond

Ltd., c.1968), pp. 156-158, in which he sees & Katexwv as the man of iniquity and to Katexov as the great apostasy.

²⁴Furley, VIII, 187, 188.

^{25 &}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, VIII, 189. Furley admits it is tempting to see in "the mystery of lawlessness" the antithesis of "the mystery of godliness" of 1 Tim. 3:16, though he feels the contrast is not precise. This contrast is also noted by J. B. Lightfoot, <u>Notes on the Epistles of St. Paul</u>, in <u>Classic Commentary Library</u> (Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1947), p.114.

²⁶ According to 2:9 it is Kat' Evected to Tatava that the lawless one comes. For the thought that behind the evil and lawlessness in the world is a supreme evil spirit and archenemy of Christ, cf. also Eph. 2:2 and 2 Cor. 6:14,15.

²⁷Furley, VIII, 188-191.

human knowledge. We have here the mystery of a kingdom, Satan's not God's; and it is a kingdom that is continually operative in this world until Jesus Christ destroys it at his coming. 28

Now without claiming that Paul made direct use of ideas and language from the Qumran writings, yet Qumran does provide some significant parallels, both conceptual and verbal, to Paul's use of MUST necov in 2 Thessalonians 2, indicating that these ideas and terms were current in the pre-Christian Semitic world--and Paul just may have been familiar with them. We find, for example, that the term to MUTTHECOV TRS dromide is found almost literally in 1 QH 5:36; 1 QH f. 5:50; and 1 Q27 1:2 (ソロラ ペラマ). The LXX renders ULD by aromia about twenty times; thus we may have here the Hebrew original of Paul's MUSTNECOV TAS dvomids.29 1 QM 14:8,9 speaks of the dominion of Satan and the mysteries of his animosity (イロロッド ウランドル・シュ). And 1 Q27 1:730 states that at the time of the mystery to come "all who cleave to the mysteries of sin shall be no more." God, in His mysteries, does allow the evil mysteries to function for a time: "The angel of darkness leads all the children of righteousness astray, and until his end, all their sin, iniquities, wickedness, and all their unlawful deeds are caused by his dominion in accordance with the mysteries of God" (1 QS 3:20-23). But "in the mysteries of His understanding, and in His glorious wisdom, God has ordained an end for falsehood,

²⁸ Brown, XXXIX, 435.

²⁹ Ibid., XXXIX, 436.

³⁰Unfortunately the text is mutilated and various emendations have been suggested.

and at the time of the visitation He will destroy it forever" (1 QS 4:18). We are reminded of Paul's conviction that the lawless one will be revealed $\hat{\epsilon}_V = \hat{\psi} + \hat{\epsilon}_V = \hat{\psi} + \hat{\psi$

We must not lose sight of the differences; the texts do not speak, as does St. Paul, of a personage who will be the ultimate incarnation of impiety, nor do they invoke the Messiah as the adversary and the conqueror of the man of sin.³³

Turning to 1 Corinthians, we find Must necessitatimes, two of which occur in chapter two. We will consider these together since they are very closely related. The first passage, 2:1, involves a most difficult textual problem. Nestle reads to Maetuecov to the

Jeon Morris, The First and Second Epistles to the Thessalonians in The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries, edited by R. V. G. Tasker (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., c.1959) XIII, 227, comments: "Paul thinks of God as being in control of the whole process. While there are mysteries here . . . yet what is abundantly plain is that God is over all. No wicked person, be he Satan, be he the Man of Lawlessness . . . can overstep the bounds which God has appointed him. . . . Evil will not pass beyond its limits. God's purpose, not that of Satan or his henchmen, will finally be seen to have been affected."

³² Coppens, p. 141, adds to those we have noted an expression corresponding to υξός τῶς ἀπωλείας in CD 6:15; 13:14; 1 QS 9:16; 10:19.

³³ Ibid.

³⁴cf. e.g., Bornkamm, IV, 819, n. 141.

critical apparatus. Westcott and Hort favor Mucrnecov. The textual evidence is divided: Muorinecov is supported by the Egyptian type of text (P46 5 C syp bo, and others); Maeruecov is found in the Western (D E G vulg, but also B sa, and others. A choice solely on the basis of textual grounds is precarious indeed. Yet perhaps Murchecov is to be preferred35 for the following reasons. So far as usage is concerned, the linking of Mdetuecov with KATA } ε λλειν and τοῦ θεοῦ is uncommon in the New Testament, while the expression MUTTHECOV TOU Occurs three times (1 Cor. 4:1; Col. 2:2; Rev. 10:7), and is often the object of verbs of speaking or making known like Katallelv (Col. 1:28), A a A elv (1 Cor. 2:7; 14:2; Col. 4:3), Lerecv (1 Cor. 15:51; Rev. 17:7), \$\phi \text{d} \text{v} \center \text{eoû} \text{v} \text{ (Rom. 16:25; Col. 1:26; 4:4), and } \text{vweigecv} (Rom. 16:26; Col. 1:27; Eph. 1:9; 3:3,5,10; 6:19). Furthermore, the frequency with which the phrase "mysteries of God" occurs in the Qumran writings may also favor the reading of Muotnecov here. 36

James Moffatt, The First Epistle of Paul to the Corinthians, in The Moffatt New Testament Commentary (New York: Harper and Brothers, n.d.) VII, 23; and Robinson, p. 237. Those favoring Magraecov include: Lightfoot, p. 171; Archibald Robertson and Alfred Plummer, A Critical and Exceptical Commentary on the First Epistle of St. Paul to the Corinthians, in The International Critical Commentary, edited by Samuel Driver, Alfred Plummer, and Charles A. Briggs (Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1914), p. 30; Charles J. Ellicott, St. Paul's First Epistle to the Corinthians (London: Longmans, Green, and Co., 1887), p. 33; and F. Godet, Commentary on St. Paul's First Epistle to the Corinthians, in Clark's Foreign Theological Library (Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1889) I, 124.

³⁶Brown, XXXIX, 444, 445.

On the admittedly rather uncertain assumption then that Mustine covision is the original reading here, Paul says in 2:1: "And when I came to you, brothers, I did not come proclaiming to you the mystery of God with superior eloquence or wisdom." The meaning of Mustine cov here is no doubt the same as in 2:7 to which we will presently turn. However, we should point out that already in 2:1 Paul is connecting the term Mustine cov with the kerygma of Christ; for he continues, "For I decided to know nothing among you except Jesus Christ and Him crucified (Xecotov... Estavew mévov)" (2:2).

Thus Bornkamm notes that "Knevsoveni Xecotov Xe

After reiterating that his message was not given with clever arguments of human wisdom but with the power of the Spirit, that the Corinthians' faith might rest not on human wisdom, but on the power of God, Paul goes on to say:

Wisdom, however, we do speak among the mature $(\tau \varepsilon / \varepsilon (\circ \epsilon_s))$, but not a wisdom of this age nor of the rulers of this age who are doomed to perish. Rather we speak God's secret wisdom $(\sigma \circ \phi \circ \varepsilon) \varepsilon$ $(\sigma \circ \phi \circ \varepsilon)$

³⁷Unless otherwise indicated, translations of the Pauline texts are my own.

³⁸ Bornkamm, IV. 819.

"What no one has ever seen or heard or imagined--all that God prepared for those who love Him," God has revealed to us through the Spirit. For the Spirit fathoms everything, even the depths of God (2:7-10).

It is difficult to determine to what the expression $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ $\mu\nu\sigma\tau ne(\psi)$ refers. It is unacceptable, grammatically, to refer it to the following participle ($2\pi o \kappa \epsilon \kappa e \nu \mu m \epsilon \nu n\nu$). Some however, have suggested that the phrase is to be taken with $\lambda \lambda \lambda \delta \tilde{\nu} m \epsilon \nu$, 39 in which case it would characterize the manner of instruction. Others, and perhaps this is preferable, connect $2\nu \mu\nu\sigma\tau ne(\psi)$ with $\sigma \phi \epsilon d\nu$. 40 Several modern translations reflect this choice by rendering the phrase with the adjective "secret."

"Yet," as Brown points out, "the introduction of MV or the covina in a separate prepositional phrase seems to indicate a special role for it in Paul's mind." Seemingly the NEB tries to indicate this with the rendering: "I speak God's hidden wisdom, his secret purpose framed from the very beginning. . . ." Nevertheless, we agree with Mitton that Paul's chief interest here is in "wisdom," not "mystery." This wisdom, Paul makes urmistakably clear, is the antithesis of

³⁹Cf. F. Blass and A. Debrunner, A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature, translated and revised by Robert W. Funk (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, c.1961), p. 118, who indicate it is similar to classical usage and render "in the form of mystery." Cf. also Ellicott, p. 38.

⁴⁰Cf. Lightfoot, p. 175. Also Robertson and Plummer, p. 37.

⁴¹ The RSV renders: "a secret and hidden wisdom of God." And Good News for Modern Man has: "God's secret wisdom."

⁴²Brown, XXXIX, 437.

⁴³Cf. Leslie C. Mitton, The Epistle to the Ephesians (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1951), p. 87.

Certainly the close combination of wisdom and mystery was no novelty in Jewish thought. In the Apocrypha, as we have seen, personified wisdom is God's agent in revealing and teaching secrets (Sir. 4:18; Wis. 7:21). And the origin of wisdom is called a mystery (Wis. 6:22). More significant yet, is the parallel to this wisdommystery combination in Daniel 2. Bouyer comments:

We are here at the exact source of the mystery of St. Paul as it is to appear in the First Epistle to the Corinthians. The whole literary context of this mystery is the same as in the second chapter of Daniel. The mystery there likewise appears as the secret of divine wisdom, queen of human and cosmic history, which God alone reveals when He wishes, to whom He wishes, thus confounding the wisdom of the wise and the intelligence of the intelligent. 40

On the other hand, it has been held by some 47 that Paul here is speaking of an esoteric wisdom which he communicated mystery cult-style

HBornkamm, IV, 819.

⁴⁵ Brown, XXXIX, 437.

⁴⁶ Louis Bouyer, <u>Rite and Man</u>, translated by M. Joseph Costelloe (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, c.1963), p. 143.

⁴⁷H. A. A. Kennedy, St. Paul and the Mystery-Religions (New York: Hodder and Stoughton, n.d.), p. 130, says, for example, referring to 1 Cor. 2:6,7, "This passage certainly has a suggestion of the Mysteries; the Apostle speaks of a more advanced stage of Christian instruction which demands a higher grade of understanding."

to an elite group of Christian initiates. William Baird, in writing on the idea of wisdom in 1 Cor. 2:6, carefully weighs the evidence purported to support such a view, including the cultic use of the terms $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cos s$, $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\gamma} e \cos \nu$, and $\lambda \pi \sigma \kappa \lambda \lambda \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$, and concludes that

the wisdom of God is no esoteric doctrine, but the crucified Christ whom Paul proclaims. . . . The wisdom of God . . . is manifest in the proclamation of the crucified Christ who is the revelation and consummation of God's whome drama of salvation.

Bornkamm puts it this way:

The section I Cor. 2:6-16 . . . arouses at first the impression that Paul is presenting a mystery teaching which is designed only for the mature and which must be kept from the immature. . . . In fact, however, Paul never abandons the hores Tou TTAUEOU which has been proclaimed to the whole community. Indeed, he is resisting the ecstatic demand of Corinthian mystery gnosis for a rodia which will go beyond the message of the cross, and pointing to the wisdom of God which in this message is concealed from the world and its rulers, but revealed to those endowed with the Spirit of God. The addition Ev Must ne cap in 2:7 is the divine will to save fulfilled in the crucifixion of Christ (1:24). Thus Paul could simply say must necov for beod ordian EV MUST neces THY ZHOKEKEUMMEVNY. The MUTTHELOV is God's pre-temporal counsel which is hidden from the world but revealed to the spiritual. This has been eschatologically fulfilled in the cross of the Kuecos the fofms, and it carries with it the glorification of believers. As thus used, the

⁴⁸ William Baird, "Among the Mature," <u>Interpretation</u>, XIII (1959), 429, 432. Brown, XXXIX, 438, commenting on τέλειος, says: "As the contrast with babes and children in 14:20 shows, τέλειος here means mature or adult. What Paul means, then, is that only the spiritually mature will understand the wisdom that he preaches. It is not a question of new or additional doctrine reserved for the few, but of a mystery which requires personal reflection accompanied by spiritual growth." Cf. also Lightfoot, pp. 173, 174; Godet, I, 132-135; Robertson and Plummer, pp. 35-39; and Moffatt, pp. 27, 28.

term displays evident dependence on the later Jewish apocalyptic concept, and distinction from that of the mystery cults and Gnosticism.

In addition to the parallels in Semitic literature to Paul's terminology and thought in 1 Corinthians 2 which we have already cited, the following should be noted. The idea that God's wisdom, which only He can reveal, is hidden from the world is evident in 1 QS 11:5-7:

from His marvellous mysteries is the light in my heart. My eyes have gazed on that which is eternal, on wisdom concealed from men . . . on a spring of glory (hidden) from the assembly of flesh. God has given them to His chosen ones as an everlasting possession. . . "

And according to En. 48:6 and 62:7, the Son of Man, who Himself will in the last days pour forth all the secrets of wisdom and counsel (51:3), has been hidden from all eternity, but revealed by the Most High to the elect.

Furthermore, the idea of revealing the profound knowledge of a mystery only to those who are spiritually mature is reflected in such Qumran texts as 1 QS 4:22 and 1 QS 9:18, as well as in Sir. 4:18 and 4 Ezra 10:38; 12:36,37.50 However, two distinct differences should

⁴⁹Bornkamm, IV, 819, 820. von Soden, XII, 192, summarizes:
"Der wahren Weisheit Inhalt is das μυστής (ον τοῦ Θεοῦ, dass Jesus Christus, der Gekreuzigte, der Herr der Herrlichkeit sei."
And Louis Bouyer, pp. 144, 145, puts it succinctly: "That σοφίλ εν μυστης (ω --wisdom in mystery--is Christ. It is the event of Christ given to the world, as the revealer and accomplisher of God's design. . . . It is not only what He has said, but what He has done, and above all His Cross. . . . " He, too, concludes that the Christian mystery "does not proceed from the mystery religions but springs from what is most original in Judaism."

