Concordia Seminary - Saint Louis

Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary

Bachelor of Divinity

Concordia Seminary Scholarship

5-1-1949

The New Testament Concept of the Personal Devil

John David Fritz Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, ir_fritzj@csl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv



Part of the Religious Thought, Theology and Philosophy of Religion Commons

Recommended Citation

Fritz, John David, "The New Testament Concept of the Personal Devil" (1949). Bachelor of Divinity. 296. https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv/296

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Concordia Seminary Scholarship at Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bachelor of Divinity by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. For more information, please contact seitzw@csl.edu.

THE HEW TESTAMENT CONCEPT OF THE PERSONAL DEVIL

A Thesis presented to the

Faculty of Concordia Theological Seminary

in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

Bachelor of Divinity

John David Frits

Concordia Seminary
May, 1949

Signed by:

alex Amb Guebest

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Intr	oduction	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	The Devil's Names																		
II.	General Activity		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
III.	The Devil and Christ	•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
	The Devil and the Church	1 1 1000																	HILL
Cone:	lusion	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	33
Bibl	iography	•			•		•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	35

no the country assistant to protect, his relative to the fill he was the

THATA

STATE BY LITE SOFTER

INTRODUCTION

It is the writer's intention to show on the basis of the New Testament that our Lord Jesus Christ and the apostles and evangelists of the New Testament conceived the devil to be a very personal enemy of our Lord Himself and of the communion of saints, His Church.

The person and work of the devil, therefore, will be treated on the basis of New Testament passages. Because of the numerous New Testament references to the person and work of the devil, the scope of this paper is necessarily limited. The passages chosen are intended to cover as briefly and yet as completely as possible the activities of the devil in the world and in the Church, and through a study of these activities, the person of the devil himself.

A study of names given to the devil in the New Testament will introduce the subject, and will be followed by a study of passages on the devil's activity in general, his relation to Christ, and his relation to the Church.

It is to be noted that the subject of demoniac possession will be treated only insofar as it may add to a better understanding of the devil or his work.

I. The Devil's Names

The word, devil, itself is one of the most commonly used words applied to Satan in the New Testament. In the original Greek of Julboles was used to denote a traducer, an accuser, or a slanderer. The New Testament thus makes use of it in I Tim. 3: 11; II Tim. 3:3; Tit.2:3.

6 Julboles thus becomes the devil, the accuser, Satan - prince of the fallen angels (Matt. 9: 34).

According to the later Hebrews, he acts as the accuser and slanderer of men before God (Job 1: 7, 12); seduces them to sin (I Chr. 21:1); and is the author of evil, both physical and moral, with which the human race is afflicted.²

In the New Testament & & & & & appears as the constant enemy of God, of Christ, of the divine kingdom, of the followers of Christ, and of all truth. He is full of falsehood and malice, and seduces to evil in all possible ways: Matt. 4: 1, 5, 8; Luke 4: 2, 3; John 13: 2; Acts 10: 38; etc. .3

Hence in I John 3: 8, 2K Toll & - 20Tiv means he is of the devil, is

^{1.} Robinson's Loricon - Greek-English, p. 168 ff.

^{2.} Ibid.

like him, belongs to him. Thus vie Stabehov in Acts 13: 10 refers to the children of the devil, i.e. those who are like him, doing his commands.4 The name diagonos then may be figuratively applied to a man who, by opposing the cause of God, may be said to act the part of the devil, or to side with him.

Another of the more common names applied to the devil in the New Testament is Satan. & Satar or Sayayas is an indeclinable noun taken over into the Greek from Hebrew terminology, where it meant an adversary or opponent. It is interesting to note that the LXX translates the word by using & Suglo los in Job 1: 6 f.5

In the New Testament it is used most frequently with the article denoting the adversary.6 He is the prince of the evil spirits, the inveterate adversary of God and of Christ. He incites to apostasy from God and to sin (Matt. 10: 10; Mark 1: 13;), circumventing men by stratagons (II Cor. 11: 14). The worshippers of idols are said to be under his control (Acts 26: 18; Rev. 12: 9). He is said both himself given year dat Els Tire in order to act through that person (Luke 22: 3), or by his demons to take possession of the bodies of nen and to afflict them with diseases (Luke 13: 16; ofr. Matt. 12: 26; II Cor. 12: 7). By God's assistance he is overcome (Rom. 16: 20).7

The devil is also frequently called the Evil One, 5 Tornoos. The Greeks used Torage's to denote something tollsome, painful, or

h. Ibid.
5. Iddell and Scott, Greek-English Lordon, vol. II, p. 1585.
6. Robinson's Lordon - Greek-English, p. 657.
7. Joseph Henry Thayer, Greek-English Lordon of the New Testament, p. 572.

grievous. It came to be used as descriptive of thosenho were "in sorry plight", useless or good-for-nothing. In the moral sense it meant worthless, knavish, maliscious.8

The New Testament uses the word to denote something which causes labor, sorrow, or pain; hence it is evil, both in the active or the passive sense. Rev. 16: 2 uses it to express physical evil, ZAKOS KAKOV Mai Hornoor . Matt. 6: 13 and Luke 11: 4 use To Hermoor to express evil which has been inflicted on someone or may come to someone, indicative of affliction or calamity. In the moral sense of being malevolent, malignant, evil-disposed or wicked the New Testament uses it of persons (Matt. 5: 45 eni nora poùs Kui and Matt. 7: 11 ei uneis mornael dures K.I.A.), or of the evil spirits (Luke 7: 21; 8: 2; and Acts 19: 12, 13, 15, 16.) Thus it denotes also the Evil One, Ker 250xar, i.e. Satan (Matt. 13: 19; Eph. 6:16; I John 2: 13,14 and 3:12.)9

The How Testement depicts the devil also as & 2x0005. The Greeks used the term to denote some person or thing that was hateful or hated. Homer uses it only in this passive sense. It came to mean scnething actively hostile or hating in later use. As a substantive ο εχθρος is the energy, and in such use the active and the passive senses frequently coincide. Later usage applied it to someone who had been who but at the present time was alienated and refuses to be reconciled. 10

The New Testement uses it to denote an object of enmity, thus in Pomnes 11: 28 - 21000 61' inas, where it is used in antithesis to

^{3.} Hiddell and Scott, op. cit. p. 1017.
9. Robinson's Lexicon, op. cit. p. 607.
10. Hiddell and Scott, op. cit. vol. I, p. 749.

