Concordia Seminary - Saint Louis Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary

Bachelor of Divinity

Concordia Seminary Scholarship

4-26-1948

The Doctrine of the Humanity of Christ According to the Epistle to the Hebrews

Carl Hiller Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, ir_hillerc@csl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv

Part of the Religious Thought, Theology and Philosophy of Religion Commons

Recommended Citation

Hiller, Carl, "The Doctrine of the Humanity of Christ According to the Epistle to the Hebrews" (1948). *Bachelor of Divinity*. 266. https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv/266

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Concordia Seminary Scholarship at Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bachelor of Divinity by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. For more information, please contact seitzw@csl.edu.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE HUMAN ITY OF CHRIST ACCORDING TO THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS water the married Enteries, proceeds the the

STATE OF STATE

A THESIS PRESENTED TO THE FACULTY OF CONCORDIA SEMINARY DEPARTMENTS OF EXEGETICAL AND SYSTEMATIC THEOLOGY

POSSILIES NAVION & BEFERRE ON THE DESTRICT OF THE HET

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE BACHELOR OF DIVINITY

-- the starders of the newsparty of Contor sould be biness

ters dentative Their strikes while not time to serve a clease BY

which and the state she when the state of the second states the

CARL HILLER 1948 APRIL 26, BE RIVER BELEVER BER REAR OF THE BELEVER BELL BE

andb APPROVED BY1_

INTRODUCTION

IN WRITING ON THE SUBJECT, "THE DOCTRINE OF THE HUMANITY OF CHRIST ACCORDING TO THE EPISTLE TO THE HEB-REWS", TWO METHODS OF PROCEDURE SEEM POSSIBLE. ONE WOULD BE TO WORK THROUGH THE ENTIRE EPISTLE, PICKING OUT ALL THE PASSAGES HAVING A BEARING ON THE DOCTRINE OF THE HU-MANITY OF CHRIST, AND THEN TREATING ALL THESE PASSAGES EXEGETICALLY IN THE ORDER IN WHICH THEY APPEAR IN THE EPISTLE. THE OTHER METHOD WOULD BE TO TAKE A STANDARD DOGMATICAL OUTLINE OF THE DOCTRINE OF THE HUMANITY OF CHRIST, AND THEN CULL OUT INDIVIDUAL PASSAGES FROM THE EPISTLE TO SUPPORT THE VARIOUS PARTS OF THIS PRE-DETER-MINED OUTLINE.

BOTH METHODS HAVE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. ONE OF THE ADVANTAGES OF THE FIRST IS THAT EVERYTHING RELATING TO THE DOGTRINE OF THE HUMANITY OF CHRIST WOULD BE DISCUS-SED. HOWEVER, THIS METHOD WOULD NOT TEND TO GIVE A GLEAR-GUT PICTURE OF THE DOCTRINE ITSELF. THERE WOULD BE SO MUCH SKIPPING ABOUT FROM ONE POINT TO ANOTHER THAT IN THE END A RATHER UNCOORDINATED VIEW OF THE DOCTRINE WOULD BE OBTAINED. THE REASON FOR THIS IS OBVIOUS, OF GOURSE. WHEN THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS WAS WRITTEN THE AUTHOR HAD NO INTENTION OF WRITING A DOGMATICAL TREATISE ON THE HUMA-NITY OF CHRIST. HE MAKES MANY IMPORTANT STATEMENTS IN RE-GARD TO THIS DOCTRINE, BUT ALL OF THESE STATEMENTS WERE MADE IN THE PROCESS OF UNFOLDING THE PLAN OF HIS EPISTLE. THEREFORE, IT NATURALLY FOLLOWS THAT THE ASSERTIONS HE MADE IN REGARD TO CHRIST'S HUMAN NATURE WILL NOT NECES-SARILY COME IN A SYSTEMATIC SEQUENCE, AND IF THEY ARE LIF-TED OUT OF THE BODY OF THE EPISTLE IN THE ORDER IN WHICH THEY COME, THEY CANNOT PRESENT A SYSTEMATIC PICTURE OF THE BOCTRINE OF THE HUMANITY OF CHRIST.

THE OTHER METHOD (ARRANGING THE VARIOUS PASSAGES BEARING ON THE DOCTRINE OF CHRIST'S HUMANITY ACCORDING TO A PRE-DETERMINED OUTLINE) ALSO HAS DISADVANTAGES. FOR ONE THING, IT WILL BRING TOGETHER PASSAGES THAT ARE, PERHAPS, SEPARATED BY SEVERAL CHAPTERS IN THE EPISTLE ITSELF, AND WILL THUS TEND TO COVER UP THE WONDERFUL PLAN OF THE EPISTLE. BUT THIS METHOD ALSO HAS A DISTINCT ADVANTAGE. IT WILL GIVE A CLEAR-CUT PICTURE OF THE DOCTRINE OF CHRIST'S HUMANITY AND AT THE SAME TIME WILL SHOW HOW GOM-PLETELY THIS DOCTRINE IS PRESENTED IN THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS.

To us this second method seemed the best one to use in writing on the subject, "The Doctrine of the Humanity of Christ According to the Epistle to the Hebrews", and so we have followed it in preparing this thesis.