⁵⁰ Brown, XXXIX, 439.

be noted. First, these texts seem to attribute the revelation of divine mysteries to individuals on the basis of their upright conductas in Gnosticism where the mysteries are revealed to the \(\tau\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\ellow{\

the role of the Spirit in revealing the secret wisdom (1 Cor. 2:10,12).

Dan. 2:9 comes to mind, where Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in revealing the secret wisdom (1 Cor. 2:10,12).

Dan. 2:9 comes to mind, where Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in the Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in the Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in the Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in the Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in the Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in the Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Kat Most in the Nebuchadnezzar hails Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in the Spirit Wich God Most in the Spirit Hails All Daniel as one

Cor Exact Most in the Spirit Wich God Most in the Spirit Hails and hidden things

before they come to pass." And in 1 QH 12:11 the psalmist says

that by the Holy Spirit which God had given him he faithfully listened

to His marvellous mysteries. Brown, while admitting that it is

difficult in each case to determine the exact meaning of the term

"spirit," believes these examples "represent possible raw material

for Pauline theological phraseology." 53

⁵¹ Ibid., XXXIX, 439, n. 1.

⁵² Theodotion's translation. It is interesting to note that
Daniel exclaims that the Lord it is who "ἀνακαλύπτων τὰ ઉαθέα
(LXX, Dan. 2:20-22); Paul says that the Spirit, through whom God reveals
His secret wisdom, fathoms τὰ βάθη τοῦ θεοῦ (1 Cor. 2:10).

⁵³ Brown, XXXIX, 440.

It would appear then that Paul's use of Murchecov in

1 Cor. 2:1 and 7 proceeds not from the mystery religions nor from

Greek philosophical speculation, but more likely from the Semitic

world of words and thoughts, most notably that of Daniel.

In 1 Cor. 4:1, having pleaded for unity and humility in Christ. Paul says: "Regard usthis way, as servants of Christ and stewards of God's mysteries ($o^2 \kappa_0 vo' \kappa_{00} v_0 \kappa_0 v_0$

⁵⁴F. W. Grosheide, <u>Commentary on the First Epistle to the Corinthians</u>, in the <u>New International Commentary on the NT</u>, edited by Ned B. Stonehouse (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdman's Publishing Company, c.1953), p. 98.

⁵⁵The plural occurs only two other times in Paul, both in 1 Corinthians:13:2 and 14:2.

⁵⁶Godet, I, 205. He also suggests that the plural here is "connected with the idea of distribution associated with that of steward." Cf. also William F. Arndt and F. Wilbur Gingrich, A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, c.1957), p. 532.

the counsels (300 \lambda di) of the heart" (4:5). 57 According to von Soden, Morther here then has clear eschatological meaning. 58
Be that as it may, the idea of God judging the secrets of man is found in Jewish thought. According to Sir. 17:15-20, 23:18-20, and 39:19, God sees and knows man's hidden thoughts and actions, and He will reveal his secrets (Keunta). And En. 38:3, 49:2 and 51:3 also speak of the coming judgment by the Righteous One who will reveal the secrets of men. Although Paul doesn't specifically relate the divine mysteries of 1 Cor. 4:1 to this eschatological motif, yet it is not a strange one to the Semitic thought of mystery, and it might have been in the back of Paul's mind. Anyway, the Jewish background is again evident.

out. The idea of a person, in this case the apostles, being entrusted with divine mysteries in order to dispense them to others, is reflected in 1 QH 2:13,14: "But to the elect of righteousness Thou has made me a banner, and a discerning interpreter of wonderful mysteries.

... Brown also finds paralleling the ockoromor of 1 Cor.

4:1 the "men in custody () of Thy mysteries," in 1

Q 36:16.59 And Kennedy feels that the role of these ockoromor in revealing the divine secrets is closer to that of the Old Testament

⁵⁷ Brown, XXXIX, 441, calls attention to the parallelism of Goulda and Keunta, remembering that 750, "secret counsels," is translated by Boula in the IXX.

⁵⁸ von Soden, XII, 192.

⁵⁹Brown, XXXIX, 441.

prophets who were entrusted with the mysteries of the divine assembly (τ, τ) , than that of the initiating priests of the mystery cults.

In 1 Cor. 13:2 Paul includes knowledge of all mysteries among those gifts of the Spirit which are not only unimportant, but absolutely nothing -- apart from the greatest gift of all, that of love: "Even if I have the gift of prophecy and am acquainted with all the mysteries and all knowledge, and if I have all the faith it takes to move mountains, but do not have love, I am nothing." Once again there is no indication of the precise content of these mysteries. Perhaps the expression, to mustaleca Marta, refers to the sum total of divinely revealed secrets, that is, to the entire content of the Christian revelation. 61 At any rate, to penetrate all the mysteries of God is "the special spiritual gift of the prophet."62 This close association of "all the mysteries" with prophecy recalls to mind 1 QpHab. 7:4.5 which states that God revealed to the Teacher of Righteousness "all the mysteries of the words of His servants the Prophets" (compare Amos 3:8: God reveals "His secret to His servants the Prophets"). The phrase "all the secrets" also occurs in En. 49:2 and 51:3 where the Elect One is said to be "mighty in all the secrets of righteousness" and will on Judgment Day "pour forth all the secrets of wisdom and counsel."63

⁶⁰ Kennedy, p. 125.

⁶¹ Furley, VIII, 186.

⁶² Bornkamm, IV. 822.

⁶³Brown, XXXIX, 441, builds a creditable case for a non-literal use of the expression "all the mysteries" in Semitic literature

⁽En. 41:1; 52:2; 61:5; 63:3; 68:5; 71:4; Sir. 43:32), so that it would refer to the depth of knowledge of the mysteries, and not an absolute knowledge. "Thus," concludes Brown, "a man could say that God had shown him all the mysteries; and yet, still recognize that his knowledge is imperfect—something not very far from Paul's idea" (cf. 1 Cor. 13:9).

⁶⁴cf. Robertson and Plummer, p. 306.

⁶⁵Thus Clarence T. Craig, The First Epistle to the Corinthians, in The Interpreter's Bible, edited by George A. Buttrick (New York: Abindgon-Cokesbury Press, c.1953) X, 198, states that the mysteries are "secrets in the sense that the syllables in which they are expressed are unintelligible."

⁶⁶Cf. Godet, II, 266. He renders: "But, far from being understood, he speaks mysteries."

⁶⁷Brown, XXXIX, 443, holds that the expression "by the spirit" makes the latter interpretation preferable. We take πνεύματι (cf. Gal. 3:3; 5:15,16,18,25) as dative of instrument and refer it to the Spirit of God. Cf. Arndt and Gingrich, p. 684. Also Charles Hodge, An Exposition of the First Epistle to the Corinthians (New York: Hodder and Stoughton, 1857), p. 279. For the view that πνεῦμα here refers to the human spirit, cf. Ellicott, p. 260; Godet, II, 206; Grosheide, p. 318; and Leon Morris, The First Epistle of Paul to the

the meaning of Mustine can here is not indicated and we can find no parallels in the Semitic background except the association of the Spirit with the mysteries in Dan. 2:9, Sir. 48:24,25 and 1 QH 12:11.68

Finally, MUSTNECOV occurs in 1 Cor. 15:51, where Paul, having just explained that perishable flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God, calls attention to the change that Christians will experience at the parousia:

Look, this is a mystery I am telling you: We shall not all die, but we shall all be changed—in a moment, in the blinking of an eye, at the sound of the last trumpet. For the trumpet will sound, and the dead will be raised immortal, and we shall be changed (15:51, 52).

In spite of a hard textual problem, 69 the $\mu\nu\sigma\tau$ 69 the here seems clear. It refers to the coming event when all Christians

Corinthians in The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries, edited by R. V. G. Tasker (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, c.1958), VII, 191.

⁶⁸ Supra, p. 85.

a rule the negative stands before that which is to be negative. As a rule the negative stands before that which is to be negated, in this case, the verb. Thus πάντες ου κοιμπθησομεθα would say, "We all shall not die," meaning, "None of us shall die," Apparently the πάντες ου should be taken in the sense of ου πάντες ("not all," i.e., "some"). Such an exception to the rule is found also in classical Greek. Cf. Blass and Debrunner, p. 224. Godet, I, 437, suggests that in the N.T., as in the IXX, the position of the ου is not rigorously observed, "a fact arising from the well-known Hebrew usage of connecting with the person the negative relating to the verb" (cf. Num. 23:13). And Robertson and Plummer, p. 376, hold that "the desired antithesis requires that both clauses should begin with πάντες: hence πάντες ου in the first clause, not ου πάντες. Thus understood, the text, supported by B E D L P the Syriac and Egyptian translations, makes perfectly good sense."
The difficulty, however, has given rise to a variety of readings in the MSS and versions: AcC F G have πάντες κοιμπσομεθα, ου πάντες δὲ ὰλλα μπσομεθα; D the Vulgate and some old Latin MSS and Marcion have πάντες ἀνάστησομεθα, ου πάντες δὲ ὰλλα μπσομεθα. These and

will be charged in such a way that they can enter eternal life.

This mystery is part of God's great plan of salvation—a climactic part, indeed. It is a mystery because "it is enclosed in God's counsel and will be fulfilled with divine necessity in a way which is beyond human calculation or comprehension." Yet once again the mystery is revealed. Paul calls special attention to it (2500 Moortace)

Emily Aerow). One may note a similar passage in 1 Thess.

4:15 where the apostle introduces the thought that those who are alive at the parousia will not go ahead of those who have died, with the words, Todo yac Emily Aeromev Ev Loyw Kuelov.

... That which has been revealed to him by divine revelation and, which he could know in no other way, he openly proclaims. Later we shall take a closer look at the relationship between the Pauline mystery and revelation.

Although Paul's use of mystery here finds no exact parallel in the Semitic background, yet eschatological mysteries are by no means absent from that background. Robinson, for example, calls attention to a similar use of the word in the latter part of Enoch. 71 Answering the claim that the lot of the righteous after death is no different than that of the wicked, Enoch relates a mystery: "all goodness and joy and glory are prepared for . . . those who have died in

other less important variations are probably scribal attempts to escape making Paul say that the Christians of that generation were not to die. Cf. Hodge, p. 354.

⁷⁰ Bornkamm, IV, 823.

⁷¹ Robinson, p. 238.

righteousness. . . . your lot is abundantly beyond the lot of the living" (En. 103:2,3).⁷² In a similar vein, Wisd. 2:22 speaks of the mysteries of God which the wicked do not know; for they believe that the life of the righteous ends with death. Apparently, then, these mysteries refer to God's gracious plans for the righteous in eternity.⁷³

"Strongly eschatological," 74 too, is the Pauline Mustalecov in Rom, 11:25:

Lest you be wise in your own estimation, brothers, I do not want you to be unaware of this mystery: a partial hardening has come upon Israel until the full number of the Gentiles comes in, and in this way all Israel will be saved. . . . (11:25.26).

Bornkamm comments:

Putting the historical fact of the obduracy of Israel into the context of a $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{\gamma}e\iota\sigma\nu$, Paul discloses the eschatological significance of this event $(\ddot{\alpha}\chi e\iota \quad o\dot{\nu} \quad . \quad . \quad \sigma\omega\theta\dot{\gamma}\sigma\varepsilon\tau\alpha\iota$, 25 f.). In the present $\pi\dot{\omega}e\omega\sigma\iota_{\zeta}$ there is intimated in hidden form the entrance of the $\pi\lambda\dot{\gamma}e\omega\mu\alpha\iota_{\zeta}$ there is intimated in hidden form salvation history, and hence the final deliverance of Israel too.75

Certainly the mystery here is closely associated with God's hidden plan revealed now by Him (Paul disclaims human wisdom and personal cleverness) for guiding history toward His redemptive purposes. 76

⁷² In En. 38:3 "the secrets of the righteous" might refer to the secret blessings of the righteous which, though still hidden, shall be revealed at the final judgment.

⁷³Still another example of mystery used with reference to the future destiny of the elect is En. 61:1-5.

⁷⁴ von Soden, XII, 193.

⁷⁵Bornkamm, IV. 822.

⁷⁶William Sanday and Arthur C. Headlam, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans, in The International Critical Commentary (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1902), p. 334, comment concerning this mystery: "Here it is used in a wide sense of the whole plan or scheme of redemption as revealed to St. Paul, by which Jews

Specifically the mystery includes three aspects: the partial and temporary rejection of Israel, the conversion of the Gentiles, and the ultimate destiny of salvation for "all Israel." The meaning of the expression, πZ_S 'I $\sigma \epsilon \alpha n \lambda$, unique in Pauline writings, is most difficult to determine. Most exegetes take it to mean the entire nation of Israel, but not necessarily including every individual Jew. 77 Others, however—notwithstanding Stifler's irresponsible statement that "the illogical notion that 'Israel' here is the spiritual Israel is no longer held 78—interpret "all Israel" as the whole people of God, including both Jews and Gentiles. 79 This latter view, which

and Gentiles alike are to be included in the Divine Kingdom, and all things are working up, although in ways unseen and unknown, to that end."

⁷⁷ Cf. Sanday and Headlam, pp. 335, 336; C. K. Barrett, A Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans (London: Adam and Charles Black, 1957), pp. 223, 224; F. Godet, Commentary on St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans, translated by A. Cusin (New York: Furk and Wagnalls Company, 1883), p. 411; Handley C. G. Moule, The Epistle of St. Paul to the Romans (London: George Bell and Sons, 1905), p. 258; F. F. Bruce, The Epistle of Paul to the Romans, in The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries, edited by R. V. G. Tasker (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1963) VI, 220-222; Walter Lüthi, The Letter to the Romans, translated by Kurt Schoenenberger (Richmond: John Knox Press, 1961), p. 155; Howard Rhys, The Epistle to the Romans (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1961), pp. 145,146; and John Murray, The Epistle to the Romans, in The New International Commentary on the New Testament, edited by F. F. Bruce (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1965), II, 96, 98.