Apartoni. In the active sense of something hostile it is used as an adjective in Matt. 13: 28, 2x8pès 2x8pons, i.e. an enemy, and in Romans 5: 10, 2x8pè 2x8pès 2x8pons, i.e. an enemy, and in denote an enemy, an adversary, and is used with the genitive of person (Matt. 5:43, hh; 10: 36; 13: 25; Romans 12: 20; Gel. h: 16; II Thess. 3: 15; Rev. 11: 5, 12); with the genitive of thing, cf. Acts 13: 10.

Used to depict the adversaries of the Messiah it is also used with the genttive, Matt. 22: Mi 205 at 00 100 2 2x0pous rov onovodion K.J.A.; Mark 12: 36; Luke 20: M3; Acts 2: 35; I Cor. 15: 25; Mebrew 1: 13 and 10: 13; also in I Cor. 15: 26 and Phil. 3: 18 10 staupoù. It is used especially to depict Saten, the energy, the adversary (Matt. 13: 39; Luke 10: 19 - 2xi masay 19x 60xamy 100 2x0poù. 11

Thus far the words we have examined have shown Satan to have the characteristic of a hated or hating enemy and adversary. The next words we shall take up, which are associated with or applied to the devil, describe the sphere of his active power. These words are $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ \frac

Expert was used by the Greek as ruler or commander, chief or king.

It was used as an official title to denote a chief magistrate or governor in the Athenien Expire. It was used synonymously with praefectus to denote a Roman governor. 12

The New Testament makes use of this word to depict one first in authority, hence a ruler, lord, chief person, magistrate (Matt. 20:25; Acts 4: 26; 7: 27,35; Rom. 13: 3; of a judge, ** Kerres** (Luke 12: 58);

^{11.} Robinsons's, op. cit. p. 311.
12. Liddell and Scott, op. cit. vol. I, p. 254.

to depict Moses as the leader of Israel (Acts 7: 35); and Christ as King of Kings (Rev. 1:5); elsewhere in a Jewish usage, e.g. a ruler of a synagogue (Luke 6: hl; Matt. 9: 18,23); thus of persons of weight enoug the Pharisees and other sects, who were members of the Sanhedrin (Inka 14: 1; 16: 18; 24: 20; John 3: 1; 7: 20, h8; 12: h2; Acts 3: 17; h: 5,8; 1h: 5). 13

It is used to depict Satan as the prince of the fallon angels, were Tor Salmoriwe, Matt. 9: 34; etc. (degwer Tou Kormon Touton) John 12: 31; 14: 30; 16: 11; 20xwr 193 25000(05 Tol) 25000; Boh. 2: 2.)14.

& Ocos generally means God, the supreme Lord and Father of all, Jehovah (Matt. 1: 23). It refers also to the Logos, Christ, who is declared to be 6 0005 (John 1: 1). It may be used in the Hebrew sense, when spoken of kings or chief nagistrates, as the representatives of God in the Jewish theorracy (John 10: 34, 35). In the Greek sense 6 Ofes is a god or the deity; of Deal are the gods, i.e. the heathen gods, of. Acts 7: 43, ¿ Deds hur Pendar : Satan too is called & Deds Tou al aves Teurou, the god of this world; its leader, ruler, instigator (II Cor. 4: 4). The Jews regarded all the heathen gods as evil spirits.15

One of the chief activities of Satan, as described in the New Testament is that of an accuser, & Karnywe. The Greeks used the word to denote one who accused or one who was a public prosecutor. 16 The New Testament uses it to denote Satan as an accuser (John 8: 10; Acts 23: 30, 35; 24: 8; 25: 16,18); to denote Satan as the accuser (Rov. 12: 10).17

^{13.} Robinson's, op. cit. p. 100.

^{15.} Ibid., p. 334 16. Iddell and Scott, op. cit. vol. I, p. 927. 17. Robinson's, op. cit. p. 393.

Other terms used to depict the devil or some characteristic of his are odis, and o (pakwy.

b 6015 was used by the Greeks as a term for snake or serpent. The Now Testament uses it in the same way, usually to signify curning. Christ uses it in one of his cogent discussions in Matt. 7: 10 in depicting fatherhood, un cour en dweet abro. cf. Mark 16: 18 et luke 10: 19. It is used of the brazen serpent in John 3: Il; in a good sense as the emblem of wisdom or curning, Matt. 10: 16; in a bad sense Matt. 23: 33. Thus it was also used symbolically for Satan, II Cor. 11: 3, 18 Thayer points out that crafty hypocrites are frequently called ofers. The corpent that deceived Eve (see Gen. 3: 1) was regarded by the later Jous as the devil (4 Macc. 18: 8); hence he is called 5 30/5 6 devaler 19 . 6 Laravas (Nov. 12: 9, 11, 15; 20: 2).

5 Saktor, a dragon, serpent was used interchangeably by the Greeks with odes.20 In the New Testament it is used in a specific sense, symbolically for 5 Zarar (Rev. 12: 3, 1, 7, 9, 13, 16, 17; 13: 2, 4, 11; 16: 13: 20: 2).21

& Beliew, an indeclinable noun is used also as a synonym for Satan, i.e. o royapes, II Cor. 6: 15; of. I San. 25: 25. 22

beking, also indeclinable, meaning Beliar, is a name of Satan used in some namescripts for Belial in II Cor. 6: 15. This form is either to be ascribed (as most suppose) to the harsh Syriac promunciation of the

^{18.} Ibid., p. 537.
19. Thayer, op. cit. p. 470.
20. Middell and Scott, op. cit. vol. I, p. 448.