IT MUST BE STATED HERE THAT IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS THESIS NOT ALL OF THE PASSAGES THAT HAVE A CONNECTION WITH THE DOCTRINE OF CHRIST'S HUMANITY HAVE BEEN TREATED. THE REA-SON WHY THIS WAS DONE IS THAT THE PURPOSE OF THIS THESIS

IS TO SHOW MERELY HOW COMPLETELY THE DOGTRINE UNDER CON-SIDERATION HAS BEEN SET FORTH IN THE EPISTLE TO THE HEB-REWS. IN CASES WHERE THERE WERE A NUMBER OF PASSAGES, ALL BRINGING OUT THE SAME POINT, ONLY A FEW OF THEM WERE TREATED. SINCE THIS THESIS DOES NOT CLAIM TO BE AN EXHAUS-TIVE TREATISE ON ALL THE PASSAGES REFERRING TO CHRIST'S HUMANITY, WE FEEL THAT WE WERE JUSTIFIED IN DOING THIS. AFTER ALL, IN SHOWING HOW COMPLETELY THE DOCTRINE OF THE HUMAN NATURE OF CHRIST IS SET FORTH IN THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO COVER EVERY SINGLE PASSAGE THAT TOUCHES ON THAT PARTICULAR DOCTRINE, SINCE SOME OF THE PASSAGES ONLY REPEAT WHAT HAS ALREADY BEEN STATED SLSE-WHERE. TO ILLUSTRATE: IF THERE ARE FIVE PASSAGES THAT ALL BRING OUT POINT "A" OF THE PRE-DETERMINED OUT LINE OF THE DOCTRINE, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO TREAT ALL FIVE PASSAGES JUST TO SHOW THAT POINT "A" IS COVERED IN THE EPISTLE. IF THIS PROCEDURE SEEMS SOME WHAT ARBITRARY, WE STATE AGAIN THAT THE PURPOSE OF THIS THESIS IS MERELY TO SHOW HOW COMPLETELY THE DOCTRINE OF CHRIST'S HUMANITY HAS BEEN REVEALED IN THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS.

THE QUESTION OF THE AUTHORSHIP OF THE EPISTLE HAS NOT BEEN ENTERED INTO AT ALL IN THIS PAPER. IT WAS NOT GON-SIDERED BEGAUSE WE GOULD NOT SEE THAT IT HAS ANY BEARING ON THE SUBJECT TREATED. FOR OUR PURPOSES IT MAKES LITTLE DIF-FERENCE WHO THE AUTHOR OF THE EPISTLE WAS. THE SAME THING APPLIES TO THE QUESTION OF THE ADDRESSEES OF THE EPISTLE.

THIS QUESTION HAS NO CONNECTION WITH THE SUBJECT UNDER CON-SIDERATION (AS FAR AS WE CAN SEE), THEREFORE, IT, TOO, HAS BEEN PASSED BY.

TEXTUAL PROBLEMS HAVE NOT BEEN ENTERED INTO, EXCEPT IN A FEW CASES WHERE IT WAS NECESSARY TO DO SO IN KEEPING WITH THE PURPOSE OF THE THESIS. IT SHOULD BE STATED THAT THE VARIANT READINGS ENCOUNTERED WERE USUALLY OF MINOR IMPORTANCE, THE TEXT USED IS THAT OF NESTLE, WURTTEMBERG-ISCHE BIBELANSTALT, 1932.

Working with the Epistle to the Hebrews in preparing this thesis has brought much enlightenment, comfort, and strength to the writer, as is always the case when sinful mortals study the riches of God's Word, and even though this paper will, no doubt, be buried in a dusty corner of a library, we nevertheless pray that some day it may help to show to some other child of God the glories and the nearness of the Lord Jesus, our Great High Priest. "For we have not an high priest which cannot be touched with the feeling of our infirmities; but was in all points tempted like as we are, yet without sin. Let us therefore come soldly unto the throne of grace, that we may obtain mergy, and find grace to help in time of need." --Heb. 4, 15. 16.

CHAPTER ONE; THE EFICTLE TO THE REARES ASSETTED TO CHAPTER ASSETTED.

DORS THE EPISTLE TO THE HEARENS ASSAIRS TO JESUS BURGE BARENT VEB. IT BEES. IN SH. 2. 6 WE REART TI SATIV XIDOWNON STI MUNNYAMMADTOD, 4 VLOC XVD OWNED STI SMEATTH RUTON, -

NAN THAT FROM ANT PLOTTER ATTACK OF HEREES

PROOF THAT CHRIST HAS A TRUE HUMAN NATURE

THIS PARTIDULAE FRALD IS A REASTANIC PEALS, DE MERCLY SCH FER TO MANY, 21, 15] SHERE CHRIST REMERLS PEALASES STR MESSIANIO CHARACTER, AND TO J. COR. 15, 27²ARO EPN. 1, 222 WHERE THE HOLY CHORY, SPREMARE THEOMON ST. PAUL, SOSTIRGE

THE PLACE COR & LEBETHY DESCRIPTION OF THE ROCTORS ON THEY CO.

Τκατ, Ραοιο Ο το Α. Μασαιαστο Ραοια το σιδασ αιος Γασο τας Γοιμουίαο πουρα, τάτον τας αυτους ατ Παροσσο αποτες τα απ. 2. Οι πάντη Οποταξας, Οπορατος Γών πούτων άυτος -- (ΤΤμου μαστ κατ αια ταιαστα το σουσστισα συστε αιο σαστ⁶.). Οποτε συστε γεστ ταπο <u>αια</u> ταιοπα κατ ια συσμεστιση. Οποτε συστ μαι'ς, του πουσται το τως δουιρ-

I. MY. EL. IGE IN AGGUEN TO VAL SATER ADIENTA JAS SCRIDER WHO BESERVES TO THE SOILDARE SIDDING, "MORLENA TO THE OGN OF DADLO", ATOMS COOTES PO. C. 2. "COT OF THE BOOTS OF BACKS AND SUPPLIED THE NEW PERFECTED PRAISE", THE REVEALING THE SERVICE OF THE PERFECTED PRAISE",

2. I COR. 75, 27: "For HE MATH PUT ALL THIRSE UNDER HIS MEET. SUT WHEN HE WAITH ALL THIRSE ARE NOT HEDER HIS, IT IS MARIPENT THAT HE IS EXCEPTED, WHICH DID PUT ALL THIRSE WEDER HIM".