⁷⁸ James M. Stifler, The Epistle to the Romans (Chicago: Moody Press, c.1960), p. 196.

⁷⁹ For a very helpful defense of this view, cf. Martin H. Franzmann, Romans, in the Concordia Commentary, edited by Walter J. Bartling and Albert E. Glock (St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, c.1968), pp. 207-212. Karl Barth, The Epistle to the Romans, translated by Edwyn C. Hoskyns (London: Oxford University Press, 1933), pp. 415, 416, holds this position, too. A less defensible position, that "all

I favor, does allow Mustalecov here to parallel the associations of comprehensiveness and universality which the term has, for example, in Dan. 2:28-45, and frequently elsewhere in Paul (Rom. 16:25,26; 1 Cor. 15:51; Eph. 1:9,10; 3:3-6,8-10; Col. 1:26-28; 1 Tim. 3:16).80 In either case, the emphasis in this Pauline Mustalecov seems to be on the salvation of the Jews, whereas elsewhere, as we shall see, the conversion of the Gentiles receives major attention. Kennedy sums up the mystery this way:

Paul here deals with what has been for him a serious problem, the rejection of the Gospel by the chosen people, and its glad acceptance by the heathen. The one explanation he can find is a secret purpose of God whereby the ingathering of the Gentiles shall finally prove a compelling force to attract Israel also.81

Although this mystery has no precise parallel in Jewish thought, 82 yet some similarity can be pointed out. In 4 Ezra 10: 38-55 one of the many mysteries revealed to Ezra concerns the restoration of the suffering woman who is identified as Zion, now rejected,

Israel" refers to the spiritual Jews (not including the Gentiles) is held by F. W. Stellhorn, <u>The Epistle of St.Paul to the Romans</u> (Columbus: Lutheran Book Concern, 1918), pp. 218, 221. Luther, while at first taking the term to mean the Jewish ration as a whole, later identified "all Israel" with the number of the elect among the Jews. Cf. Martin Luther, <u>Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans</u>, translated by J. T. Mueller (Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, c.1954), pp. 145,146.

⁸⁰cf. Franzmann, Romans, p. 211.

⁸¹Kennedy, pp. 124,125.

⁸²In fact, Coppens, p. 142, who takes "all Israel" as the entire nation of Israel, finds at Qumran just the opposite notion to the Pauline mystery here. There "salvation will belong immediately to Israel, but will be reserved finally to that portion of the elect represented by the community of the Desert of Judah."

but eventually possessing brilliant glory and majestic beauty.

The idea of apparent rejection on an individual scale is also reflected in 1 QH 9:23-24, where the psalmist is assured that the rebuke which God's mysterious wisdom presently gives him will some day be a cause of joy.83

The other occurrence of mystery in Romans is in the concluding doxology:

To the One who has the power to strengthen you according to my gospel and the proclamation about Jesus Christ, 84 according to the revelation of the mystery concealed for long ages, but now disclosed, and through the prophetic writings made known to all the Gentiles, according to the command of the eternal God, to bring them to the obedience of faith—to God who alone is wise, through Jesus Christ, be the glory for evermore! Amen. (16:25-26).

⁸³Sanday and Headlam, pp. 336-337, point out that a universal restoration of Israel was part of the current Jewish expectation. They also note that the belief in the coming in of the fulness of the Gentiles, while rejected by later Judaism, was still held by much of Judaism of Paul's day.

⁸⁴I take to kneut ma Indou Xecotoù as objective genitive. Cf. Arndt and Gingrich, p. 432. On the other hand, Franzmann, Romans, p. 282, seems to take it as a subjective genitive.

the revelation of the mystery is in parallelism with the preaching of Christ. . . . In other words, for Paul, Christ's life and role in the salvation of men are the revelation of God's mysterious plan hidden from ages past. Thus Romans supplies the first example of the equation of the Muorraecov and Christ. . . . 85

No passage in Paul's epistles more than this one places in focus the distinction between mystery as something esoteric and belonging only to the elite, on the one hand, and the Pauline conception, on the other. The features of this mystery as revealed set this distinction in the sharpest relief: (1) it is made known to all nations; (2) it is made known through Scriptures which are the property of all; (3) it is made known to all by God's command; (4) it is revealed to the end of bringing all to the obedience of faith. 87

⁸⁵Brown, XXXIX, p. 447. Similarly, Coppens, p. 142, says: "'The revelation of the mystery' appears to be identified with the kerygma of the 'gospel,' of which Christ is the principle, central, and formal object. . . . The mystery is . . . the content of the gospels, and consequently, by way of induction, Christ appears for the first time in its perspective."

Bornkamm, IV, 820. His statement that "μυστής εον is mostly used with terms for revelation" is clearly documented: ἀποκάλυψες, Rom. 16:25, Eph. 3:3; ἀποκαλύπτειν, 1 Cor. 2:10, Eph. 3:5; γνως έξειν, Rom. 16:26; Eph. 1:9; 3:3,5, Col. 1:27; φανες οῦν, Rom. 16:26; Col. 1:26. Furthermore, in apostolic preaching the mystery is revealed: καταγγέλλειν, 1 Cor. 2:1; λαλείν, 1 Cor. 2:7; Col. 4:3; εὐαγγελίσσσθαι. Eph. 3:8; φωτίσαι, Eph. 3:9; φανες οῦν, Col. 4:4; γνως έχειν τὸ μυστής εον, Eph. 6:19; καταγγέλλειν includes both νου θετείν and δι δάσκειν, Col. 1:28; the apostles are οἰκονόμοι μυστης έων θεοῦ, 1 Cor. 4:1.

⁸⁷Murray, II, 243, n. 21.

This revelatory counterpart of $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\gamma} e c \sigma \nu$ accords well with similar usage in the LXX and Apocrypha.⁸⁸

One particular aspect of the revelation of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$ that is especially noteworthy here concerns the role of the prophetic writings ($fc\dot{a}$ $\tau\epsilon$ feadow $\pi eodor \epsilon \kappa \omega \nu$). These Old Testament Scriptures⁸⁹ are interpretive witnesses to what the eternal God has revealed in Christ (Rom. 3:21). They help to make known the mystery because they indicate that God had always intended the salvation of the Gentiles (compare Rom. 15:9-12).

Part of the Semitic background of this Pauline $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{n}eco\nu$ might lie in the prophet's role as a witness in the heavenly council $(\tau;\sigma)$ and a proclaimer of the heavenly secrets there disclosed. 91 At any rate, in the Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha the prophets are thought of as conveyers of mysteries of God. According to Sir.

⁸⁸ Robinson, pp. 235-236, notes from his study of Μυστήριον in the Apocrypha, LXX, and other Greek translations of the O.T. that "its natural counterpart is found in words like δποκαλύπτειν and δποκαλύψις . . . "

⁸⁹ Contrary to those who contend that the prophetic writings refer to Paul's own letters and those of fellow apostles (cf. Stifler, p. 254-255; also Godet, Romans, pp. 504-506), it seems best to take these as the O.T. prophetic Scriptures which Paul cites frequently in his proclamation of the Gospel of Jesus Christ. Cf. Rhys, p. 205; Murray, II, 242; and Bruce, VI, 283. Sanday and Headlam, p. 434, comment: "The unity of the Old and New Testaments, the fact that Christ had come in accordance with the Scriptures (Rom. 1:1,2), that the new method of salvation although apart from the law, was witnessed to by the Law and the Prophets (Rom. 3:21), the constant allusion especially in chapters ix-xi to the O.T. Scriptures--all these are summed up in the phrase Sch yearar

⁹⁰ Brown, XXXIX, 448.

⁹¹ Supra, p. 38.

48:24-25, Isaiah saw the future and "declared . . . hidden thirgs before they came to pass." And 4 Ezra 14:5 states that God showed Moses the secrets of the times and the end of the seasons. Actually the pseudepigraphical apocalypses had a "quasi-prophetic function" of preserving the mysteries of God for later generations (En. 104: 10-12; 4 Ezra 12:36-37)92-although it should be pointed out that these mysteries were not to be published openly to all (compare 4 Ezra 14:6,26). Finally, 1 QpHab. 7:1-5 indicates that, while not revealing to Habakkuk the fulfillment of the end, God did reveal "all the mysteries of the words of His servants the Prophets" to the Teacher of Righteousness. This seems somewhat similar to Paul's thought here that God's mysterious, long hidden plan is now, in the time of fulfillment, at last being made known through these very prophetic writings (compare 1 Peter 1:10-12).

We have already noted, in our discussion of $\theta \in \hat{v}\hat{v}$ $\sigma \circ \phi \in \hat{v}$ $e \in \mathcal{V}$ in 1 Cor. 2:7, the Semitic background for the close association of divine mystery and divine wisdom. 93 In addition, here in Paul's concluding doxology, in which he adores the only God whose wisdom guides all history toward His redeptive goal, are there not shades of Daniel's magnificent doxology?

Blessed be the name of God for ever and ever, to whom belong wisdom and might. He changes times and seasons; he removes kings and sets up kings; he gives wisdom to the wise and knowledge to those who have understanding; he reveals deep and mysterious things. . . . (Dan. 2:20-22, RSV).

⁹² Brown, XXXIX, 448.

^{93&}lt;sub>Supra</sub>, p. 82.

Turning to Colossians, we find four references to mystery, two of which occur in 1:26,27. Having stated that he is suffering for the church, Paul continues:

I became its servant by virtue of the task God gave me for you, the task to bring the word of God to completion, the mystery hidden for ages and generations, but now disclosed to His Saints, to whom God willed to make known how rich and glorious among the Gentiles this mystery is, namely, Christ in you, the hope of glory. He it is whom we proclaim, admonishing everyone and teaching everyone in all wisdom, so that we might present every one as a mature person in Christ (1:25-28).

The mystery here, however, is even more specifically identified with Christ. He mystery is $\chi_{ec\sigma\tau\delta}$ & ω_{ec} (Col.

95The preceding relative of (masculine because its gender has been assimilated to the predicate, $\chi_{ec\sigma \tau os}$), seems preferable to o, a reading supported by p^{46} B A G, the Latin tradition, and Westcott and Hort, and possibly suggested by the neuter gender of the preceding noun. Although, as Blass and Debrunner, p. 73, point out, "in explanatory phrases Koine employs the neuter & Eorce . . . without reference to the gender of the word explained or to that of the word which explains. . . " In either case, we take the antecedent of os (o) to be MUTTHELOV, which is the dominant idea in the context (cf. v. 26; 2:2). Cf. T. K. Abbott, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistles to the Ephesians and to the Colossians, in The International Critical Commentary, edited by S. R. Driver, A. Plummer, and C. A. Briggs (Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1897), pp. 234-235. Cf. also J. B. Lightfoot, Saint Paul's Epistles to the Colossians and to Philemon (London: Macmillan and Company, 1879), p. 169. On the other hand, John Eadie, A Commentary on the Greek Text of the Epistle of Paul to the Colossians (Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1884), pp. 94-95, holds that the antecedent is the complex idea of the entire clause--Christ in His gracious relation to the Gentile world.

⁹⁴F. F. Bruce comments on this text: "Christ Himself is the centre and circumference of this mystery; by His death and exaltation He has brought it to accomplishment—in measure now, and in perfection hereafter." Cf. E. K. Simpson and F. F. Bruce, Commentary on the Epistles to the Ephesians and the Colossians (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1957), p. 219. Brown, XXIX, 71, suggests that Paul wants the Colossians who apparently were placing undue importance on the role of angels "to realize that in the divine mystery Christ alone suffices to give them the hope of glory."

1:27), that is, Christ in you⁹⁶ (Gentiles).⁹⁷ This mystical mystery is also eschatological; for he who is in Christ (verse 28) has a share in the eschatological glory ($\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$

Als Inhalt des Murthecov... erscheint widerum Christus in eschatologischer Absicht. Er is Ednis The Soffens (1:27), und ihn zu erkennen macht den Menschen Tedecos, so dasz er das Gericht der Parusie nicht zu fürchten hat (1:28; vgl. 1:22).99

⁹⁶It is possible, of course, to take xecotos Ev UMIV as "Christ among you." Abbott, p. 235, holds that the parallelism with Ev TOES E EVETEV favors this interpretation. Cf. also S. Lewis Johnson, Jr., "Studies in the Epistle to the Colossians: V. The Minister of the Mystery," Bibliotheca Sacra, CXIX (1962), 232. However, the interpretation "in you" is perhaps the more probable one. Similar usage is found elsewhere in Paul (cf. Rom. 8:10; 2 Cor. 13:5; Gal. 4:19; Eph. 3:17). Cf. Lightfoot, Colossians and Philemon, p. 169. E. F. Scott, The Epistles of Paul to the Colossians, to Philemon and to the Ephesians, in The Moffatt New Testament Commentary (New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, n.d.) XI, 32, argues that since Paul has already identified the word of God as the mystery, he must be speaking here not merely of the diffusion of the gospel but of its inner content, and therefore the phrase should be understood in its mystical sense. And Eadie, p. 95, believes that "the meaning 'in you' is virtually implied; for Christ, as the hope of glory, was not contemplated merely, but possessed. He was not merely before them to be beheld, but in them to be felt."