Robinson's, op. cit. p. 193.

Ibid., p. 125.

word <u>\(\beta \) \(\lambda \) \), or must be derived from Bel Jaar, lord of the forest, i.e.,</u>
who rules over forests and deserts, (cf. Is. 13: 21; Natt. 12: 43).²³

We must conclude that the very names given the devil in the New Testament show him to be not only a mere influence, but a very personal being.

^{23.} Thayer, op. cit. p. 100.

II. General Activity

In this chapter we shall examine New Testament references to the general activity of Satan.

We shall examine first a passage which gives us the startling information that the devil in his attempts to seduce and mislead presents himself as an angel of light.

Thus in II Cor. 11: 14 we read: <u>which of Danue attes papers</u>

<u>attests papers</u>

are seen Satan fashioneth himself into an angel of light.

light is the symbol of God and His messengers, 1 as darkness is the symbol of Satan. 2 In this passage Paul is referring to one of the most startling transformations Satan undertakes in order to deceive and seduce.

The present form, <u>nervoxquariferon</u>, points to what the devil habitually does, rather than to any particular occasion. Therefore, there is no necessity to suppose that St. Paul is here referring to some

^{1.} Cf. I John 1: 5; I Tim. 6: 16; Matt. 28: 3; Acts 12: 7. 2. Cf. Luke 22: 53; Eph. 6: 12; Col. 1: 13.

^{3.} A. Plummer, a critical and exegetical commentary on the "Second Epistle of St. Paul to the Corinthians, " <u>International Critical Commentary</u>, p. 309.

historical act or alluding to some Rabinnical legend. He may have known the story of our Lord's temptation in a form which might suggest this comparison, but there is no clear trace of it in any of his epistles.

Paul's own experience must have taught him how specious and plausible temptations to what is known to be evil can be made to look, so that sin may at last look meritorious. The experience of the Corinthians would be much the same as Paul's own in regard to the subtlety of temptations.

"It is a truism to say that, in order to tempt us, evil must be made to look attractive. The point here is that it can be made to look like innocence or like virture." 5

Furthermore in the realm of the general activity of Satan we find him taking personal interest in mullifying the effect of the Word of God upon those who have not as yet come directly under its influence; of. Luke 8: 12: of Se Hook the Coor elow of accountes, either the System of Siabolos and albert for lower and the wayside are they that hear; then cometh the devil and taketh away the word out of their hearts, lost they should believe and be saved.

hearers. The next clause, beginning with <u>fire</u>, must be included in the definition. The wayside hearers thus are those, who as soon as

Gospels", The Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. I, p. 519.

bustle to the Corinthians", The Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. III,

^{5.} A. Plumer, a critical and exegetical interpretation of the "Second Epistle of Paul to the Corinthians", International Critical Commentary, p. 310.
6. A.B. Bruce, a critical and exegetical commentary on the "Synoptic

they have heard, comes the devil etc.

The fords of the air". This is Christ's own interpretation of the birds, and is strong evidence for the existence of a personal devil. The Lord pointedly insists upon a personal adversary. As Luke uses & Sie Bokes so Watthew has a Tormos and Mark, & generals to designate Satan as a person. 728

The devil, however, is not content merely to take the Word out of pooples! hearts, but directly attempts to counteract the sowing of the Word by sowing his own tares. Thus we read in Matthew 13: 25: ἐν δὲ Τῷ Καθεύδειν τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, ἄλθεν ἀὐτοῦ ὁ ἐχθρὸς καὶ ἐπέστοπερεν ζιίανικ ἀνὰ μέσον τοῦ σίτου, καὶ ἀπολθεν Βυτ while men slopt, his enemy came and sowed tares among the wheat, and went his way.

ev 12 Kallew is equivalent to "during the night". Then came the enery. "Weiss (Matt. - Evang., 347) thinks this feature no part of the original parable, but introduced to correspond with the interpretation (v. 39), no energy being needed to account for the appearance of the "tares", which might grow then as now from seed lying dormant in the ground. . . " 9

9. Ibid., p. 199

^{7.} Plummer, a critical and exegetical commentary on the "Gospel According to Saint Inke," International Critical Commentary, p. 221.

8. Luke is the only one who uses the concluding words: "in order that they may not by believing be saved." It may be a sign of Pauline Influence. (Bruce, a critical and exegetical commentary on the "Synoptic Gospels", The Prositor's Greek Testament, vol. I, p. 519.)

cher seed were there. What the devil sowed is called Lilavia. This is bastard wheat, darnel, lolium templentum, a vegetation common in Palestine. It may be a Scritic word. Another name for the plant in Greek is alon. 10

Satan, therefore, hinders the influence of the Word by sowing tares which choke off the good seed which has been sown by the Lord. In hindering the Word's influence the devil takes a decidedly personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching the preaching of the Word as is evident in I Thess. 2: 18: 6000 personal interest in hindering the preaching the prea

Paul and his associates, yaks, were anxious to see the flock at Thessalonica, but Satan hindered them, kal evekever yaks a marares. The context gives an adversative turn to the copula (Vulg. sed). What particular obstacle Satan put in the way of their return, Paul does not tell us. Satan, however, did not theart all of them permanently; they are able to send one of their number, Timothy, and are confident that God and Christ, to whom they are will direct their way to Thessalonica. (Cf. 3)

The means which Satan uses to thwart the worker's activity in preaching may be many. We shall here examine one specific instance, that which

^{10.} Ibid, 11. J.E.Frame, a critical and exegetical commentary on the "Existles of St. Paul to the Thessalonians", <u>International Critical Commentary</u>, p. 121.

has reference to St. Paul's "thorn in the flesh", on the basis of II. Cor.

12: 7 b: ¿Śóθη μοι σκόλοψ τῷ σαρκί ἄμελος σαπανὰ "να με κολαφίζη.