S. CAN. I. 221 PAND DAYS OUT ALL THEME & SADER HED FEET------- CHAPTER ONE: THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES TO CHRIST HUMAN NAMES.

DOES THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBE TO JESUS HUMAN NAMES? YES, IT DOES. IN CH. 2, 6 WE READ! TI ET V & VORTIOS OTI MIN VYTRY & UTOU; & UTOS & VOR WITOU OTI ET IT & UTOV; -("WHAT IS MAN THAT THOU ART REMEMBERING HIM? OR THE SON OF MAN THAT THOU ART VISITING HIM?") THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS HERE QUOTES PSALM 8, A MESSIANIC PSALM. SINCE THIS IS NOT THE PLACE FOR A LENGTHY DISCUSSION OF THE QUESTION WHETHER THIS PARTICULAR PSALM IS A MESSIANIC PSALM, WE MERELY RE-FER TO MATT, 21, 16; WHERE CHRIST HIMSELF DECLARES ITS MESSIANIC CHARACTER, AND TO I COR. 15, 27² and Eph. 1, 22³ WHERE THE HOLY GHOST, SPEAKING THROUGH ST. PAUL, CONFIRMS IT.

THAT PSALM 8 IS A MESSIANIC PSALM IS CLEAR ALSO FROM THE FOLLOWING WORDS, WHICH THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS QUOTES IN CH. 2, 8: πάντα ύπεταξας ύποκάτω των ποδων άυτου – ("Thou hast put all things in subjection under HIS FEET".) UNDER WHOSE FEET WERE <u>ALL</u> THINGS PUT IN SUB-JECTION? CERTAINLY NOT MAN'S, FOR NOWHERE IN THE SCRIP-

I. MT. 21, 161 IN ANSWER TO THE CHIEF PRIESTS AND SCRIBES WHO OBJECTED TO THE CHILDREN SINGING, "HOSANNA TO THE SON OF DAVID", JESUS QUOTES PS. 8, 2, "OUT OF THE MOUTH OF BABES AND SUCKLINGS THOU HAST PERFECTED PRAISE", THUS REVEALING THE MESSIANIC CHARACTER OF THE PSALM.

2. I Cor. 15, 27: "For He hath put all things under His feet. But when He saith all things are put under Him, It is manifest that He is excepted, which did put all things under Him".

3. EPH. 1, 22: "AND HATH PUT ALL THINGS UNDER HIS FEET.......

TURES ARE WE TOLD THAT MAN WAS GIVEN DOMINION OVER ALL THINGS. (CF. GEN. 1, 26-28) IT IS TRUE THAT THE EARTH AND ALL THINGS IN IT WERE MADE SUBJECT TO MAN, BUT WHAT ABOUT THE SUN, THE MOON, THE STARS, AND THE ANGELS? THESE THINGS, TOO, ARE INCLUDED IN "ALL THINGS", FOR THE AUTHOR EXPRESSLY SAYS IN V. 8: $\vec{e}Y T \vec{\psi} \vec{j} \vec{x} \rho$ STOTA $\vec{e}_{AC} \vec{a} UT \vec{\psi}$ TA TAYTA OUSEV $\vec{x} \rho \vec{m} \kappa \vec{e} V \vec{a} U \vec{n} \delta \tau \kappa \tau \sigma V - ("FOR$ IN THAT HE SUBJECTED ALL THINGS UNDER HIM, HE LEFTNOTHING UNSUBJECTED UNDER HIM".) (CP. 1 COR. 15, 27) ASTATEMENT LIKE THAT GANNOT BE MADE CONDERNING MAN. IT ISTRUE ONLY OF JESUS.

ONE THING IS CERTAIN: THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS CORRECT-LY REGARDS PS. 8, 4-6 AS A MESSIANIC PSALM AND HE USES IT AS SUCH. THEREFORE, WHEN HE QUOTES IN CH. 2, 6 THESE WORDS OF PS. 8: τί ἐστιν ἀνθρωπος ὅτιμιμνήσκῃ ἀυτου; ἡ ὑίδς ἀνθρώπου ὅτι ἐπισκέπτῃ ἀυτόν; — WE HOLD THAT HE IS THEREBY ASCRIBING HUMAN NAMES TO CHRIST. IF JESUS IS THE "ONE" WHO FOR A TIME WAS ABASED BELOW THE ANGELS, AS THE AUTHOR STATES IN V. 9, AND IF THE "ONE" WHO FOR A TIME WAS ABASED BELOW THE ANGELS IS ἀνθρωπος OR ὑιὸς ἀνθρώπου AS PS. 8 CLEARLY SHOWS, THEN CERTAINLY THE WRITER REGARDS JESUS AS AN ἀνθρωπος.

> PRITZLAFF MEMORIAL LIBRARY CONCORDIA SEMINARY ST. LOUIS, MO.

CHAPTER TWO: THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES TO JESUS Human Flesh and blood.