⁹⁷We see no valid reason to distinguish as sharply as Mitton, pp. 88-89, does between Paul's use of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau$ $neco\nu$ in Colossians and in Ephesians. While it is true that the mystery in Ephesians strongly stresses the incorporation of the Gentiles into the Body of Christ, such a thought is not absent in Colossians, as is evident from this text under consideration. And although Colossians develops at some length the mystical union of believers with Christ, yet the mystery of this union is also contemplated in Ephesians, where the teaching of the $\pi\lambda\eta_{ewm\beta}$ has a very personal ring. Cf. Coppens, p. 151. Cf. also Simpson and Bruce, pp. 218-219.

⁹⁸ Cf. L. Cerfaux, Christ in the Theology of St. Paul, translated by Geoffrey Webb and Adrian Walker (New York: Herder and Herder, 1959), p. 405.

⁹⁹ von Soden, p. 193.

The claim that Paul in this text is mimicking the thought of the mystery cults is clearly unwarranted. The mystery he proclaims is not an esoteric set of doctrines reserved for a few initiates.

Further, it is the person of Christ¹⁰⁰ announced to every man (note the triple $\pi \acute{\alpha} \nu \tau \acute{\alpha} \acute{\alpha} \nu \theta e \omega \pi o \nu$ in verse 28) in all wisdom (compare Col. 2:3: in Christ are hidden $\pi \acute{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ of $\theta m \sigma \acute{\alpha} \nu e o \acute{\alpha} s$ of $\theta m \sigma \acute{\alpha} \nu e o \acute{\alpha} s$ of $\theta m \sigma \acute{\alpha} \nu e o \acute{\alpha} s$ of $\theta m \sigma \acute{\alpha} \nu e o \acute{\alpha} s$ involves an "intentional paradox" 102 is highly dubious in view of numerous verbal and conceptual parallels in pre-Christian Semitic writings to the $\theta m \nu \sigma \tau \acute{\alpha} e c o \nu$ of Col. 1:26,27. 103 Some of these we have already considered in connection with similar language and thought in other Pauline mystery passages.

Among the new aspects of the mystery in this passage, as well as in the other Colossian mystery texts, is Paul's concentration of the mystery in the person of Christ, the Savior of mankind. There

¹⁰⁰ The masculine relative pronoun or in v. 28 stresses the personal character of the mystery.

¹⁰¹ Cf. Scott, XI, 32-33. Scott here also argues against the view that when Paul uses telecos he is using the technical mystery term for an initiate. Cf. also Abbott, p. 236, and Coppens, pp. 155-156.

¹⁰²Lightfoot, Colossians and Philemon, p. 168. Cf. also Bruce, pp. 170-171, who speaks of Paul's use of technical terms of his pagan opponents in a disinfected sense. For counter-arguments, cf. Abbott, pp. 233-234.

¹⁰³ For a partial listing of Qumran mystery parallels to the Captivity Letters, cf. Coppens, p. 152.

is no exact parallel to this mystery par excellence in Jewish writings. 104 Yet Brown calls attention to something similar in En. 48:6: 51:3: and 62:7. There

The Elect one, the Son of Man, the light of the nations (notice that the Gentiles are involved as with Paul), was chosen and hidden in God's presence before creation (Paul's mystery of Christ was hidden from ages past). But in the day of the judgment, God shall reveal him to the elect (Paul: "to the saints").

. . . As a result there ensues the blessedness of the just (Paul's hope of glory). Of course, there is no suggestion that En's Elect One, often considered a messianic figure, saves men through personal salvific activity, but the similarity of vocabulary and concept is still interesting.

And while this mystery of Christ is for Paul "the hope of glory" (Col. 1:27), God's marvelous mysteries in 1 QS 11:5-8 are "a spring of glory" and those to whom God graciously reveals His mysteries shall rejoice at the time of His glory (1 QH 12:20-24). 106

A second reference to mystery follows quickly in Col. 2:2, where Paul says that he works hard for the Colossians and other Christians

¹⁰⁴ Concerning the Qumran writings, Coppens, p. 151, says that "nothing, absolutely nothing, at Qumran evokes the mystery of the glorious Christ, nor the mystery of Christ which we have called mystical."

Raymond E. Brown, "The Semitic Background of the New Testament Mysterion (II)," <u>Biblica</u>, XL (January 1959), 73. Coppens, p. 151, also cites 1 QH 5:25 and 8:10-11, where the psalmist is described as the bearer of a mystery hidden and sealed in his person.

¹⁰⁶ Cf. also 1 QH 13:13,14: "And Thou hast (appointed) all these things in the mysteries of Thy wisdom to make known Thy glory (to all)." Bornkamm, IV, 822, rightly notes that "the coming glorification of believers is only intimated in the $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\gamma = c\,\sigma\nu$. The riches of glory are already included in it, but they are still included in it, Christ being the 'hope' of glory in whom the treasures of wisdom and knowledge are still concealed, Col. 2:3. Hence the revealed mystery still conceals the final consummation. . . . $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ is only in the concealment of $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ ($\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$). Col. 1:24-25; Eph. 3:13."

that their hearts might take courage and be unified in love, and come to all the riches of assurance that understanding brings, to the knowledge of the mystery of God, which is Christ, in whom are hidden all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge.

The phrase which we have rendered "the mystery of God, which is Christ," is problematic textually and grammatically. Scott is no doubt right when he remarks that "there are few places in the New Testament where the manuscripts differ so widely as in these few words."107 Probably best of the some dozen variant readings is the one adopted by Nestle. Too Geod Xecotod; while not having the strongest external support (p46 B Hilary), it is one of the shortest readings and does tend to explain the other readings. 108 And Xecorod should be taken in apposition to Must necou; 109 this agrees well with Paul's expression in 1:27. The mystery here, then, is once more Christ, not "static," but "the salvific Christ, the Redeemer of Jew and Gentile, "110 as the wider context indicates. Coppens feels that the concise formula here indicates "the historical Christ," but the historical Christ as glorified through His resurrection and heavenly exaltation. And since all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge are hidden in Him. treasures which Judaism and Paul himself

¹⁰⁷scott, XI, 36.

¹⁰⁸ For a helpful discussion of this, cf. Lightfoot, Colossians and Philemon, pp. 172-173.

¹⁰⁹Less likely are the suggestions that $\chi c c \sigma c v \bar{v}$ is dependent on $\theta \varepsilon c \bar{v}$ or in apposition to $\theta \varepsilon c \bar{v}$, neither of which expression is found elsewhere in Paul. Cf. Lightfoot, Colossians and Philemon, p. 173. Also T. Norton Sterrett, "The Mystery of God, Even Christ," Bibliotheca Sacra, XCV (1938), 161. For a somewhat different view, cf. Abbott, pp. 239-241.

¹¹⁰ Brown, XL, 72.

elsewhere (for example, Rom. 11:33-36) attribute to God, he concludes that "the mystery, then, is concretely the divine pleroma incarnated in Christ." 111

Before noting Semitic antecedents to this Pauline mystery, we draw attention to a fundamental difference. Paul here and elsewhere associates knowledge of the mystery with dydnn, and, in fact, gives it primacy. We fail to find this emphasis in the Jewish writings. Yet there are frequent parallels, especially in vocabulary, between this mystery and Semitic literature. Paul speaks here of knowledge of the mystery of God (Col. 2:2); similarly references to knowledge of the marvelous mysteries of God occur frequently at Qumran (1 QH 2:13; 4:27-28; 7:27; 11:9; 12:13). And while Qumran speaks of mysteries of divine wisdom (1 QH 12:13; 13:13) and Enoch relates that the Elect One shall pour forth all the secrets of wisdom and counsel (En. 51:3), Paul states that the mystery of Christ contains all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge. The expression, fnoducoi taic σοφίας, occurs in Sir. 1:25 (compare Sir. 20:30). But more instructive is the parallel to En. 46:3. There the Son of Man reveals "all the treasures of that which is hidden." Bogdasavich, after surveying the ideas of MUTT necov, Topid, JUVETIS, θησανεός ἀπόκευφος in such passages as Prov. 2:4-7; 3:14-20; 8:21; Sir. 1:6; 1:25; 20:30; Wis. 6:22; and Job 28:12-22, concludes that "Paul seems to have summarized them in this one passage

¹¹¹ Coppens, p. 148.

(Col. 2:2-3) and applied them to Christ. It seems hard," he adds, "to deny the validity of P. Feuillet's argument that St. Paul had the wisdom literature in mind when he wrote this." 112 At any rate, the strains of both wisdom and apocalyptic 113 thought would appear to make unnecessary any attempt to find in this Pauline mystery text a borrowing from the language of the mystery cults.

In Col. 4:3 there is a final reference to MUSTNECOV.

Paul asks the Colossians to:

pray also for us, that God might give us opportunity to proclaim the word, to speak the mystery of Christ, on account of which I am in prison, that I might make it clear, as I ought to.

Here once again the mystery is closely related to the word ($\lambda \circ_{\lambda} \circ_{\delta}$). The mystery of Christ is the object of the apostolic proclamation and that proclamation puts the mystery into effect ($\lambda \wedge \lambda \wedge \sigma_{\lambda}$) is probably the infinitive of result). Although Lightfoot believes that the mystery here is specifically "the doctrine of the free admission of the Gentiles, 115 in view of the two previous mystery passages (1:26,27; 2:2), it seems best to take $\tau \circ_{\lambda} \wedge \tau_{\lambda} \circ_{\lambda} \circ_{\lambda}$

¹¹²M. Bogdasavich, "The Idea of Pleroma in the Epistles to the Colossians and Ephesians," The Downside Review, LXXXIII (1965), 122-123.

¹¹³ Cerfaux, p. 524, remarks: "The influence of the apocalyptic current is felt in two main spheres, firstly when Paul, at the beginning of his career, visualizes Christ as risen from the dead and coming again in glory; secondly, when he opposes the syncretism of the Colossians."

¹¹⁴Cf. Brown, XL, 73. Bornkamm, IV, 821, states that "proclamation does not merely give information about the effected revelation of the $MU\sigma\tau\eta'ecov$ of God (or Christ, Col. 2:2; 4:3) but itself belongs to the event of the $MU\sigma\tau\eta'ecov$ and the occurrence of revelation."

¹¹⁵ Lightfoot, Colossians and Philemon, p. 231. Cf. also Eadie, p. 271.

Yecorol in the sense of God's redemptive plan to save men (whether Jew or Gentile) in Christ, 116 Since we have already discussed possible Jewish parallels to these ideas of mystery, we will move on to the mystery passages in Ephesians.

The first reference to Murthecov in Ephesians, where the term occurs six times in all, is in 1:9. Here Paul blesses the God of blessing who has eternally elected us in Christ and has in Him redeemed and forgiven us:

according to the riches of His grace which He has lavished upon us in all wisdom and insight in that He has made known to us the mystery of His will (to MUTTHECOV TOO BEAMMATOS AUTOO), according to His good will and pleasure which He planned in Him (Christ), to be put into effect in the fulness of time, namely, to bring all things together in Christ, the things in heaven and the things on earth (1:7-10).

Once more there are several ideas we have already encountered. As the revelation of the mystery is a matter of God's free, gracious choice (1 Cor. 2:7; Rom. 16:25; Col. 1:27), so here the making known of the mystery of His will is a manifestation of the riches of His grace (Eph. 1:7). Again wisdom is associated with the revelation of the mystery (Eph. 1:8; cf. 1 Cor. 2:7; Rom. 16:27; Col. 1:28; 2:3). The concept of ocknownia appears again in connection with the mystery, although here (Eph. 1:10) it has the meaning of "dispensation" or "working out," whereas in Col. 1:25 it referred

¹¹⁶Cf. Scott, XI, 89. He translates the phrase as "the mystery consisting in Christ." Cf. also Mitton, p. 89.

¹¹⁷Robinson, p. 30, comments: "With St. Paul wisdom belongs especially to the region of the mystery and its revelation."

to the office or task of a steward. And while in Col. 1:27 the mystery was "Christ in you (Gentiles), the hope of glory," here in the immediate context of the mystery Paul suggests that the ultimate end of the calling of the Gentiles is to praise God's glory (1:14; compare verse 12).

What precisely is the Pauline Mustine of here? It is the "benevolent plan of God centering in Christ . . . to be put into effect in the fullness of the times, namely, to gather up into one all things in Christ as the common Head." According to Trinidad, this "bringing together all things in Christ" is well explained in the immediate context:

We received all our spiritual blessings in Christ (v. 3). We were foreordained unto adoption as through Christ (v. 5). God freely bestowed His grace on us in the Beloved (v. 7). And all this took place in conformity with the plan contained in the mystery (v. 9). So that 'the mystery' has to do with our getting all our spiritual blessings through Christ and in Christ, through our union with Christ. 120

¹¹⁸ For a good summary of the various meanings of ockovomid, cf. Francis W. Beare, The Epistle to the Ephesians, in The Interpreter's Bible, edited by George A. Buttrick (New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, c.1953) X, 619.

¹¹⁹ Victor A. Bartling, "The Church in God's Eternal Plan,"
Concordia Theological Monthly, XXXVI (1965), 202. For a good discussion of the possible meaning of the verb here, δνακεφαλαιώσασθαι (epexegetic infinitive), cf. John T. Trinidad, "The Mystery Hidden in God," Biblica, XXXI (1950), 19; G. G. Findlay, The Epistle to the Ephesians, in The Expositor's Bible, edited by W. Robertson Nicoll (New York: A. C. Armstrong and Son, 1903) XL, 47-48; and Lightfoot, Notes on the Epistles of St. Paul, 321-322.

¹²⁰ Trinidad, XXXI, 19-20.

And yet the mystery affects more than humanity; for the "all things"

(1:10) includes also those in heaven (the embedding to 25 oleano 25). 121

What this means may be indicated in the wider context. In 1:20,21

Paul says Christ is En to 25 Emonegations Unterday madans

dexas kat Efourias kat Sundmens kat

kuccotantos. And according to 6:12, these dexas and Efourias

are themselves in heavenly places (en to 25 Emonegations).