Γνα μὴ ὑπεραίρωμαι; there was given to me a thorn in the flesh, the messenger of Satan to buffet me, lest I should be exalted above measure.

en to Paul. Paul's thorn in the flesh is here personified. It is a messenger of Satan. That Satan has angels or messengers was a common belief among the Jews, 12 and it is not disturbed by Christ. 13 That what was the will of God for good purposes might be done by Satan for evil purposes is also found among the Jews; 11 and that Satan may be the cause of physical suffering is a belief which is not disturbed by Christ. 15

Though the original text gives us thereading Extent, which is indeclinable and may be nominative or genitive, some would translate this term 'the angel Satan', but this translation would require it is a constituted angel. Others would translate 'a hostile angel', which is gramatically possible, but not probable. In the New Testament Satan is always a proper name.

The me Kolapin, in order that he (the messenger) may buffet mo - the present tenge implies frequent attacks. Because applies implies attacks, because applies implies attacks, because applies implies attacks, because a the present tenge implies attacks.

^{12.} Cf. Hatthew 9: 34 and 12: 24.

^{13.} Cf. Hatthow 25: 41.

^{14.} Cf. Job 1: 12 and 2: 6.

^{15.} Cf. Luke 13: 11, 16.
16. A. Plumer, a critical and exegetical commentary on the "Second Epistle of Paul to the Corinthians," <u>International Critical Commentary</u>, p. 352.

"Kolados is said to be the Doric equivalent of the Attic Kordulas."

The vorb is late Greek and perhaps colloquial."

ira un one paragraphe is an emphatic repetition of the purpose of the oxide which must be remembered side by side with Satan's share in the matter. "In both cases we have present subjunctive of what was continually going on: there was frequent buffeting to counterest frequent temptation. . Ira un is specially frequent in I and II Corinthians."

The next passage which we shall consider is one in which a person is delivered to Satan for the destruction of his flesh. The passage is I Cor.

5: 5, where we read: Tapadoùyou Ter Totoùter Tô orderê lis okeloor

This papelos, Tra to preduce orden in the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus.

This "in the view of many is a synonym for excommunication, - a thrusting out of the condemned into the "kingdom of darkness", where 'the god of this world' hods sway . . . the added words, Els OLEDOY TAS GARROS Lex. A. point to some physically punitive and spiritually remedial visitation of the simmor."

19 The adversary, of carries, of God and man welcomes every such opportunity to drag someone into the "kingdom of darkness" (I Peter 5: 8).

^{17.} Ibid. 18. Ibid.

^{19.} G.G.Findley, a critical and exegetical commentary on "St. Paul's First Epistle to the Corinthians", The Expositor's Grock Testament, vol. II, p. 808.

between the imperfect and the acrist. If naphyerkey be right, it almost means, "he had ordered once". The command caused the cry of fear when the man saw Jesus (v. 28), and the fear is explained in the clause following, introduced by a second yes.

One would expect Tois Wenner's for the mountain for both in verse 27 and verse 30 we have families. Plummer says that "the interchange of personality between the man and the demons is so rapid, that it becomes natural to speak of the demons in the singular." 20

models yas knovers guvyonaker aurov - many times, i.e. on many occasions, it had seized him, or carried him away.

distinguish the handcuffs and fetters with which he was bound. "The former is used of the chain by which the hand of the prisoner was fastened to the

^{20.} A critical and exegetical commentary on the "Gospel According to St. Luke", by Plumer, International Critical Commentary, p. 230.

as <u>Tebor</u> might be rope or withes. Both are included in <u>Tebor</u> 21

The imporfects tell of what usually took place during the attacks.

There were moments of acute mania and intervals of comparative quiet and rationality. When the paroxysms came on the demon seized him (**enyprace*).

Then the man had to be bound in chains and fetters. These precautions were taken to prevent the demons from carrying him off into the wilderness, but they always proved futile. He was driven to take him away from humane influences."

"In order to take him away from humane influences."

The wilderness is regarded as the home of the evil spirits (cf. Luke 11: 24 - 51."

Tomas, "through waterless places.")

It is hoped that by the study of these passages we have shown that even in the wide sphere of the devil's general activity the New Testament treats him as a very personal enemy, who is concerned with the seduction and misleading of persons to negate the influence of Christ and the Gospel.

^{21.} Ibid. 22. Ibid.

III. The Devil and Christ

Because of the wealth of naterial in the New Testament describing the relationship between the devil and his cohorts with the Lord, and the necessarily limited scope of this paper, we shall concorn ourselves in this chapter with an examination of the devil's personal attacks on the Lord by a study of the introductory verse to the temptations of our Lord, shall see that the devil was a constant enemy of Christ, and take note how Satan subtly attacked Jesus through two of His disciples.

As the introductory verse to the temptations of the Lord, we refer to Matthew 4: 1: 1676 & Yugou's arriver ets Tay Epquer ino 100 MYEU MATOS RELPASON UNO 100 Jugololou; Then was Jesus led up of the spirit into the wilderness to be tempted of the devil.

connection with the events recorded in the previous chapter, especially the descent of the Spirit. He was led up, avagem, into the higher, more solitary region of the wilderness, the haunt of wild beasts (Mark 1: 13) rather than of men. "He was driven to the wilderness for the enacting of a drama which no eye might see save Heaven's. The theater of this temptation must be solitude."

UND TOD THEO MATES, by the Spirit. The impulse came from the

^{1.} A. Morris Stewart, The Temptation of Jesus, p. 19.