IN THE EARLY CHRISTIAN CHURCH THERE WERE MEN WHO DENIED THAT JESUS HAD A TRUE HUMAN BODY, --- THAT HE ACTUALLY HAD REAL HUMAN FLESH AND BLOOD. THESE MEN DID NOT READ THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS VERY CLOSELY.

IN CH. 2, 144 THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS STATES: ETEL OUV TA TALDÍA KEKOLY WVYKEV ALVATOS KAL TAPKOS, KAL AUTOS TAPA-TIANTLUS METEOXEV TWOV AUTWV - ("SINCE, THEN, THE CHIL-DREN ARE SHARERS OF FLESH AND BLOOD, HE HIMSELF ALSO IN THE SAME WAY TOOK PART OF THE SAME ") To Tacdia - CONNECTS THIS VERSE TO THE PRECEDING AND DESIGNATES THE BELIEVERS, THE CHILDREN OF GOD. KEKOLVWV4KEV IS HERE CONSTRUED WITH THE GENITIVE, ACCORDING TO THE USAGE OF CLASSICAL GREEK. ELSEWHERE IN THE NEW TESTAMENT IT IS USED WITH THE DATIVE. THE WORD MEANS "TO BECOME A SHARER". THE "CHILDREN" ARE "SHARERS" OF FLESH AND BLOOD. THAT IS WHAT THEY ALL HAVE I IN COMMON. THE PERFECT TENSE INDICATES THE CONSTANT AND DEFINITIVE CHARACTER OF THIS SHARING. IT HAS TAKEN PLACE SINCE THE DAYS OF ADAM AND STILL CONTINUES TODAY. A MATOS KALTAPKOS-THE ORDER IS RATHER UNUSUAL. GENERALLY IT APPEARS JAPE KAI SIMA. ON TIXPATILYTIUS THAYER HAS: "SIMILARLY, IN LIKE MANNER, IN THE SAME WAY". THE WORD, AS IT IS USED IN THIS VERSE, IS THE EQUIVALENT OF KATA TAYTA IN V.L7. FOR THAT REASON IT SEEMS BEST TO TRANSLATE IT: "IN THE SAME WAY". THE ETERNAL SON OF GOD BECAME A SHARER OF HUMAN

FLESH AND BLOOD IN THE SAME WAY (TAPATALYTIUS) THAT ALL OTHER MEN ARE SHARERS OF FLESH AND BLOOD.4 HOWEVER, IT MUST NEVER DE FORGOTTEN THAT JESUS WAS A SINLESS SHARER OF HUMAN FLESH AND BLOOD. HIS HUMAN NATURE WAS NOT CORRUPTED BY THE SINFULNESS THAT BEFOULS OTHER MEN. MOLL SAYS: "GE-WISS IST TROKTIDUTIUS NICHT ALS EIN ERMASSIGTES ONOLUS ZU NEHMEN; DENN DER VERFASSER SAGT V. 17. KATA TIAVTA, UND ES WIRD NICHT EINE BLOSSE ANALOGIE DES LEBENS JESU MIT EINEM WIRKLICHEN MENSCHENLEBEN BEHAUPTET, ODER EINE ALLGE-MEINE ACHNLICHKEIT IN GEWISSEN, EINZELNEN VERGLEICHUNGS-PUNKTEN, DURCH WELCHE EIN EINIGERMASSEN VERWANDTES VERHÄLT-NISS ENSTANDEN WARE. ES HANDELT SICH HIER GERAGE UM HER-VORHEBUNG DER WAHREN UND VOLLEN MERSCHNEIT DES SONNES GOTTES. ABER DAS FRAGLICHE WORT IST DESSHALS DOCH NICHT "GLEICHFALLS" ZU ÜBERSETZEN (DE WETTE), ODER "GLEICHER-MASSEN" (BLEEK), SONDERN DRUCKT DIE WIRKLICHE ANNÄHERUNG, JEDOCH MIT HINDEUTUNG AUF DEN NIE WEGZUDENKENDEN UNTER-SCHIED JESU CHRISTI VON ALLEN ANDEREN MENSCHEN AUS (LUN. NACH CAMERO U. A.), WIE SOLCHES BESONDERS AUCH ROM. 8, 3 UND PHIL. 2. 7 GESCHIEHT."5 IT IS INTERESTING TO NOTE THAT

4. MARCUS DODS, "THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS", THE Ex-<u>POSITOR'S GREEK TESTAMENT</u>, GRAND RAPIDS, WM. B. EERDMAN'S PUBLISHING CO., VOL. IV, P. 267: "THIS HUMAN NATURE CHRIST ASSUMED Παραπλησίως WHICH CHRYSOSTOM INTERPRETS, OU PAVA-Τασία δυδέ σικόνιαλλ' αληθέια. IT MEANS NOT MERELY "IN LIKE MANNER", BUT "IN ABSOLUTELY THE SAME MANNER"; AS IN ARRIAN VII. 1, 9, JÙ SE άνθρωπος ῶν, Παραπλήσιος τοις αλλοις; HEROD. 111. LO4. JX εδον Παραπλήσιως, "ALMOST IDENTI-GAL"; SEE ALSO DIOD. SIG. V. 45." 5. CARL BERNARD MOLL, "DER BRIEF AN DIE HEBRER", THEO-

5. CARL BERNARD MOLL, "DER BRIEF AN DIE HEBRRER", THEO-LOGISCH-HOMILETISCHES BIBELWERK, LANGE-SCHAFF, BIELEFELD, VERLAG VON BELHAGEN UND KLASING, 4865, THEIL 12, P. 50

METETXEV IS IN THE ADRIST. THE POINT IS THAT THE IN-GARNATION IS A FACT ALREADY BELONGING TO THE PAST. JESUS STILL HAS HIS HUMAN NATURE, BUT THE ACT OF ASSUMING IT LIES IN THE PAST. TWO AUTWO, OF COURSE, REFERS BACK TO SUMATOS HAL TAPKOS.