Brown, with some merit, concludes that

Paul seems to have in mind the view that before the coming of Christ, men were subject to the angels, good and bad. But now all things are subject to Christ, even the angels. Some of these angelic powers still strive for domination, and struggle against the Church (Eph. 6:12); but the fact that Christ has been made head over them for the Church (1:22) guarantees the Church's victory. In this interpretation, the mystery of the divine will in Eph. 1:9-10 is a picture of the final stage of the divine $e c_{KOVOMCO}$: the fulness of time where men on earth and the angels are all subject to Christ. From the eternal point of view, this mystery of complete subjection to Christ exists already, for Christ has come and evil is varquished; from the temporal view, Paul and the Christians are locked in struggle with the forces of evil to bring about the perfect headship of Christ. 122

Brown further contends that this cosmic, eschatological interpretation of the Pauline mystery here is not out of harmony with his concept of mystery elsewhere, both in his earlier writings (compare

¹²¹We strongly disavow any thought of universalism. There is no warrant for that here or anywhere else in Scripture. Cf. Bartling, XXXVI, 203.

¹²²Brown, XL, 77. This double perspective of the mystery, the temporal and the eternal, is found also in Jewish apocalyptic. Enoch, for instance, while recognizing that the Christian community still struggles against the forces of evil (e.g., En. 56:5-7), holds that the Elect One is superior to the angelic hosts and will judge them (En. 61:8-10).

the eschatological emphasis of the mystery in 2 Thess. 2:7 and 1 Cor. 15:51; the hiddenness of the mystery from the rulers of this world in 1 Cor. 2:8; and the totality of the mystery in 1 Cor. 15:51, Rom. 11:26, and Rom. 16:26), and especially in Colossians. In Col. 1:16 Paul says of Christ, whom he quickly identifies as the mystery (1:27): EV dût Q EKTÍGEN THE MÁNTE EN TORS OURAVORS Kai êni tas jas, tà beatà Kai tà abeata, ette dedvoi elte Kuecotntes elte dexai elte Efouriace tà márta se dutou kai eis dutor EKTCGTd(. 123 The Qumran writings indicate that a theory of angelic domination was current in Jewish circles during the New Testament era. According to 1 QS 3:23, the dominion of the angel of darkness is exercised against the sons of light "according to the mysteries of God until the final period."124 (Compare 1 QS 4:18-19). In Eph. 1:9 the mystery of God's will is put into effect in the fulness of time. And in 1 QM the final time arrives when God's mighty intervention brings destruction to Satan and the sons of darkness and wickedness whom he directs in battle (compare "the ruler of the power of the air, the spirit now at work in the sons of disobedience" in Eph. 2:2). Whether or not this Qumran concept of angelic domination

¹²³ Tbid., XL, 77-78. On p. 80 Brown also notes that these titles of angelic forces, such as &exac and & §ouocac, are derived from Jewish angelology. Scott, XI, 146, contends that the controversial motive, i.e., to expose the futility of angel worship, evident in Colossians, is absent in Ephesians.

¹²⁴ For other Qumran references to time as determined by God's mysterious providence, cf. 1 QS 4:18-19 and 1 QpHab. 7:13.

is the same heresy Paul opposes in Ephesians and Colossians is impossible to determine for certain. However, the fact remains that there are affinities of terminology and thought. 125

Among other Qumran parallels we might point out 1 QH f. 3:7
which speaks of "the mysteries of God's good pleasure" (compare "the
mystery of His will," Eph. 1:9). And while Paul indicates that the
ultimate purpose of the revelation of the mystery is for the praise
of God's glory (Eph. 1:12,14), the psalmist says in 1 QH 13:13-14:
"And Thou hast (appointed) all these things in the mysteries of Thy
wisdom to make known Thy glory."

It is evident again that there was ample Semitic background for Paul's use of $M U \sigma \tau n' e co \nu$ and that "we need not seek a heathen origin for his use of it." 126

We now turn to the most extended treatment of the Pauline $M \cup \sigma \subset n' \in c \circ \nu$, that of Eph. 3, where the term occurs three times (verses 3, 4, and 9). Paul, describing himself as a prisoner of Christ for the Gentiles (compare Col. 1:24-25; 4:3), tells them:

Surely you have heard of the stewardship of the grace of God given to me for you, how the mystery was made known to me by revelation. (I have briefly written about this, and by reading it you may perceive my insight into the mystery of Christ.) In former times it was not made known to men as now it has been revealed to His holy apostles and prophets by the Spirit, namely, that the Gentiles have the same heritage, belong to the same body, and share in the same promise in Christ Jesus by means of the gospel of which I became a servant by virtue of the gracious gift of God given to me by the working of His power. To me, the very least of all the saints, this grace

¹²⁵ Cf. Brown, XL, 78-79; also Coppens, p. 150.

¹²⁶ Robinson, p. 31. On the other hand, for a view similar to Lightfoot's "intentional paradox" contention, cf. Francis Foulkes, The Epistle of Paul to the Ephesians in The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries,

was given to proclaim to the Gentiles the good news of the fathomless riches of Christ, and to bring to light what is the working out of the mystery which has been hidden for ages in the God who created all things, in order that now the multi-dimensional wisdom of God might be made known, through the church, to the rulers and the powers in the heavenly places, according to the eternal purpose which He has realized in Christ Jesus, our Lord (Eph. 3:2-11).

The content of the Must neces 127 is indicated in v. 6: Elvac to Ebun superior and kal sussessed in v. 6: Elvac to Ebun superior and kal sussessed in v. 6: Elvac to Ebun superior and kal summetoka to Ebun superior and inheritance on which they had no claim by birth, joint-members with the Jews in the Body of Christ, and joint-sharers in covenant promise made in Christ, from which they had once been excluded (compare 2:12). This aspect of the Pauline mystery, although clearly emphasized more in Ephesians, is certainly not "something entirely different" from earlier Pauline usage, as Mitton maintains 129 (compare Rom. 16:26 and Col. 1:25-27). Also this mystery of the inclusion of the Gentiles in God's plan of salvation and their incorporation into the church with equal standing

edited by R. V. G. Tasker (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1963) X, 51.

¹²⁷ The expression of v. 4, τῷ μυστηςίῳ τοῦ χειστοῦ appeared also in Col. 4:3.

¹²⁸ Note the emphatic position of the infinitive, which is epexegetic. The paronomasia (συγκληξονόμα, συσσωμα, συσσωμα, συσμαξε Χα), though hard to reproduce [James Moffatt, The New Testament (New York: George H. Doran Co., c.1922), p. 292, translates "co-heirs, companions, co-partners"], clearly emphasizes the idea of community of Gentile and Jew in the church.

¹²⁹ Mitton, p. 89. For the view that there is here a distinction, not a contradiction, cf. Foulkes, X, 92-93, Coppens, p. 151, and Bornkamm, IV, 820, n.145.

with the Jews is not divorced from the mystery of Eph. 1:9, that of gathering together all things in Christ. Bornkamm comments:

This joining of Jews and Gentiles in one body under the head Christ is a cosmic eschatological event. There takes place in it already the mystery of the comprehending of the whole created world in Christ, in whom the totality receives its head and sum. 130

Several additional terms and thoughts already familiar from other mystery texts reappear. Twice of koromid is used (for the usage in verse 2, compare Col. 1:25 and for that in verse 9, compare 1 Cor. 4:1 and Eph. 1:9). Paul again describes the mystery as once hidden (verse 9) (compare 1 Cor. 2:7 and Col. 1:26), but now revealed (verses 3, 5) (compare 1 Cor. 2:10; Rom. 16:25-26; Col. 1:26; Eph. 1:9). 131 This revelation once more is a gracious gift (Eph. 2:2,7,8; compare 1 Cor. 2:7; Rom. 16:25; Col. 1:27; Eph. 1:7), and it takes place $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{$

Besides the Semitic parallels to these familiar ideas, parallels we have already cited, we offer the following. In Eph. 3:4 Paul

¹³⁰ Tbid., Cf. also Beare, X, 668.

¹³¹ Brown's suggestion (cf. XL, 75, n.1) that perhaps the O.T. prophets could be meant in Eph. 3:5, that νῦν could govern the apostles and ἐν πνεύματι could refer to the manner of revelation to the O.T. prophets, seems forced. Likewise, Kathryn Sullivan, "The Mystery Revealed to Paul--Eph. 3:1-13," The Bible Today I (1963) 249, asks, "Might there not also be a reference to the Old Testament prophets?" and refers to Sir. 48:25-27.

speaks of his insight (σύνεσις) into the mystery. The Qumran writings also speak of insight into the mysteries (compare 1 QH 11:9,10; 12:20; 1QS 9:18; 11:18),132 In Eph. 3:6 the mystery includes the idea that the Gentiles share the same inheritance (JUJKAneovond). In 1 QS 11:8 God's marvelous mysteries, His wisdom, power, and glory, have been given to the Qumran community as an inheritance in the lot of the Holy Ones. Furthermore, Paul says in Eph. 3:9 that the mystery has been hidden for ages in the God who created all things. The thought that eschatological mysteries are already existent in heaven and need only to be revealed is not foreign to apocalyptic writings. En. 103:2 relates mysteries to heaverly tablets. En. 9:6 speaks of eternal secrets preserved in heaven. And in En. 48:6 the Son of Man is said to have been chosen and hidden before God before the creation of the world. 133 And finally, the idea that God's mysterious plan was formerly unknown to angelic powers (Eph. 3:10) is not foreign to the Jewish concept of divine mysteries. According to En. 16:3, not all the mysteries had been revealed to the argels before they fell from

¹³²In explaining the construction $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \sigma c_3 = v$ instead of a simple objective genitive, Kuhn calls attention to the fact that in Hebrew the verbs of revealing are usually constructed with $\mathcal{L} (= \hat{\varepsilon} v)$. Cf. Karl Georg Kuhn, "The Epistle to the Ephesians in the Light of the Qumran Texts," in Paul and Qumran, edited by Jerome Murphy-O'Connor (London: Geoffrey Chapman Ltd., c.1968), p. 118.

¹³³Cf. also En. 62:7. Bornkamm, IV, 816, concludes that mysteries in apocalyptic "are God's counsels destined finally to be disclosed. They are the final events and states which are already truly existent in heaven and may be seen there, and which will in the last days emerge from their concealment and become manifest events."

heaven. God alone knows all the eschatological mysteries and reveals them to few (cf. 2 Bar. 48:2,3; 54:1).134

In Eph. 5:32 we come to a unique usage of the Pauline

MUTTNECOV. It follows immediately the quotation of Gen.

2:24:

"For this reason a man shall leave his father and mother and shall be joined to his wife, and the two shall become one body." This mystery is of great significance, and I for my part take it to refer to Christ and the church. But, in any case, so far as you are concerned, each one of you must love his wife as himself, and the wife must respect her husband (Eph. 5:31-33).

What is the Mustinecov here? While some take it to refer to the institution of marriage itself, 135 the context seems to support the view that the mystery refers to the Old Testament text. 136 Brown sums up Paul's line of thought this way:

The text of Gen. that Paul has just quoted fits the argument that he is presenting: a man should love his wife as he loves his own flesh--Why?--because the two are one flesh according to the Scriptures. Now he had already introduced a second simile into his argument: a man should love his wife as Christ loves the Church--Why?--because the Church is Christ's body

¹³⁴ Brown, XL, 79. Brown believes that his cosmic, eschatological interpretation of Ephesians 1 greatly clarifies Eph. 3:10. He comments: "The angelic powers see now that their authority is ended and that according to God's plan, all things are subject to Christ. The establishment of the Church, which is the working out of the divine mystery, is the tangible evidence of this." Cf. ibid., XL, 77.

¹³⁵ Cf. Robinson, p. 239; Beare, X, 726; Ellicott, p. 139; Brook F. Westcott, Saint Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1906), p. 86. Scott, 242-243, also seems to favor this view.

¹³⁶Bornkamm, IV, 823, states: "Since an exhortatory conclusion regarding marital life is drawn from the text and its exposition, MUSTINELOW refers to the text and not to the institution of marriage itself." Cf. also von Soden, XII, 194.

just as a man's wife is his flesh. With this second simile in mind, as Paul quotes Gen., he comments that, besides the obvious meaning, he sees a deeper, hidden meaning in the Gen. passage which refers to the relation between Christ and the Church. However, he does not wish to dwell on this second simile, and so he closes his aside and gets back to the main point. 137

We understand the mystery here, then, to be a text of Scripture which contains an additional hidden meaning not at first obvious. 138

This use of Morthecov is unique in Paul's writings, 139 and, in fact, in the entire New Testament. 140 If it is with the Christological and ecclesiological import of Morthecov in mind that Paul here calls the hidden reference to Christ and the church in the Genesis passage a mystery, 141 then this usage, although still unique, is certainly not unrelated to the Pauline concept elsewhere. Borrkamm's caution seems in order:

One should not overlook the link with the other Mustinecov verses in Eph. Eph. 5:32 is valid because the eschatological

 $¹³⁷_{\rm Brown}$, XL, 83. Blass and Debrunner, p. 234, indicate that $\pi \wedge \pi \vee ({\rm Eph. 5:33})$ serves the function of concluding the discussion and emphasizing what is essential.

¹³⁸ Bornkamm, IV, 823, puts it this way: "The MUGTNECOV is thus the allegorical meaning of the O.T., saying, its mysteriously concealed prophecy of the relation of Christ to the EKKAMGCO."

¹³⁹ It is precisely because of the uniqueness of this usage of $\mu\nu\sigma\tau$ $\dot{n}eco\nu$ that Ellicott, p. 139, rejects the interpretation that the term here refers to the Scriptural text.

¹⁴⁰ Edwin Hatch, Essays in Biblical Greek (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1889), p. 61, feels, on the other hand, that there is similar usage in Rev. 1:20 and 17:7. However, as Brown notes, XL, 83, n. 3, there the mystery has to do with strange symbolic visions which have no meaning beyond what they symbolize, while in Eph. 5:32 it refers to a relatively clear Scripture passage which has additional meaning.