Spirit who had descended on Him (John 1: 33), as is evident also in the vivid language of St. Hark 1: 12: Straightway the Spirit <u>drivoth</u> Him forth. It was the Spirit who led Him, for "the divine Spirit has to do with our darker experiences as well as with our bright, joyous ones." 2

Whole experience of those days in the wilderness but notes a specially important phase. Repeter is a later form for newsco in classic Greek. It's primary meaning is to attempt, to try to do a thing. It is used "in an ethical sense common to the Old Testament and the New Testament, to try or tempt either with good or with bad intent, associated in some texts (e.g. II Cor. 13: 5) with <u>domunic</u>, kindred in meaning." 3

one to Stabble. In later Jewish theology the devil is the agent in all temptations, the purpose of which is always evil. Bruce says that in the early period the line of separation between the temptations coming from God and those coming from the devil was not so carefully defined, and uses as examples II Sam. 24: 11 (where God tempts David to number the people) and I Chron. 21: 1 (where Satan is doing the tempting.) 4

It will be well to note here how the devil's temptations of Jesus were subtly persistent and repetitious. Scherer notes how the gospel repeats the constant refrain in verse three: "When the tempter came.

.", verse fivo: "Then the devil . . .", and again in the eighth verse where you have another mighty stroke "as if some giant's sword were

^{2.} A.B. Bruce, a critical and exegetical commentary on the "Synoptic Gospels", The Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. I, p. 91.

^{3.} Ibid.

flashing": "Again the devil . . . " 5

Although the devil's personal attacks on Christ in the wilderness were not successful he came again and again to tempt the Lord. We shall now direct our attention to such passages.

The first passage which we shall examine occurs at the conclusion of this account of the temptation in the wilderness. According to the Gospel of St. Luke, 4: 13, we read: not auvielers. Taxta respansive.

2 Sidboles areaty in autoù aver tempen; And when the devil had ended all the temptation, he departed from him for a season.

Taxta Telescust every temptation, he had no further temptations at all. "He exhausted all his darts."

to tempt Him. "It is to be taken <u>subjectively</u> of the purpose and idea of the devil; he thought at some later time, at some more fortunate hour, to be able with better success to approach Him." 7 Historically, according to the Gospel records, Satan did not undertake this again directly, but indirectly, as it repeatedly occured by means of the Pharisees (John 8: 40 ff), through Peter, and at last through Judas.

Hext we shall consider the temptation which came to Jesus through Peter. Matthew tells us in Chapter 16: 21 and 22: From that time forth began Jesus to shew unto Ilis disciples, how that He must go unto Jerusalem, and suffer many things of the elders and chief priests and scribes, and be killed, and be rai sed again the third day. Then Poter took Rim,

^{5.} Paul Scherer, The Flight of Freedom, p. 35.
6. Bengel as quoted in H.A.V. Heyer, A Critical and Exceptical Hand-Book to the Gospels of Mark and Luke, p. 307.
7. Ibid.

and began to rebuke Him, saying, Be it far from Thee, Lord: this shall not be unto Thee.

है हु क्रिक्क होड़ हो तहर कि प्रकृत निर्मा के क्रिक्ट क्रिक्ट क्रिक्ट क्रिक्ट क्रिक्ट σκανδαλου εί έμοβ, ότι οὐ φρονείς τὰ τοῦ Θεοῦ άλλὰ τὰ Τῶν Lybourney: But He turned and said to Peter, Get thee behind No, Satan: thou art an offence unto lie: for thou savorest not the things that be of God, but these that be of men.

"Got thee behind Me. Satan" is identical with the phrase which Jesus used to order the devil out of his sight after the third temptation in the wilderness. So here, too, Satan is the archfiend.

The importative "Get thee behind me, Satan" is an exact repetition of Chapter 4: 10. Both commands came from Jesus' lips, and both were spoken during temptations. "Satan" has the same force, but in this case Jesus directly calls Peter "Satan".

"Romanists are concerned to remove the name 'Satan' from Peter and let it mean only 'adversary', or call it an address, not to Peter, but only to the devil. Others follow with the claim that in the East 'Satan' is commonly used to designate any bold, powerful enemy. But there is no evidence for such comon usage."

order Jakor - "The word always means the crooked stick to which the bait in a trap is affixed. Thus we translate 'trap', which conveys the idea of an enticement that, if it be entertained, means destruction." 9 No worder Jesus turned so sharply against Peter, seeing the saturic trap set for Him in Peter's words.

^{8.} R.C. H. Lenski, The Interpretation of St. Matthew's Gospol, p. 640 f. 9. Ibid., p. 641.

"The things of God" and "the things of men" are opposites; the former are the blessed and saving purposes of God, the latter are the blind, sinful purposes and ways of men. Peter had in mind only the latter. His thinking was centered around these and not the former. "To the world the cross was offensive, to Christ whatever opposed the cross." 10

In contrast to the case of Peter, Judas was a disciple in whom the devil successfully worked, and of whom he took complete charge, John 13:27 tolls us: Kai ugra to payor total gishiber els treffer o carraves.

Atres our acro Theoris. Tollis Holmson Toxion; And after the sop Satan entered into him. Then said Jesus unto him, That thou doest, do quickly.

That Satan had already started a beach-head in Judas we see from John 13: 2 where we read that the supper being ended, the devil had already put into the heart of Judas Iscariot, Simon's son, to betray Him.

In John 13: 27 we read that wal ware to wonder, and after the morsel, i.e. after Jesus had given him the morsel (v. 26), then Satan entered into him. Dods says that it was an ordinary custom for the host to offer such a tid-bit to any favored guest. He also remarks that some say that this morsel was made up of a morsel of lamb, a small piece of unleavened bread, and dipped in the bitter sauce, which was given by the head of the house to each guest as a regular part of the Passover. At any rate, Dods sees in the fact that the morsel was offered to Judas first, that this was the "last" appeal of Jesus to Judas, since the very mark with which Jesus chooses to single him out is a mark of distinctive favor.

^{10.} Bengel as quoted ibid., p. 642.
11. In a critical and exegetical commentary on "The Gospel of St. John",
The Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. I, p. 819.