IN THIS VERSE, THEN, THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS TELLS US IN PLAIN LANGUAGE THAT JESUS ASSUMED HUMAN FLESH AND BLOOD, THAT HE BECAME A SHARER WITH ALL BELIEVERS IN SOMETHING THAT IS COMMON TO ALL MEN.

THE AUTHOR ASCRIBES FLESH AND BLOOD TO JESUS ALSO IN CH. 10, 19.20, WHERE HE SAYS: EXOVTES OUV, & Selpor, TIXPpyriav els Tyr Elsobor Two Extime er The Linate Inson, y' EVERALVITEN YUIN ÉSON TROSPATON RALGUTAN Sia TOO KATATETATUATOS, TOUT' ÉTTLY TYS JAPKOS LUTOU ... -("HAVING THEREFORE, BRETHRED, FEARLESS CONFIDENCE IN REGARD TO THE ENTRANCE OF THE SANCTUARY BY THE BLOOD OF JESUS, WHICH (ENTRANCE) HE INAUGURATED FOR US AS A NEW AND LIVING WAY, THROUGH THE VEIL, THAT IS, OF HIS FLESH ") THE THING THAT INTERESTS US HERE, OF COURSE, ARE THE STATEMENTS: er TW KILATI INJOU , AND DIA TOU KATATIETA GUATOS, TOUT ETTEN TAS JAPKOS AUTOU. THE FIRST ONE IS QUITE CLEAR AND FOR OUR PURPOSES NEEDS NO COMMENT OTHER THAN TO SAY THAT THE AUTHOR HERE CLEARLY ASSERTS THAT JESUS HAS BLOOD . THE OTHER IN-VOLVES AN INTERESTING BIT OF IMAGERY. A KATATETATUA IS A VEIL OR CURTAIN. IN THE NEW TESTAMENT THE WORD IS USED TO DESIGNATE THE VEIL THAT SEPARATED THE HOLY OF HOLIES FROM THE NOLY PLACE IN THE TEMPLE. THROUGH THIS VEIL THE HIGH

PRIEST HAD TO PASS WHEN HE ENTERED INTO THE HOLY OF HOLIES TO PERFORM THE GEREMONIES WHICH GOD REQUIRED FOR THE SINS OF THE PEOPLE. (CF. LEV. 9, 16FF.) IT IS THIS FACT THE AUTHOR HAS IN MIND WHEN HE SAYS! TOOT ÉTTIV THIS FACT THE AUTHOR HAS IN MIND WHEN HE SAYS! TOOT ÉTTIV THIS FACT THE THROUGH THE VEIL OF HIS FLESH AND WITH HIS OWN BLOOD MAKING FULL ATONEMENT FOR THE SINS OF ALL MEN. APPLIED TO US THIS MEANS THAT WE GAN HOW HAVE FULL AND FREE BOLDHESS ALSO TO ENTER THE HEAVENLY SANCTUARY BY VIRTUE OF THE BLOOD OF JESUS. THERE IS NO OTHER WAY INTO THE HEAVENLY SANCTUARY THAN THROUGH THE VIGARIOUS ATONEMENT OF JESUS CHRIST, WHICH HE MADE FOR US ON THE GROSS, WHEN HIS HOLY, PRECIOUS BLOOD WAS POURED OUT FOR WS, AND HIS BODY WAS WOUNDED FOR US.⁶

THIS VERSE GIVES US A BEAUTIFUL AND HEART-WARMING PIC-TURE OF HOW JESUS, OUR GREAT HIGH PRIEST, WON ETERNAL SAL-VATION FOR US, AND HOW WE CAN NOW MAVE THAT SALVATION WITH ALL BOLDNESS AND CONFIDENCE. BUT IT ALSO GIVES US THE DE-FINITE ASSURANCE THAT JESUS HAD FLESH AND BLOOD, JUST AS WE HAVE FLESH AND BLOOD, AND THUS ESTABLISHES THE TRUTH THAT OUR LORD HAS A TRUE HUMAN NATURE.

6. THE ATTEMPT OF SOME COMMENTATORS TO MAKE THE RENDING OF THE VEIL OF THE TEMPLE AN ALLEGORY OF THE "RENDING" OF JESUS' BODY ON THE GROSS ON THE BASIS OF THIS PASSAGE IS UNWARRANTED BECAUSE SCRIPTURE DOES NOT TEACH IT. CF. DODS, OP. CIT., P. 346. CHAPTER THREE: THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES TO CHRIST Human descent.

B' BERENLARS THE TREE IS BYRES THAT OF A RI SING STAR.

IF JESUS WAS A TRUE HUMAN BEING, THEN HE MUST HAVE HAD HUMAN ANCESTORS. HE MUST HAVE HAD A HUMAN DESCENT. IT IS NOT IN THE ORDER OF NATURE, WHICH GOD HAS LAID DOWN, THAT MEN COULD HAVE ANGELS FOR PARENTS OR ANCESTORS. DOES THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS TELL US THAT JESUS HAD HUMAN ANCES-TORS? YES, IT DOES.