¹⁴¹ This seems to me to be a strong probability, although the possibility that the term may be used of any deeper meaning of an 0.T. passage, must be recognized. Cf. Brown, XL, 83-84.

mystery of Christ and the Church is mysteriously pre-figured in Gn. 2:21. The interpretation introduced by $\dot{\epsilon}_F\dot{\omega}$ $\dot{\epsilon}_F\dot{\omega}$ is in express opposition to other interpretations which also find a μ ν σ τ $\dot{\tau}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ c c ν in the text but differ from Eph. in exposition.

Although we can find no exact parallel in the Jewish background to this use of Murriecov, yet this use of the term seemingly would not have been foreign to the Semitic view of mystery. The Jews believed that deep and hidden meaning could be found in Old Testament passages. Philo, for example, allegorized a good deal in order to derive the mysteries hidden in Scripture. And in 1 QpHab. 7:1-5 the belief is expressed that mysteries underlie the words of Old Testament prophets, the meaning of which God reveals to the Teacher of Righteousness. 143

Finally, we turn to one more appearance of Mustiecov in Ephesians, this one in 6:19. Paul urges his fellow Christians to pray "for me, that I might be given a message when I open my mouth, 144 and might freely and boldly make known the mystery of the gospel, for which I am an ambassador in chains. . . . " (6:19,20). Note the close resemblance to Col. 4:3.145 Here in Eph. 6:19 instead

¹⁴² Bornkamm. IV. 823.

¹⁴³ However, Brown, XL, 84, calls attention to the fact that "the Qumran search for such mysteries in a line by line pešer of the prophets, adapting every line to its own situation, is not at all the same as Paul's exegesis."

Although Abbott, p. 189, insists that Ev avoiger too oromato's Mov be taken to mean "the opening of the mouth by God" rather than "when I open my mouth," we agree with Westcott, p. 98, that in either case the phrase marks some weighty, solemn deliverance.

¹⁴⁵ Supra, p. 106.

of to mustine cov to 0 Xecoto 0 we have to mustine cov to 0 E 0 27 E 1 (00, 146 an expression that occurs only here. 147 Actually the two phrases, to 0 Xecoto 0 and to 0 E 0 27 E 1 (00, are something like two sides of the same "mystery-coin." For it is the gospel that proclaims the mystery which is salvation for all in Christ. 148 Again, we note how Paul, far from enjoining secrecy with respect to the mystery, urgently seeks to proclaim it freely and boldly—an emphasis much more akin to the Semitic usage of mystery than that of the mystery cults.

We now turn to two final occurrences of Murthecov in Paul's letters, both in 1 Timothy 3. In mentioning qualifications of the deacons, Paul says in verse 9 that they should "hold the mystery of the faith (to Murthecov the cov the missing misses)

However, the preponderant evidence seems to favor the text. $\tau \circ \vartheta$ evaluation of the text. $\tau \circ \vartheta$ evaluation is probably subjective genitive, i.e., "the gospel which announces the mystery." Cf. Brown, XL, 82, n. 1, and Ellicott, p. 158. F. F. Bruce, The Epistle to the Ephesians (London: Pickering and Inglis LTD, 1961), p. 133, simply says that "the mystery is the gospel." At least, in a way the gospel is interchangeable with the mystery. For "the mystery in itself signifies the hidden nature of the divine plan; the gospel is the external manifestation of that plan to the people affected by it." Cf. Brown, XL, 82, n. 2. Cerfaux, p. 403, likewise comments: "As far as its content is concerned the mystery is no different from the gospel; the gospel is made known $(\gamma \vee \omega \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E})$ as the mystery is made known; we have a share in the gospel (Eph. 3:6) as we have a share in the mystery (Col. 1:27). . . . The same Christ or the same salvation through Christ is preached—it is the good news, and at the same time it is the mystery if one considers the profound wisdom of the divine plan which is shown to us."

¹⁴⁷ Yet not a surprising expression. Cf. Rom. 16:25 where kata to suagranto parallels kata amokahuwu Muotnecov.

¹⁴⁸ Brown, XL, 82.

with a clear conscience." A little later the apostle tells Timothy that he was writing this so that he (Timothy) would know how one should conduct himself in God's household, which is the church of the living God, the pillar and bulwark of the truth. Then Paul adds:

And without question great is the mystery of our religion (zo this everseing Muorimecov):

He who was manifested in the flesh,

Was vindicated in the spirit,

Was seen by angels,

Was proclaimed among the Gentiles,

Was believed in throughout the world,

Was taken up in glory (3:16).

¹⁴⁹ Ibid., XL, 85.

¹⁵⁰Brown then takes "faith" subjectively, as does A. R. C. Leaney,
The Epistles to Timothy, Titus and Philemon (London: SCM Press LTD, c.
1960), p. 57, who says, "The Christian mystery is the revelation of God in Christ open only to the eye of faith. . . . " So also Charles J. Ellicott,
A Critical and Grammatical Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles (London: Parker, Son, and Bourn, West Strand, 1861), p. 46, who takes misses as a pure possessive genitive. On the other hand, some maintain that
misses here is objective, i.e., the Christian faith regarded as an objective body of teaching (cf. 4:1,6; 5:8; 6:10). Cf. John N. D. Kelly,
A Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles (London: Adam and Charles Black, c.
1963), p. 82, and Burton S. Easton, The Pastoral Epistles (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1947), p. 132.

¹⁵¹Walter Lock, A Critical and Exceptical Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles, in The International Critical Commentary, edited by S. R. Driver, A. Plummer, and C. A. Briggs (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1924), p. 44, believes that this "phrase is perhaps a deliberate contrast to To Mustine Court as allowed the Court as allowed the Court as a contrast to To Mustine Court as allowed the Court as a contrast to To Mustine Court as a contrast to To Mustine Court as a contrast to To Mustine Court as a contrast and Critical Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles, in The International Critical Commentary, edited by S. R. Driver, A. Plummer, and C. A. Briggs (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1924), p. 44, believes that this "phrase is perhaps a deliberate contrast to To Mustine Court and Court as a contrast and Critical Commentary, edited by S. R.

adherence." It might be, however, that Paul's use of Mustine cov here in 1 Timothy 3 is completely formal and that to Mustine cov this means simply "faith" and to the educations Mustine cov simply "religion." Bornkamm remarks:

Some conclude from this formal use of $m \nu \sigma \tau n'e co \nu$ that the term here "has lost the characteristically Pauline sense, and either denotes what transcends ordinary comprehension or has become a mere piece of heavy theological jargon." The context, however, clearly negates this assumption. Robinson, for example, points out that $\tau \circ m \nu \sigma \tau n'e co \nu \tau n_s m c \sigma \tau e \omega s$ (3:9) cannot refer to some deep, secret doctrine that can only be understood by the spiritually elite:

¹⁵² Arndt and Gingrich, p. 532, maintain that 1 Timothy uses Muotinecov as a formula.

¹⁵³ Bornkamm, IV, 822. Cf. also von Soden, XII, pp. 194-195.

¹⁵⁴Cf. Kelly, p. 82, who notes that it is especially those who question the authenticity of the Pastorals who contend that the usage here is out of character with the Pauline mystery. E. F. Scott, The Pastoral Epistles, in The Moffatt New Testament Commentary (New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, n.d.) XII, 36, holds that this pastoral mystery "becomes almost conventional; it is assumed that although men cannot understand the gospel they must accept it with implicit faith as given by God." Likewise, Fred D. Gealy, The First and Second Epistles to Timothy and the Epistle to Titus, in The Interpreter's Bible, edited by George A. Buttrick (New York: Abingdon Press, 1955), XI, 417, suggests that mystery here "has become merely a technical term, suggesting not that the Christian faith is a revelation to be marvelled at, but that it is a body of revealed doctrine to be accepted unfeignedly. . . ."

It is not required of him (a deacon), as of the bishop, that he should be \$cfaktckof. Hence no secret lore can be meant: he is not the depository of a secret tradition, as the words might have seemed to imply had they been spoken of the bishop. The phrase in its context can only refer to such elementary and fundamental knowledge as any servant of the Church must necessarily have. 155

But it is especially the Christological hymn (3:16), 156 which brilliantly illuminates the content of the this second content of the this mystery is. 157 The mystery is nothing less than Christ Himself

¹⁵⁵Robinson, p. 239. Robinson also notes that the epithet "great" which is applied to "the mystery of our religion" (3:16), as in Eph. 5:32, refers to the importance, not to the obscurity of the mystery.

¹⁵⁶ Lock, pp. 44-45, believes that it is more probable that this is a quotation rather than the writer's own words, because of the introductory Emologounerus (contrast Eph. 5:32) and the rhythmical form of the diction, as well as for less compelling reasons. The antithetical parallelism and the deliberate assonance of the six third person singular passive agrist verbs also seem to indicate this is indeed from an early Christian hymn. The apparently fragmentary nature of the quotation -- a complex relative clause without a principal clause-has resulted in attempts to emend the text so as to produce a smooth-flowing sentence. Thus Western witnesses (061 D* lat) have & for os and thus link the clause with Muor necov. A number of later MSS. (A2PWRpl) read $\theta \in o's$, likewise possibly an attempt to remove the superficial disjointedness of the text, or perhaps a natural corruption (OC, contracted in uncials) of OG On the other hard, the text (85) has the best MSS. support (6 A*G), and, as the hardest reading, is to be preferred.

¹⁵⁷ Coppens, p. 155, n. 58, states: "The mystery is described in 1 Timothy 3:16 in such a way as to resume the principle aspects that the Pauline literature has revealed to us."

(compare Col. 1:27; 2:2). 158 It is the incarnate Christ 159 (ἐφανεεώθη ἐν σαεκί) (compare references to the putting into effect of God's eternal redemptive plan in Christ-Eph. 1:10; 3:9,11). The second strophe, ἐξικαιώθη ἐν πνεύματι, fails to recall any specific aspect of the Pauline mystery elsewhere, although it certainly is not contrary to Paul's view of Christ (compare Rom. 1:4). 160 But that Christ was seen by angels (ἀφθη ἀγγέλοις) is not too far from Paul's thought in Eph. 3:10 where the mystery, once hidden, now has been made known to angelic powers. 161 Furthermore, this mystery of Christ has been proclaimed among the Gentiles (ἐκπεύλθη ἐν ἐθνεσιν), 162 an emphasis that we have observed several

¹⁵⁸Cf. Ibid., p. 44. Also Kelly, pp. 89-90. von Soden, XII, 195, says: "Aus ihn ergibt sich Christus als der Inhalt des Must necessarie cor."

¹⁵⁹H. Armin Moellering, <u>I Timothy, II Timothy, Titus</u>, in the <u>Concordia Commentary</u>, edited by Walter J. Partling and Albert E. Glock (St. Luuis: Concordia Publishing House, c.1970), p. 80, contends that the theme of this hymn is the incarnation.

¹⁶⁰For various interpretations of the meaning of this phrase, cf. Kelly, pp. 90-91; Moellering, pp. 79-80; Lock, pp. 45-46; Gealy, XI, 423; C. K. Earrett, <u>The Pastoral Epistles</u> (Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1963), p. 65; and Cerfaux, pp. 372-373.

¹⁶¹ Cf. supra, p. 114. For other illuminating parallels, cf. Phil. 2:9-11; Col. 2:15; 1 Peter 3:22; and Heb. 1:6. Cerfaux, pp. 373-374, cites what he believes is an even closer parallel, which links the manifestation to the angels and the preaching to the Gentiles, namely, the Ascension of Isaiah 11:22-26: "And when he sent his twelve disciples and he went up, I beheld. I beheld him, and he was in the vault of heaven. He had not taken on their form, but all the angels of the firmament and Satan saw him and adored. And there was great sadness and they said: How is it that the Lord has come down upon us and we have not recognized the glory (which clothed him). . ?" Cf. 1 Cor. 2:6-8.

¹⁶² Although $\mathcal{E}\theta\nu n$ could mean simply "nations," i.e., markind, we prefer the rendering "Gentiles." Perhaps there is an allusion to the work of Paul (cf. 1 Tim. 2:7, where Paul describes himself as a $\kappa n e \nu \xi$. . . $\mathcal{E}\theta\nu \partial\nu$).

times (compare Rom. 16:25-26; Col. 1:27; Eph. 3:6-9). And this mystery of Christ has been believed in throughout the world ($\mathcal{E}\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \theta \pi$) $\mathcal{E}\nu \ \kappa \circ \sigma \mu \psi$) (compare Rom. 16:26, where the mystery is made known to all the Gentiles to bring them to the obedience of faith). Finally, Christ is taken up in glory ($\partial \nu \varepsilon \lambda \pi \mu \phi \theta \pi \ \mathcal{E}\nu \ \mathcal{E}\circ \mathcal{E}\eta$) in which He remains and which He communicates to men (compare Eph. 1:10,12,14; Col. 1:27; 1 Cor. 2:7).

Brown concludes: "Thus the mystery of religion, as unfolded in six parallel statements, sums up admirably all the aspects of the Pauline mysterion already seen in the other epistles." There are, at any rate, many aspects of the Pauline Must recov captured here in 1 Tim. 3:16. And certainly the eschatological character of this mystery, 165 its culmination in the historical Christ, its universal revelation, and its relation to the spiritual life 166 all seem clearly more akin to the Semitic background of mystery than to mystery concepts of the mystery religions.

¹⁶³It is interesting to note that in Col. 1:6,23 it is the Gospel that is proclaimed in all the world and bears fruit throughout the world. The Gospel and the mystery are closely associated by Paul in Rom. 16:25; Eph. 3:6-10; and Eph. 6:19.

¹⁶⁴Brown, XL, 86. Brown also suggests that it is the idea of the church that brings on Paul's exclamation of the greatness of the mystery (3:16) and that this very church "in Pauline thought is the tangible evidence of the realization of the mystery: the union of Jews and Gentiles in Christ's body." Cf. Eph. 3:6,10.