The single word parties represents an entire forgoing act. Never notes that frequently also in the classics a single word only is used with which thus in the context represents an entire clause. 12

And after the morsel, rete, then, at that moment Satan entered into him. The rete intentionally brings into relief the horrible tragic moment.

eighlory, g. T. A., so that he was thus from henceforward a man possessed by the devil, The expression (cf. Luke 22: 3 - Fighlory & gar - was lis Toulan . .) very definitely states that Jules was now laid open to the unhindered entrance of the devil, having taken the sop without any apparent inward compunction.

Quickly. In the comparative lies the notion "with augmented speed", hasten it. "The imperative, however, is not permissive... but Jesus actually wishes to surmount as soon as possible the last crisis (His Low) now determined for Him in the divine destiny." 13

Thus we have shown that the New Testament treats the temptations of our Lord and the constant attacks upon his person and work as being conducted and instigated by a very personal enemy, the devil.

13. Ibid.

^{12.} Meyer, A Critical and Exegetical Hand-Book to the Gospel of John, p. 397.

IV. The Devil and the Church

The devil's activity in the New Testament poriod, in which we are also a part, is not confined merely to being the prince of this world. Having failed in his attacks on the Lord and Master of the Church, he seeks to attack the Church itself, centering his attentions on the communion of saints and the individual Christian. It is this phase of his activity which we shall now consider.

Satan's chief desire is to underwine the Christian and to make him a subject, not of Christ, but of his own rule. Our Lord gives us an example of this in the Gospel of Luke, chapter 22, v. 31: Zinev Ziner, 15eù à naturés Eurices unes red curessi des rèv cîter; Simon, Satan hath desired to have you that he may sift you as wheat.

Referring to Simon Peter, Christ says that <u>6 sates/as</u> desired him.

Bruce says that the reference to Satan naturally reminds us of the trial of Job, and that most commentators assume that Jesus or the evangelist have the case of Job in view. ¹ The coming fall of Peter (his denial of the Lord in the judgment hall) could not be set in a more advantageous light than by being paralleled with the experience of the man of Us.

^{1.} Bruce, A critical and exegetical commentary on the "Synoptic Gospels", The Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. I, p. 627.

Job also had had a good record behind him and fame before him, and the two were connected by a dark, but profitable time of trial. 2

*Careful Greek writers used <u>Egotter</u> for 'to demand for punishment' and <u>Egotter Su</u> for 'to beg off'. Later writers somewhat disregarded this distinction. The aerist implies success in the demand. It is an instance of the 'Resultative Aerist'." 3

of Peter. This lays stress on the fact that in the next verse Cirist tells

Peter that He has prayed for him that his faith does not fail (v. 32).

in the New Testament, but has a definite meaning. Sifting points to the result of the process anticipated by Jesus, 4 Satan aimed at complete and lasting ruin.

The fact that Satan seeks to wean Christians from Christ by porsonal attacks is brought out quite forcibly by Paul's admonition in Ephesians 6:

11: evolution be the merondier top beep upos to Surarea puass other upos his usbodeles top diabelous Put on the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil.

^{2.} Ibid.

^{3.} Ibid.

^{5.} R.C.H. Lensid, The Intercretation of the Epistles to the Galations, to the Ephesians, and to the Phillippians, p. 657.

purpose. There are twelve instances of this type of infinitive in the New Testament and all express purpose and not result. The infinitive is used in the present sense to denote constant ability and matches the present imporative in verse 10 (ev Suvamouces).

The second complementary infinitive (ergives) is an effective corist:

'to stand' successfully, invincibly. The implied opposite is not flight

from the energy, but rather defeat of the energy: 'to stand' as victor, unvanquished. 7

Paul does not morely say 'to stand against the devil'; he says more:
'against the use of eles, the expert methods of the devil'. Paul's exphasis on expert skill should not be over-looked: "The devil leads the opposing army, and he is no mean commander; he knows his game."

The <u>Medo delas</u> in the plural denotes the various forms the craftiness takes, and is fitly rendered either stratagess (which brings out the fundamental idea of <u>method</u> or <u>plan</u> in the decoit) or wiles." 9

That attacking Christians is one of the chief personal activities of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the devil is further developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the developed in I Peter 5: 8b: 6 20161605 Duck Out of the developed in I Peter 5: 8

o artifices busy Sicholos, your adversary, Satan. The word 'Satan' (properly the adversary in a law suit) is used in the general sense of

^{6.} Ibid.

^{7.} Ibid.

^{9.} S.D.F. Salmond, a critical and emegetical commentary on "The Epistle to the Ephesians", The Expositor's Greek Testament, Vol. III. p. 362.

enemy in the LEE. The description of Satan, as a rearing lion, comes from PG. 22: 14 - 65 1/201 5 aproject red appointers. The 'walketh about' may come from Job 1: 7, where Satan (5 Jil/Solo S LEE) Repeable Top the red in the land of death (cf. Prov. 1: 12) where the wicked say of the righteous man, remarkable address and the sufferings of Job. 10

These personal attacks of Satan upon the Christian are sometimes successful as is shown in I Timothy 5: 15: 16n you trues Exerparently only to the satan.

Paul is not speaking theoretically or abstractly, but on the basis of sad experience. You signifies this fact, and effermines should here be taken in the perfect sense, thus 'already some had turned off after Satan'.

Lenski points out that Paul uses various forms of expression to show lack of spiritual life. In this same chapter in verse 6 Paul uses the negative approach in these words "while living she is dead". In this verse the "turning off after Satan" is positive; godless life has already set in. Il

The word "Satan" in I Timothy v. 15 is placed in opposition to "Christ"

^{10.} J.H.A.Bart, a critical and exegotical commentary on "The First Epistle General of Peter," The Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. V, p. 78.

11. Lenski, The Interpretation of St. Paul's Epistles to the Colossians, to the Thessalonians, to Timothy, to Titus, and to Phileson, p. 687.

in verse 11 (oran pap Karacopyviasosiv rei Kostoù). All restraint of Christ is east off vallfully to gain new liberty and this means following Satan in a liberty that in reality is slavery. 12

The reason Satan personally attempts to mislead and undermine the Christians by his own efforts or through the efforts of his cohorts is because he is an enough of righteousness.