IN CH. 7, 14 WE ARE TOLD: TOOSANDON JOP OT CETADENT THAT OUR TETADEN O EXUPLOS MAN - ("FOR IT IS EVIDENT THAT OUR LORD HAS SPRUNG OUT OF JUDAN...") Toologdov- "Openly Evident; KNOWN TO ALL, MANIFEST". THE WORD IS USED TO EMPHASIZE THAT A CERTAIN THING IS OF COMMON KNOWLEDGE. NO DOUBT THE AUTHOR USED THE WORD IN THIS PASSAGE BEGAUSE HE KNEW THAT MANY OF HIS READERS WERE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH THE PAOTS OF JESUS' LIFE, HIS BIRTH, HIS ANCESTRY, ETC. THEN, TOO, HE KNEW THAT AS HEBREWS HIS READERS WERE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH THE OLD TESTAMENT SCRIPTURES, WHICH DECLARE IN QUITE A NUMBER OF PLACES THAT THE SAVIOR WAS TO GO ME FROM THE TRIBE OF JUDAH.?

THE VERB, XVX TET X) KEV , IS INTERESTING. IT HAS THE BASIC MEANING, "TO RISE, ARISE", AND IS FREQUENTLY USED WHEN SPEAKING OF THE RISING OF THE SUN (MT. 13, 6; JAS.

7. CF. IS. II, I AND COMPARE IT WITH I CHRON. 2, 3-5 AND 9-12. SEE ALSO REV. 5, 5: GEN. 49, 9.10. I, II), OR THE RISING OF CLOUDS (LK. 12, 54). IT ALSO HAS THE MEANING, "TO RISE FROM, BE DESCENDED FROM". THE FIGURE WHICH UNDERLIES THE VERB IS EITHER THAT OF A RISING STAR, WHICH COULD POSSIBLE BE REMINISCENT OF THE STAR OF JACOB (NUM. 24, 17), OR OF A TENDER SHOOT COMING OUT OF THE GROUND, WHICH WOULD CALL TO OUR MINDS THE $\pi \rho \chi$, THE "RIGHTEOUS BRANCH", OF JER. 23, 5.

IN THIS VERSE, THE N, THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS TELLS US THAT JESUS (δκώριος ήμων) WAS DESCENDED FROM THE TRIPE OF JUDAH. HE ASCRIBES TO JESUS HUMAN DESCENT. AND HE IS EMPHA-TIC ABOUT IT, FOR HE SAYS, Πρόδηλον - "AS EVERYONE KNOWS, AS IS OPENLY EVIDENT".

IN THE AUTHORIZED VERSION IT WOULD SEEM THAT CH. 2, 16 IS A PASSAGE THAT ALSO ASCRIBES TO JESUS HUMAN DESCENT. THERE WE READ: "FOR VERILY HE TOOK NOT ON <u>HIM THE NATURE</u> OF ANGELS: BUT HE TOOK ON <u>HIM</u> THE SEED OF ABRAHAM". (THE UNDER-LINED WORDS ARE IN ITALICS IN THE AUTHORIZED VERSION.) LOOK-ING INTO THE ORIGINAL GREEK, WE FIND: OU JAP SUTTOU & JELOV ETIL AMBAVETAL, & DA STAGE LIES IN THE MEANING OF THE WORD,

USUALLY THE WORD MEANS, "TO TAKE, LAY HOLD OF, TAKE POSSESSION OF". HOWEVER, IT CAN ALSO HAVE THE MEANING, "TO TAKE HOLD OF FOR THE PURPOSE OF HELPING, TO HELP, TO SUC-COR". CHRYSOSTOM, OECUMENIUS, THEOPHYLAGT, PRIMASIUS, ERASMUS, LUTHER, CLARIUS, CALVIN, BEZA, CALOV, AND MANY OTHERS TOOK THE WORD IN ITS FIRST MEANING AND CONSEQUENTLY INTERPRE-

TED THE PASSAGE AS REFERRING TO CHRIST'S ASSUMING OF HUMAN NATURE, NOT THE NATURE OF ANGELS. HOWEVER, THIS VIEW IS UN-TENABLE FOR GRAMMATICAL REASONS. FOR ONE THING, THE PRESENT TENSE, ETILALBAVETAL, DOES NOT FIT THE ASSUMPTION OF HUMAN NATURE BY CHRIST, SINCE THAT IS AN ACT THAT LIES IN THE PAST. FURTHERMORE, THE WORD, "NATURE" (A.V.), IS NOT FOUND IN THE TEXT, BUT IT HAS TO BE THERE IN ORDER TO TRANSLATE AS THE AUTHORIZED VERSION DOES. THOLUCK SAYS: "ALS FALSCH ERWEISET SICH JENE ALTERE ERKLARUNG AUS FOLGENDEN GRUNDEN: 1) ETTILAR Bayeral MIT DEM GEN. HAT DIE BEDEUTUNG: "JEMANDEN AN EINEM THEILE DES KÖRPERS ANFASSEN, INSBESONDERE AN DER HAND, UM IHM ZU HELFEN'. SIRACH 4, 11. JEREM. 51, 32., SO DASS DIE VULG. RICHTIGER ALS DIE ITALA APPREMENDIT GEBRAUCHT HAT, NUR DASS DIESES ZEITWORT WIEDERUM NICHT VOM ANNEHMEN DER MENSCHLICHEN NATUR VERSTANDEN WERDEN KANNE 2) ETILAMBAVETAL STEHT IM PRASENS, WOZU AUCH KUINOEL BEMERKT: PRAESENS VIM AORISTI HABET. VIELMEHR IST DAS ERLÖSUNGSWERK ALS EIN DURCH DIE MENSCHWERD-UNG CHRISTI ANGEFANGENES, ABER STETS FORTGEHENDES GEDACHT. WURDE JEDOCH ETILAMBAVETBAL VOM ANNEHMEN DER MENSCHLICHEN NATUR ERKLART, SO MUSSTE DER AORIST ODER DAS PERFEKTUM STEHEN; 3) MENSCH WERDEN' KANN NICHT HEISSEN Day Baver ODER 21x-LAMBAVELV TOUS AVED WITOUS , NICHT EINMAL L'VED WITOUS JEVET BAL, DAFUR MUSSTE NOTHWENDIG STEHEN THY QUILV TWY 200 PUTWY avalauBavecv (DIES WORT VOM ANZIEHN DER KLEIDER HAUFIG GE-BRAUCHT), ODER XVOPWHOS YEVETORL --VIEL WENIGER KANN ABER ETILAL BAVETBAL C. GEN. JENE BEDEUTUNG HABEN; 4) DIESER STAZ