¹⁶⁵ von Soden, XII, 195, not only points to the hymn in 3:16 but also to the borceou Kaceoù of 4:1.

¹⁶⁶ Note that in 1 Tim. 3:9 the mystery of faith is to be kept εν καθαελ συνειδήσει (cf. 1:5,19). And in 3:16 εδσεία, in view of the immediate context, as well as its use in 2:2 and 4:7,8 (cf. 2 Tim. 3:12), seems to stress the aspect of piety or godliness. Cf. Lock, p. 44. Also Alfred Plummer, The

In addition, the vocabulary of 1 Tim. 3:9,16 may find some parallels in Semitic literature. For example, Paul's exclamation of the greatness of the mystery (3:16) recalls "the marvellous mysteries of God," a phrase frequently found in the Qumran writings. And Sir. 43:32 speaks of "many mysteries greater than these." Finally, Brown calls attention to a possible parallel to "the mystery of faith" (3:9) and "the mystery of religion" (3:16) in En. 58:5 where "the heritage of faith" is in apposition to "the secrets of right-eousness." 167

Pastoral Epistles (New York: A. C. Armstrong and Son, 1900), p. 133; Moellering, p. 79; and Scott, XII, 40.

^{167&}lt;sub>Brown</sub>, XL, 86.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY OF THE ORIGIN OF THE PAULINE MYSTERION

We are now ready to summarize the findings of our study in the origin of the Pauline use of $M \cup \sigma \cup \sigma' \in cov$. In considering the contention that the source of Paul's use of $M \cup \sigma \cup \sigma' \in cov$ is Hellenistic, borrowed probably from the mystery cults, we discovered that there are definitive differences between mystery cult concepts and the Christian mystery and that the linguistic evidence, far from supporting a free-wheeling borrowing of terminology from the mystery religions, points toward an almost deliberate avoidance of such terms. Furthermore, we noted that Paul's own thoroughly Jewish training and orientation makes it highly improbable that he got his mystery vocabulary or thought from Hellenistic sources. 3

Next we investigated the Semitic background of Mustinecov and found that the concept of Mustinecov was quite popular in Jewish writings (the LXX, especially Daniel 2;4 the Pseudepigrapha;5

¹ Supra, pp. 21-26.

^{2&}lt;u>Supra</u>, pp. 26-27.

^{3&}lt;u>Supra</u>, pp. 18-19. G. Bornkamm, "Mustriecov, Muew," in <u>Theological Dictionary of the New Testament</u>, translated and edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, c.1967), IV, 824, concludes that the N. T. Mustriecov "betrays no relation to the mystery cults. . . . In spite of certain analogies, there are thus serious objections against bringing Jesus or Paul under the category of the mystagogue."

⁴Supra, pp. 37-47.

⁵Supra, pp. 48-55.

and the Qumran literature⁶) and had a considerable variety of meaning. Certainly there seems to be sufficient raw material here, particularly in the later Jewish apocalyptic, from which Paul could have derived his various usages of Mustale Cov.

Then we focused attention on the extra-Pauline occurrences of $M \cup \sigma \tau \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} e \cdot c \circ V$ in the New Testament (the Synoptics and the Apocalypse). There we saw that there are numerous thought and language parallels in Semitic writings to these New Testament mystery texts.

Finally, in an examination of the twenty-one occurrences of Muorinecov in Paul's own writings we discovered that his varied use of the term likewise finds frequent parallels, both verbal and conceptual, to the term in Semitic writings.8

We agree with Brown, then, when he concludes:

We believe it no exaggeration to say that, considering the variety and currency of the concept of divine mysteries in Jewish thought, Paul and the N.T. writers could have written everything they did about mysterion if there had never been pagan mystery religions. "Mystery" was a part of the native theological equipment of the Jews who came to Christ.9

Without claiming to have found the exact source of any specific usage of $MU\sigma\tau\eta_{eco\nu}$ in Paul's writings, we do believe that the origin of the Pauline $MU\sigma\tau\eta_{eco\nu}$ most probably is to be found in Semitic thought, especially Daniel and other apocalyptic

⁶Supra, pp. 48-55.

⁷Supra. pp. 67-74.

^{8&}lt;sub>Supra</sub>, pp. 75-124.

⁹Raymond E. Brown, "The Semitic Background of the New Testament Mysterion (II)," Biblica, XL (January 1959), 87.

literature, as well as the Qumran writings. At the same time we are not unaware that God's own creative, inspiring Spirit accounts for the full significance this concept has in Paul's writings. 10

or the Ser feeteness and Them harty Carlotte Landson

Course William Ton Control Con

¹¹Cf. James S. Stewart, A Man In Christ (New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, n.d.) p. 75, who suggests that viewing Paul as a mere borrower from his environment fails to give the Holy Spirit, who is always a creative Spirit, His due. Similarly, Joachim Jeremias, "The Key to Pauline Theology," The Expository Times, LXXVI (Oct. 1964—Sept. 1965), 27-30, contends that the key to Pauline theology is not Tarsus (Hellenistic influence) nor Jerusalem (Jewish influence) but Damascus (his conversion experience).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abbott, T. K. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistles to the Ephesians and to the Colossians, in The International Critical Commentary. Edited by S. R. Driver, A. Plummer, and C. A. Briggs. Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1897.
- Acta Johannis, in Acta Apostolorum Apocrypha. Edited by M. Bonnet. Hildesheim: Georg Olms Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1959.
- Angus, S. The Mystery-Religions and Christianity. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1925.
- Anrich, Gustav. Das antike Mysterienwesen in seinem Einfluss auf das Christentum. Göttirgen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1894.
- Apuleius, Lucius. The Golden Ass. Translated by W. Addington. New York: The Modern Library, n.d.
- Aristophanes, in The Loeb Classical Library. Vol. II. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1937.
- Armstrong, A. H. "Mystery and Mysteries," The Downside Review, LXXX (July 1962), 214-225.
- Arndt, William F. The Gospel According to St. Luke. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1956.
- Arndt, William F. and F. Wilbur Gingrich. A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1957.
- Athenaeus, in The Loeb Classical Library. Vol. I. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1927.
- Baird, William. "Among the Mature," <u>Interpretation</u>, XIII (October 1959), 425-432.
- Barrett, C. K. A Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans. London: Adam and Charles Black, 1957.
- ----. The Pastoral Epistles. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1963.
- Barth, Karl. The Epistle to the Romans. Translated by Edwyn C. Hoskyns. London: Oxford University Press, 1933.
- Barthelemy, D. and J. T. Milik. <u>Discoveries in the Judaean Desert:</u>
 Qumran Cave I. Vol. I. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1955.

- Bartling, Victor A. "The Church in God's Eternal Plan," Concordia Theological Monthly, XXXVI (1965), 198-204.
- Beare, Francis, W. The Epistle to the Ephesians. Vol. X in The Interpreter's Bible. Edited by George A. Buttrick. New York:
 Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, 1953.
- Bevan, Edwyn R. "Mystery Religions and Christianity." <u>Contemporary</u>
 <u>Thinking About Paul, An Anthology</u>. Compiled by Thomas S. Kepler.
 New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury, 1950. Pp. 42-48.
- Blass, F. and A. Debrunner. A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature. Translated and revised by Robert W. Furk. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1961.
- Bogdasavich, R. "The Idea of Pleroma in the Epistles to the Colossians and Ephesians," The Downside Review, LXXXIII (April 1965), 118-130.
- Bornkamm, G. "MUTTNECOV, MUEW." Theological Dictionary of the New Testament. IV. Translated and edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1967. Pp. 802-828.
- Bouttier, M. "Mystery." A Companion to the Bible. Edited by J.-J. Von Allmen. New York: Oxford University Press, 1958. Pp. 276-278.
- Bouyer, Louis. Rite and Man. Translated by M. Joseph Costelloe. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 1963.
- Bouyer, Fr. "Mysterion." Mystery and Mysticism. New York: Philadelphia Library, 1956.
- Brown, F., S. R. Driver and C. A. Briggs. A Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1959.
- Brown, Raymond E. "The Pre-Christian Semitic Concept of Mystery,"

 The Catholic Biblical Quarterly, XX (1958), 417-443.
- ----. "The Semitic Background of the New Testament Mysterion (I),"
 Biblica, XXXIX (April 1958), 426-448.
- ----. "The Semitic Background of the New Testament Mysterion (II)," Biblica, XL (January 1959), 70-87.
- Bruce, F. F. The Epistle of Paul to the Romans. Vol. VI in The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries. Edited by R. V. G. Tasker. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1963.

- ----. The Epistle to the Ephesians. London: Pickering and Inglis LTD, 1961.
- Case, Shirley Jackson. Experience with the Supernatural in Early Christian Times. New York: The Century Company, 1929.
- Cerfaux, L. Christ in the Theology of St. Paul. Translated by Geoffrey Webb and Adrian Walker. New York: Herder and Herder, 1959.
- Chadwick, H. "All Things to All Men," New Testament Studies, I (1954-1955), 61-75.
- Charles, R. H. The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament. 2 vols. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1913.
- Cheetham, Samuel. The Mysteries Pagan and Christian. London: Macmillan and Company, 1897.
- Cicero, in The Loeb Classical Library. Vol. I. Edited by E. Capps,
 T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1912.
- Clemen, Carl. <u>Primitive Christianity and its Non-Jewish Sources</u>.

 Translated by Robert G. Nisbet. Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1912.
- ---- Der Einfluss der Mysterienreligionen auf das älteste Christentum. Gieszen: Verlag von Alfred Topelmann, 1913.
- Coppens, Joseph. "'Mystery' in the Theology of Saint Paul and its Parallels at Qumran." Paul and Qumran. Edited by Jerome Murphy-O'Connor. London: Geoffrey Chapman Ltd., 1968. Pp. 132-158.
- Craig, Clarence T. The First Epistle to the Corinthians. Vol. X in The Interpreter's Bible. Edited by George A. Buttrick. New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, 1953.
- Cross, Frank M. The Ancient Library of Qumran and Modern Biblical Studies. New York: Doubleday and Company, Inc., 1958.
- Cumont, Franz. The Oriental Religions in Roman Paganism. Chicago: The Open Court Publishing Company, 1911.
- Davies, W. D. Paul and Rabbinic Judaism. London: SPCK, 1948
- Diodorus of Sicily, in The Loeb Classical Library. Vol. III. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1939.
- Dittenberger, W., editor. Sylloge Inscriptionum Graecarum. Vol. II. Tertium Edita. Lipsiae: Apud S. Hirzelium, 1917.
- Eadie, John. A Commentary on the Greek Text of the Epistle of Paul to the Colossians. Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1884.

- Easton, Burton S. The Pastoral Epistles. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1947.
- Ellicott, Charles J. A Critical and Grammatical Commentary on the

 Pastoral Epistles. London: Parker, Son, and Bourne, West Strand,
 1861.
- Green, and Co., 1887.
- Faulkner, John A. "Did Mystery Religions Influence Apostolic Christianity?," The Methodist Quarterly Review, LXXIII (July 1924), 387-403.
- Findlay, G. G. The Epistle to the Ephesians. Vol. XL in The Expositor's Bible. Edited by W. Robertson Nicoll. New York: A. C. Armstrong and Son, 1903.
- Firmicus, Maternus Julius. <u>De Errore Profanorum Religionem</u>. Edited by Konrat Ziegler. Lipsiae: In Aedibus B. G. Teubneri, 1907.
- Foulkes, Francis. The Epistle of Paul to the Ephesians. Vol. X in The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries. Edited by R. V. G. Tasker. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1963.
- Frame, James E. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistles of St. Paul to the Thessalonians, in The International Critical Commentary. Edited by Charles A. Briggs, Samuel R. Driver and Alfred Plummer. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1912.
- Franzmann, Martin H. Follow Me: Discipleship According to Saint Matthew. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1961.
- Bartling and Albert E. Glock. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1968.
- Furley, Paul H. "The Mystery of Lawlessness," The Catholic Biblical Quarterly, VIII (April 1946), 184-191.
- Gardner, Percy. The Religious Experience of Saint Paul. New York:
 B. P. Putnam's Sons, 1913.
- Gealy, Fred D. The First and Second Epistles to Timothy and the Epistle
 to Titus. Vol. XL in The Interpreter's Bible. Edited by George
 A. Buttrick. New York: Abingdon Press, 1955.
- Glover, T. R. Paul of Tarsus. New York: George H. Doran Company, 1925.

- Godet, F. Commentary on St. Paul's First Epistle to the Corinthians.

 Vols. I and II in Clark's Foreign Theological Library. Edinburgh:
 T. and T. Clark, 1889.
- by A. Cusin. New York: Funk and Wagnalls Company, 1883.
- Goodenough, E. R. By Light, Light: The Mystic Gospel of Hellenistic Judaism. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1935.
- ----. "Wolfson's Philo," Journal of Biblical Literature, LXVII (1948), 87-109.
- Good News for Modern Man. New York: American Bible Society, 1966.
- Gore, Charles. The Holy Spirit and the Church. London: John Murry, 1924.
- Grant, Frederick C., editor. Hellenistic Religions. New York: The Liberal Arts Press, 1953.
- Grosheide, F. W. Commentary on the First Epistle to the Corinthians, in The New International Commentary on the New Testament. Edited by Ned E. Stonehouse. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdman's Publishing Company, 1953.
- Hamann, Henry P. Justification by Faith in Modern Theology. St. Louis: School for Graduate Studies, Concordia Seminary, 1957.
- Harrison, Jane. <u>Prolegomena to the Study of Greek Religion</u>. New York: Meridian Books, 1955.
- Hatch, Edwin, and Henry Redpath. A Concordance to the Septuagint. Vol. II. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1897.
- Hatch, Edwin. Essays in Biblical Greek. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1889.
- Herodotus, in The Loeb Classical Library. Vol. I. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D.Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1931.
- Hesiod, The Homeric Hymns, and Homerica, in The Loeb Classical
 Library. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse.
 London: William Heinemann, 1936.
- Hippolytus. The Refutation of All Heresies. Translated by J. H. MacMahon. Vol. VI in the Ante-Nicene Christian Library. Edited by Alexander Roberts and James Donaldson. Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1868.