This is presented in Acts 13: 10: siner. & names navres 16 hor kei many patients, wie finalkhou, exope names dikalogurus, et names dikalogurus, et names dikalogurus, et names dikalogurus, and said, of the fall subtilty and all mischief, thou child of the devil, thou onemy of all righteousness, wilt thou not cease to pervert the right ways of the Lord?

guile and all villainy, these were the notives back of Elyman the sorcer who tried to keep the proconsul, Sergius Paulus, from faith in Cirrist (Cf. vs. 6 - 8).

Acros is bait. The devil's victim, Sergius Paulus, was to snatch at the bait Elymas was offering by his arguments against the faith.

Pasiouppid is 'the ability to do a thing easily'. 13 Thus Paul calls Elymas 'a devil's son', because he had no compunctions in mis-leading people so they would not come to faith in Christ.

Dies is to be taken in the ethical sense. A devil's son is not only offspring, but shows as well the characteristics of his father. Il

Justine of the stress necessarily need be laid on time of the otymology of

14. Ibid.

^{12.} Ibid.
13. R.C.H.Lenski, The Interpretation of the Acts of the Apostles, p. 50h f.

of the word (slanderer), for a description follows in <u>eybol marys</u>

<u>butted or uves</u> - personal energ who hates all righteousness, "righteousness in the forensic sense as meeting the approval of the righteous divine Judge." 15

Further detail is given in the verse under consideration showing that this <u>Uiè Jeaßhou</u> never ceases turning this way and that, twisting and perverting truth so that men do not come to faith. In the rhetorical question <u>où</u> is followed by the subjunctive as though the answer is to be 'yes' while the sense is: 'No, thou wilt not cease doing this.' 16

Satan promotes decoption not only to keep the non-Christian from coning to faith in Christ, but to rob the Christian of his faith. An example of this type of activity is found in Acts 5: 3: ênev 5è à Temps.

Avanta 11à 11 Endmanter à caravas Thy happing sau pleusaceau and The Thair Thair Tau Xupion:

But Peter said, Anamias, why hath Satan filled thine heart to lie to the Holy Chost, and to keep back part of the price of the land?

Sin Ti Endrager & order & transfer our refers the guilt back to the real source, Satan, and implies that Anamias could have resisted Satan's temptation.

napolar is the center of the personality. Satan had entered there once again after having been cast out by the Holy Spirit in Ananias' conversion. 17

There is a double emphasis in the two acrist infinitives, yeveneday

^{15.} Ibid.

^{16.} Ibid.

^{17.} Ibid., p. 197.

and <u>Vest (cactar</u>. This is seen in the fact that the devil had beguiled Ananias to offer his (the devil's) doing as a work of the Holy Spirit, offer it as a divine work and bring it to God as a holy offering. 18

Furthermore Satan uses his wiles to destroy a Christian's reputation, even that of a Christian minister. This is shown in I Tinothy 3: 7: <u>Sei</u>

<u>be the manufactor toler event and Tor Elwher, ira un eis draid-</u>

<u>the Eureof the marida toler diables; Moreover he must have a good report of them which are without; lest he fall into repreach and the snare of the devil.</u>

"To fall into the devil's snare", into his deadly power like an animal that is caught and killed, is Paul's warning here. The reputation of the bishop should be blameless as far as all are concerned. The repreach of the world, bad as that is, is not the worst. The repreach of fellow Christians, because the man permitted himself to slip into the repreach of the world, might set him apart from the fellow Christians. For "the repreach, especially of the Christians, let alone the usual repreach, sets him apart, and thus gives the devil a chance to set his trap." 19

Another of the devil's deceptions which he uses in his personal attacks is the unforgiving attitude he fosters in Christians toward one another. This is exemplified in II Cor. 2: 10, 11.

In verse ten Paul exhorts and urges joint forgiveness of one who has grievously simmed against the sixth commandment. Then in verse e-leven he elaborates on this, showing why such forgiveness is necessary:

^{18.} Ibid. p. 197.

19. R.C. H. Lenski, The Interpretation of St. Paul's Epistles to the Golossians, to the Thessalonians, to Timothy, to Titus, and to Phileson, p. 600 ff.

The promise of the state of the

iva un nheovekthêway K. I. h.: lest we, sc., you and I together be robbed by Satan; i.e., lest we drive sinners to despair and so let Satan snatch them from us. 20 "The offender was to be delivered over the farmy 21s ohebov the same (I Cor. 5: 5) and thus care must be taken lest we nheovekthêway one to be taken lest we nheovekthêway o

<u>οὐ τος κ. τ. λ.:</u> for we are not ignorant of his devices.

"<u>νόημι</u> is generally (always in this epistle) used in a bad sense, of the thoughts of man's unregenerate heart. Here τὰ νούματα are the designs of the adversary of souls." 22

Satan also uses miraculous signs and wonders in his deception of people. This is brought out in II Thess, 2: 9: 65 certs of reposite that everytees rou secure ex many business that expenses the sorting of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders.

"Satan's doom will be sealed by the coming of Christ. Satan's Everytes is the process of activity whose product is effer. The impulse to everytes is Surous. The Juvous os this supernatural delusion is specially manifested in signs and wonders." 23

"The power of working miracles in order to deceive people . . .

21, Ibid.

^{20.} J.H.Bernard, a critical and exegetical commentary on "The Second Existic of Paul to the Corintinans," The Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. III, p. 19.

^{22.} Ibid.
23. James Moffatt, a critical and exegetical commentary on "The First and Second Epistles to the Thessalonians," The Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. IV, p. 49.