MIT Yap Soll DEN STAZ METET XE JAPROS KAI ÁIMATOS (V.14) ERLÄUTERN, BEI JENER AUFFASSUNG WÜRDE ABER <u>IDEM PER IDEM</u> GE-SAGT.⁸ WE THEREFORE ADOPT THE SECOND MEANING OF $\dot{c}\pi i \lambda a\mu \beta \dot{a}\nu\omega$ AND TRANSLATE THUS: "FOR GERTAINLY NOT OF ANGELS DOES HE TAKE HOLD OF FOR THE PURPOSE OF HELPING, BUT OF ABRAMAM'S SEED DOES NE TAKE HOLD OF FOR THE PURPOSE OF HELPING". THIS TRANSLATION CERTAINLY FAVORS THE CONTEXT, ESPECIALLY THE FOLLOWING, WHERE THE IDEA OF JESUS HELPING HIS BRETHREN IS EXPANDED. IT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED THAT THE SUBJECT OF $\dot{c}\pi i \lambda a\mu \beta a \nu c \tau a i$ is $\dot{o} \beta a \nu a \tau c s$ FROM THE PRECEDING VERSE (V.15). THAT IS, INDEED, GRAMMATICAL-LY POSSIBLE, BUT IT IS LOGICALLY NOT COMMENDABLE SINCE CHRIST IS THE SUBJECT IN VV. 14, 15, 17.

IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE FACTS WE MUST RULE OUT CH. 2, 16 AS A PROOF FOR CHRIST'S HUMAN DESCENT. HOWEVER, THE FACT REMAINS THAT THE EPISTLE TO THE MEBREWS ASCRIBES TO JESUS HUMAN DESCENT IN CH. 7, 14, AND AGAIN WE MEET WITH UNDENIABLE PROOF THAT OUR LORD AND SAVIOR IS OUR BROTHER IN THE FLESH, A TRUE HUMAN BEING.

IN THIS CONNECTION IT WILL BE PROFITABLE TO ASK WHETHER OR NOT THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS A SCRIBES TO CHRIST A REALLY HUMAN BIRTH. (ALTHOUGH THE VIRGIN BIRTH INVOLVES A GREAT MIRACLE, INSOFAR THAT THE ETERNAL SON OF GOD, $\delta \times \delta \times \delta$, was GONGEIVED IN THE WOMB OF THE VIRGIN MARY BY THE HOLY GHOST, NEVERTHELESS THE BIRTH ITSELF WAS A NATURAL, HUMAN ONE, EXCEPT THAT JESUS WAS BORN WITHOUT SIN. JESUS CAME FORTH FROM HIS

8. A. THOLUCK, KOMMENTER ZUM BRIEFE AN DIE HEBRÄER (2 VER8. AUF).; HAMBURG: F. PERTHES, 1840), PP.1178 - 179.

des Trevalerer, force, co.; Bes Yorki Auchicas Book Co..

MOTHER'S WOMB IN THE SAME MANNER AS ANY OTHER NORMAL HUMAN CHILD. CF. LK. I, 42 AND 2, 6.7.) IN ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION WE CANNOT SAY THAT THE WRITER OF HEBREWS ASCRIBES TO JESUS A REALLY HUMAN BIRTH IN SO MANY WORDS, BUT, WE HOLD. HE CERTAIN-LY IMPLIES IT. ONE PASSAGE, ESPECIALLY, MUST BE CONSIDERED HERE, NAMELY, CH. 2, 17, WHERE WE READ: SOEV WOELLEV KATA TANTA TOUS à Sed pous open Wayvar - ("WHEREFORE IN ALL THING'S IT BEHOOVED HIM TO BE MADE LIKE HIS BRETHREN" ...) OBEY-"WHEREFORE", REFERS BACK TO VV. 14-16, WHERE THE MAIN POINT IS THAT SINCE THE "CHILDREN" WHOM CHRIST WAS TO REDEEM WERE PAR-TAKERS OF FLESH AND BLOOD, HE ALSO HAD TO BECOME A SHARER OF THE SAME. "ROEILEV - "HE OUGHT", AN EXPRESSION, NOT OF THE NECESSITY FOUNDED IN THE DECREE OF GOD (CF. LK. 24, 26), BUT OF THAT FOUNDED IN THE NATURE OF THE CASE ITSELF. OGECIW IS "USED... OF A NECESSITY INPOSED EITHER BY LAW AND DUTY, OR BY REASON, OR BY THE TIMES, OR BY THE NATURE OF THE MATTER UNDER CONSIDERATION". 9 OHOLWOJVAL - "TO BECOME LIKE", SHOULD NOT BE PRESSED TO MEAN "TO BECOME EQUAL", BECAUSE ALTHOUGH JESUS DID ASSUME A TRULY HUMAN NATURE, HE WAS STILL DISTINGUISHED FROM HIS BRETHREN BY HIS ABSOLUTE SINLESSNESS. KATA TIXVTA-"IN ALL THINGS, IN ALL RESPECTS". THESE ARE THE IMPORTANT WORDS IN OUR PASSAGE. IF JESUS WAS TO BE MADE LIKE HIS BRE-THREN IN ALL THINGS, AND IF ONE COMMON CHARACTERISTIC OF ALL HIS BRETHREN IS THAT THEY WERE BORN INTO THIS WORLD BY HUMAN MOTHERS, THEN JESUS, TOO, WOULD HAVE TO MAVE A TRULY HUMAN