- Hodge, Charles. An Exposition of the First Epistle to the Corinthians.

 New York: Hodder and Stoughton, 1857.
- Holmes, Samuel. The Wisdom of Solomon. Vol. I in The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament. Edited by R. H. Charles. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1913.
- Hyde, Walter W. Paganism to Christianity in the Roman Empire.
 Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press. 1946.
- Jeremias, Joachim. "The Key to Pauline Theology," The Expository Times, LXXVI (October 1964-September 1965), 27-30.
- ---- The Parables of Jesus. Translated by S. H. Hooke. London: SCM Press, 1954.
- Johnson, Jr., S. Lewis. "Studies in the Epistle to the Colossians: V. The Minister of the Mystery," <u>Bibliotheca Sacra</u>, CIXX (1962), 227-237.
- Johnstone, L. "The Mystery of Marriage," Scripture, XI (1959), 1-6.
- Kelly, John V. D. A Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles. London:
 Adam and Charles Black, 1963.
- Kennedy, H. A. A. St. Paul and the Mystery-Religions. New York:
 Hodder and Stoughton, n.d.
- Knox, Wilfred L. St. Paul and the Church of the Gentiles. Cambridge: The University Press. 1939.
- Kraeling, Carl H. "Reitzenstein and the Mystery Religions," The Lutheran Church Quarterly, I (April 1928), 173-177.
- Kranz, Walter, editor. <u>Vorsokratische Denker</u>. Berlin: Weidmannsche Verlagsbuchhandlung. 1949.
- Kuhn, Karl G. Konkordanz Zu Den Qumrantexten. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Rupprecht, 1960.
- Texts," in Paul and Qumran. Edited by Jerome Murphy-0'Connor. London: Geoffrey Chapman Ltd., 1968. Pp. 115-131.
- Lake, Kirsepp. The Earlier Epistles of St. Paul. London: Rivingtons, 1911.
- La Piana, George. "Foreign Groups in Rome During the First Centuries of the Empire," <u>Harvard Theological Review</u>, XX (October 1927), 183-203.

- Leaney, A. R. C. The Epistles to Timothy, Titus and Philemon. London: SCM Press LTD, 1960.
- Lee, Kenneth E. A Study in Romans. London: SPCK, 1962.
- Lidzbarski, Mark, translator. Ginza. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck and Rupprecht. 1925.
- Lightfoot, J. B. Notes on the Epistles of St. Paul, in Classic Commentary Library. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1957.
- London: Macmillan and Company, 1879.
- Livius, Titus. <u>Historiarum</u>. Tomus III. Ex recensione A. Drakenborchii. Oxonii: J. Parker et G. B. Whittaker, 1825.
- Lobeck, C. A. Aglaophamus. Regimontii Prussorum: Sumtibus Fratrum Borntraeger, 1829.
- Lock, Walter. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles, in The International Critical Commentary. Edited by S. R. Driver, A. Plummer and C. A. Briggs. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1924.
- Lohse, Eduard. Die Texte aus Qumran. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftlich Buchgesellschaft, 1964.
- Loisy, Alfred. "The Christian Mystery," The Hibbert Journal, X (1911-1912), 45-64.
- by J. T. Mueller. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1954.
- Lüthi, Walter. The Letter to the Romans. Translated by Kurt Schoenenberger. Richmond: John Knox Press, 1961.
- Macchioro, Vittorio D. From Orpheus to Paul. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1930.
- MacGregor, G. H. C. <u>Eucharistic Origins</u>. London: James Clarke and Company, Limited, 1928.
- Machen, John G. The Origin of Paul's Religion. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1921.
- McCown, Chester C. "The Sources of Pauline Mysticism." Contemporary
 Thinking About Paul, an Anthology, compiled by Thomas S. Kepler.

 New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, 1950. Pp. 114-121.

- Marsh, H. G. The Origin and Significance of the New Testament

 Baptism. Manchester: The Manchester University Press, 1941.
- Mead, G. R. S., editor. <u>Pistis Sophia</u>. Revised edition. London: John M. Watkins, 1963.
- Menander, in The Loeb Classical Library. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1921.
- Metzger, Bruce M. "Considerations of Methodology in the Study of the Mystery Religions and Early Christianity," <u>Harvard Theological Review</u>, XLVIII (January 1955), 1-20.
- Mitton, Leslie C. The Epistle to the Ephesians. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1951.
- Moellering, H. Armin. <u>I Timothy, II Timothy, Titus</u>, in the <u>Concordia</u>

 <u>Commentary</u>. Edited by Walter J. Bartling and Albert E. Glock.

 St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1970.
- Moffatt, James. The First Epistle of Paul to the Corinthians. Vol. VII in The Moffatt New Testament Commentary. New York: Harper and Brothers, n.d.
- ----. The New Testament. New York: George H. Doran Co., 1922.
- Mohrmann, Christine. "Linguistic Problems in the Early Christian Church," <u>Vigiliae Christianae</u>, XI (January 1957), 11-36.
- Moran, John W. "St. Paul and the Mystery Religions," The American Ecclesiastical Review, CXXII (June 1950), 419-429.
- Morris, Leon. The First and Second Epistles to the Thessalonians. Vol. VII in The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries. Edited by R. V. G. Tasker. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1959.
- in The First Epistle of Paul to the Corinthians. Vol. XIII in The Tyndale New Testament Commentaries. Edited by R. V. G. Tasker. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1958.
- Moule, Handley C. G. The Epistle of St. Paul to the Romans, in The Expositor's Bible. Edited by W. Robertson Nicoll. New York: Hodder and Stoughton, n.d.
- Moulton, J. H., and G. Milligan. The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament. London: Hodder and Stoughton, Limited, 1952.
- Murray, John. The Epistle to the Romans. Vol. II in The New International Commentary on the New Testament. Edited by F. F. Bruce. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1965.

- Mussner, Franz. "Contributions Made by Qumran to the Understanding of the Epistle to the Ephesians," in <u>Paul and Qumran</u>. Edited by Jerome Murphy-O'Connor. London: Geoffrey Chapman Ltd., 1968. Pp. 159-178.
- Myers, Jacob M. and Edwin D. Freed. "Is Paul Also Among the Prophets?,"

 <u>Interpretation</u>, XX (January 1966), 40-53.
- Nestle, Erwin and Kurt Aland, editors. Novum Testamentum Graece. 24 Auflage. Stuttgart: Privileg. Württ. Bibelanstalt, 1960.
- Nock, A. D. "A Note on the Resurrection," in Essays on the Trinity and Incarnation. Edited by A. E. F. Rawlinson. New York:

 Longmans, Green and Company LTD, 1928. Pp. 47-50.
- ---- Early Gentile Christianity and its Hellenistic Background.
 New York: Harper and Row, 1964.
- in Essays on the Trinity and the Incarnation. Edited by A. E. J. Rawlinson. New York: Longmans, Green and Company LTD, 1928. Pp. 51-156.
- ---- St. Paul. London: Oxford University Press, 1938.
- ----. "The Vocabulary of the New Testament," <u>Journal of Biblical</u>
 <u>Literature</u>, LII (1933), 131-139.
- Odes of Pindar, The, in The Loeb Classical Library. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1937.
- Oedipus Coloneus, in Scholia in Sophoclis Tragoedias Vetera. Edited by Petrus N. Papageorgius. Lipsiae: In Aedibus B. G. Teubneri, 1888.
- Oepke, A. "βάπτω, βαπτίζω, βαπτισμός, βάπτισμό, βαπτιστής," in <u>Theological Dictionary of the New</u> Testament. I. Translated and edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1964. Pp. 529-546.
- Pausanias, in The Loeb Classical Library. Vol. IV. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1935.
- Philo, in The Loeb Classical Library. Vols. II, V, VII, VIII. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1950-1958.

- Piper, Otto A. "The Mystery of the Kingdom of God," <u>Interpretation</u>, I (1947), 183-200.
- Plato, in The Loeb Classical Library. Vols. I, II, IV, V. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W. H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1917-1942.
- Plummer, Alfred. The Pastoral Epistles. New York: A. C. Armstrong and Son, 1900.
- Plutarch. Alcibiades. Vol. I in <u>Plutarch's Lives</u>. Translated by John Langhorns and William Langhorne. New York: Harper and Brothers. n.d.
- Price, E. J. "Jewish Apocalyptic and the Mysteries," The Hibbert Journal, XVIII (1919), 95-112.
- Prümm, Karl. "Mysterion von Paulus bis Origenes," Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, LXI (1937), 391-425.
- Rabinowitz, Isaac. "The Authorship, Audience and Date of the de Vaux Fragment of an Unknown Work," <u>Journal of Biblical Literature</u>, LXXI (1952), 19-32.
- Rahlfs, Alfred, editor. <u>Septuaginta</u>. 2 vols. 5 Auflage. Stuttgart: Privileg. Wurtt. Bibelanstalt, 1952.
- Rahner, Hugo. Greek Myths and Christian Mystery. Translated by Brian Battershaw. New York: Harper and Row, 1963.
- Rawlinson, A. E. J. The New Testament Doctrine of the Christ. London: Longmans, Green and Company, LTD, 1926.
- Reitzenstein, R. <u>Die hellenistischen Mysterienreligionem</u>. Dritte Auflage. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1927.
- ----. Poimardres. Leipzig: B. G. Turner, 1904.
- Rhys, Howard. The Epistle to the Romans. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1961.
- Richards, G. C. <u>Book Review: By Light, Light in The Journal of Theological Studies</u>, XXXVIII (October 1937), 414-416.
- Robertson, Archibald and Alfred Plummer. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the First Epistle of St. Paul to the Corinthians, in The International Critical Commentary. Edited by Samuel Driver, Alfred Plummer and Charles A. Briggs. Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1914.
- Robinson, H. Wheeler. "The Council of Yahweh," The Journal of Theological Studies, XLV (1944), 151-157.

- Robinson, J. A. St. Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians. London: Macmillan and Company, Limited, 1909.
- Sadler, M. F. The Epistle to the Romans. London: George Bell and Sons, 1905.
- Sanday, William and Arthur C. Headlam. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans. in The International Critical Commentary. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1902.
- Schoeps, Hans J. Paul: The Theology of the Apostle in the Light of Jewish History. Translated by Harold Knight. Philadelphia: The Westminster Press, 1961.
- Schweitzer, Albert. The Mysticism of Paul the Apostle. Translated by William Montgomery. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1931.
- New York: The Macmillan Company, 1912.
- Scott, Charles A. A. Christianity According to St. Paul. Cambridge: The University Press, 1961.
- Scott, E. F. The Epistles of Paul to the Colossians, to Philemon and to the Ephesians. Vol. XI in The Moffatt New Testament Commentary. New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, n.d.
- ---- The Pastoral Epistles. Vol. XII in The Moffatt New Testament Commentary. New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, n.d.
- Scott, Walter, translator. <u>Hermetica</u>. Oxford: At the Clarendon Press, 1924.
- Sheldon, Henry C. The Mystery Religions and the New Testament.

 New York: The Abingdon Press, 1918.
- Simpson, E. K., and F. F. Bruce, <u>Commentary on the Epistles to the Ephesians and the Colossians</u>. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1957.
- Sophocles. <u>Oedipus Coloneus</u>, in <u>The Loeb Classical Library</u>. Vol. I. Edited by E. Capps, T. E. Page and W.H. D. Rouse. London: William Heinemann, 1932.
- Stählin, Wilhelm. The Mystery of God. Translated by R. Birch Hoyle. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1964.
- Steiner, Rudolph. Christianity as Mystical Fact and the Mysteries.
 New York: Anthroposophic Press, Inc., 1947.
- Stellhorn, F. W. The Epistle of St. Paul to the Romans. Columbus: Lutheran Book Concern, 1918.

- Sterrett, T. Norton. "The Mystery of God, Even Christ," <u>Bibliotheca</u>
 <u>Sacra</u>, XCV (April 1938), 157-171.
- Stewart, James S. A Man In Christ. New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, n.d.
- Stifler, James M. The Epistle to the Romans. Chicago: Moody Press, 1960.
- Strack, Herman L., and Paul Billerbeck. Kommentar zum Neuen Testament aus Talmud und Midrasch. Vol. I. Munich: C. H. Beck'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1922.
- Sukenik, E. L., editor. The Dead Sea Scrolls of the Hebrew University.

 Jerusalem: The Magnes Press, 1955.
- Sullivan, Kathryn. "The Mystery Revealed to Paul--Eph. 3:1-13," The Bible Today, I (February 1963), 246-255.
- Swete, Henry B. The Gospel According to St. Mark. Lordon: Macmillan and Company, 1905.
- Synesius de Dione, in Patrologiae: Patrum Graecorum. Vol. LXVI. Edited by J. P. Migne. Paris: n.p., 1863.
- Trinidad, John T. "The Mystery Hidden in God," Biblica, XXXI (1950), 1-26.
- Vermes, G. The Dead Sea Scrolls in English. Middlesex, England: Penguin, 1962.
- Vogt, E. "'Mysteria' in Textibus Qumran," <u>Biblica</u>, XXXVII (1956), 247-257.
- von Soden, Hans. "Mysterion und Sacramentum in den ersten zwei Jahrhunderten der Kirche," Zeitschrift für die Neutestamentliche Wissenschaft, XII (1911), 188-227.
- Westcott, Brook F. Saint Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1906.
- Wikenhauser, Alfred. <u>Pauline Mysticism</u>. Translated by Joseph Cunningham. Freiburg: Herder, 1960.
- Willoughby, Harold R. Pagan Regeneration. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1929.
- Wolfson, Harry A. Philo. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1947.