Oppressing men both spiritually and physically is also in the realm of the devil's personal activity as exemplified in Acts 10: 38: Incour the real to and Majareb. Es express addered to been resumed the real durance. Os dighter everytable can impress navnes to be cornered to the devil to devil the devil of the devil.

exphatic manner where in reality a new sentence should begin. Shere means 'he who'. Christ was the one who went from place to place (JA in the vorb). He was anointed by God Himself with the Holy Ghost and with power, and went about 'doing good and (to be specific)healing all those tyrannized by the devil'. Thus this Jesus of Mazareth healed "even the worst imaginable ailment . . . "In this graphic way the demoniacs are described. It is the physician Luke who records these words . . . Peter ascribes demoniacal possession to the devil (Stabeles, slanderer), the head of the hellish kingdom who acts through his spirit subjects." 25

The How Testament passages we have referred to in this chapter without a doubt portray the devil as a very personal enemy of every Christian and of the entire Church, the communion of saints. The passages show that having failed in conquering Christ the devil now engages very personally in

^{24.} Ibid. 25. Lenski, "The Interpretation of the Acts of the Apostles," p. 423.

a frontal attack on those who have become saints and fellow citizens in the kingdom of God and of Christ.

the print is not one or our life pointing to the shape with the life

the tare the first executive and the supplementation and the

" - Basic to a 17 of the support authority of the confirm specific

the district of the temporary property of the same of

to respect to exempted to exemple the process of the restrict for resident

the way on the past operators and referred comment of the Levil or other

where the original and principal many or district a coulding and

they tower, allowing and a measure of their their town.

Marinet to bertle six the older.

City is no with the little season the first like beautiful to the little of the little

Conclusion

In this theses we have examined New Testament passages to see what terms the New Testament uses to describe the devil. On the basis of the New Testament we have also studied the devil's general activity, his relation to Christ and his relation to the Church and thus to the individual Christian.

We have seen that the names the New Testament uses to refer to Satan give him the characteristics of an individual being and not of an imaginary influence only.

Under the topic of the general activity of the devil we saw that as prince of this world he takes a very personal interest in holding those that are his and using them to interfere with the preaching of the Word to those who have not as yet come to faith. He also afflicts some with bodily ailments and possesses others to their harm.

In his relation to Christ we saw that from the beginning he thought he might be successful in attacking the person of Christ and His redemptive work and was a constant and personal enemy of the Lord. Having failed in tempting Christ personally he attacked Him through His enemies and through the Lord's own disciples.

The temptations and attacks having failed, we showed that the devil now became the constant and personal enemy of Christ's Church, and continues the role of enemy and accuser by attacking the individual Christian at every opportunity.

From the passages examined it is our conclusion that the New Testament's

interest in the devil lies in his activity here and now. The New Testament shows quite plainly that he was and is a personal enemy of Christ and of every Christian.

So far as the devil is concerned in the New Testament, Christians are not to waste time and opportunity on idle speculation, but to keep their eyes fixed firmly on the Word and to be careful how they walk.

Buttery by the Esta that a Significant of the logic of the life.

there is no residence to the rest for the support of the second of the s

Agent Sans Step. V. Laure Science, Sinc D. Continue St. Laure Se.

and the first of a same for the contract of the same o

^{1.} I Peter 5: 8, 9a. 2. Ephesians 5: 15ff.

Bibliography

- Bernard, J.H., "The Second Epistle To The Corinthians," The Expositor's Greek Testament, III, Grand Rapids, W. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, n.d.
- Bruce, Alexander Balmain, "The Synoptic Gospels," The Expositor's Greek Testament, I, Grand Rapids, Vin. B. Eordmans Publishing Company, n.d.
- Dods, Marcus, "The Gospel of St. John, "The Expositor's Greek Testament, I, Grand Rapids, Ma. B. Ferdmans Publishing Company, n.d.
- Findley, G. G., "St. Paul's First Epistle To The Corinthians," The Expositor's Greek Testement, II, Grand Rapids, Wh. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, n.d.
- Frame, J. E., "Epistles Of St. Paul To The Thessalonians," International Critical Commentary, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1912.
- Hart, J. H. A., "The First Epistle General of Peter," The Expositor's Greek Testament, V, Grand Rapids, Um. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, n.d.
- Iddell and Scott, Greek-English Lexicon, revised and augmented by Jones and McKenzie, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1940.
- Lenski, R. C. H., The Interpretation of St. Matthew's Gospel, Columbus, The Wartburg Press, 1943.
- Lenski, R. C. H., The Interpretation of the Acts of the Apostles, Columbus, The Marbburg Press, 1944.
- Lenski, R. C. H., The Interpretation of the Epistles to the Galations, to the Ephesians, and to the Philippians, Columbus, The Martburg Press, 1946.
- Lonski, R. C. H., The Interpretation of St. Paul's Epistles to the Colossians, to the Thessalonians, to Timothy, to Titus and to Philenon, Columbus, The Warbburg Press, 1937.
- Mark and Ishe, New York, Funk & Wagnall Publishers, 1884.
- Meyer, H.A.W., A Critical and Exegetical Hand-Book to the Gospel of John, New York, Funk & Wagnall Publishers, 1884.

- Moffatt, James, "The First and Second Deistles To The Thesealonians,"

 "The Repositor's Greek Testament," IV, Grend Papids, Va. B. Berdmans Publishing Company, n.d.
- Plumer, A., "Gospel According to St. Lake," International Critical Conmentary, Real York, Charles Souther's Sons, 1906.
- Plumer, A., "Second Epistle of St. Peal to the Corinthians," International Critical Commentary, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1915.
- Robinson, Lowloon Greek-Durlich, n.d.
- Selmond, S.D.F., "The Spistle To The Sphesiens," The Sepositor's Greek Testement, III, Greek Rapide, Un. B. Berthans Publishing Company, n.d.
- Schorer, Paul, The Plicht of Freedom, Her York, Harper & Harper Brothers, 1948.
- Stewart, A. Horris, The Respiration of Jesus, Her York, Floring H. Revell Company, June 1903.

the same of the second second second second second second

Theyer, Joseph Henry, Greek-English Lordon of the Her Testament, How Kork, Harper & Drothers, 1999.