9. JOSEPH HENRY THAYER, <u>A GREEK-ENGLISH LEXICON OF THE</u> <u>New Testament</u>, (corr. ed.; New York: American Book Co., 1910?) P. 469 (under δφείλω).

BIRTH. CHRYSOSTOM SAYS: TI ÉTTI KATA TÁVTA; ÉTEXOM, MMJÍV, ÉTRAPM, MUZMOM, ÉTIA DE TÁVTA ÁTIER EXOMV, TÉXOS ÀTÉBAVEV.¹⁰ IF CHRIST HAD NOT BEEN BORN INTO THE WORLD OF A HUMAN MOTHER, IF HE DID NOT HAVE A REALLY HUMAN BIRTH (DI SREGARDING HERE, OF COURSE, HIS MIRACULOUS CONCEPTION BY THE HOLY GHOST), THEN THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS COULD NOT HAVE WRITTEN, KATA TAYTA. NATURALLY THIS PASSAGE CANNOT BE USED AS A PRIMARY PROOF OF THE REALLY HUMAN CHARACTER OF JESUS¹ BIRTH; BUT, AS WE SAY, THIS TRUTH IS GERTAINLY CONTAINED IN IT, ESPEDIALLY WHEN IT IS CON-SIDERED IN ITS CONTEXT.

10. QUOTED IN GOTTLIEB LÜNEMANN, "COMMENTARY ON THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS", <u>Meyer's Commentary on the New</u> <u>Testament</u>, New York, Funk and Wagnalls, 1890, vol. on Tim., Heb., Titus, p. 444 (footnote).

TRACT, MATHITRE HART OFFICELOUG. OF THE BLD SECTIONER, ASTERTS.

HOUSEVER, IP-THAT ID. THE SPARING OF THE ADVISIT. IT POULS SEEN

CONVERTED BETR THE ARE OFFETERES CONVERTERES CONVER

SLEDSED FRETH THAT WE HAVE DEED DARDTIFES STORE TOP ALL " BY

THE APPERENTS OF THE APPENDING ON APPENDING THE ON . TO BE THE

AND IN DR. 9, 28 ME USER WHET IN THE RADE, SOMPLETION, WHICH

the Matty of alles Pa 140.

VECOEDROLT AND & DEDGING TOPRESES IN A VINCLEVOL SCIENCE.

CHAPTER FOUR: THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES TO CHRIST A HUMAN BODY, AND HUMAN EMOTIONS.

OUR LORD JESUS CHRIST HAD A HUMAN BODY. THE EVANGELISTS TELL US SO, AND THE AUTHOR OF THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ALSO TELLS US SO. IN CH. 10, 10 WE ARE TOLD: YYIATHEVOL ETHEN SIA Της προσφοράς του σωματος Ιησου Χριστου έφατιαξ -("WE ARE SANCTIFIED THROUGH THE OFFERING OF THE BODY OF JESUS CHRIST ONCE FOR ALL".) TIPOSTOPAS-"A SACRIFICE"; THIS IS THE SENSE IN WHICH THE WORD IS GENERALLY USED IN THE NEW TESTA-MENT. Tou Junatos Intou Xpistou - GENITIVE OF THE OB-JECT (THAYER), THUS INDICATING WHAT WAS SACRIFICED. EPATAE-ON THIS WORD THAYER LISTS THE FOLLOWING: "ONCE, AT ONCE, I.E., A) OUR "ALL AT ONCE", B) OUR "ONCE FOR ALL"." SOME COMMENTA-TORS CONNECT THIS WORD WITH THE "OFFERING OF THE BODY OF JESUS CHRIST", THUS EMPHASIZING THE ONE OFFERING OF CHRIST IN CON-TRAST WITH THE MANY OFFERINGS OF THE OLD TESTAMENT PRIESTS. HOWEVER, IF THAT IS THE MEANING OF THE AUTHOR, IT WOULD SEEM THAT HE WOULD HAVE REPEATED THE ARTICLE TAS. MOLL SAYS: E9and & GENORT NICHT ZU TPOSTOPAS , WEIL DANN DER ARTIKEL WIEDERHOLT SEIN MUSSTE, SONDERN ZU YXLATHEVOL ETHEV. CONNECTED WITH "WE ARE SANCTIFIED", EQATINE EMPHASIZES THE BLESSED TRUTH THAT WE HAVE BEEN SANCTIFIED "ONCE FOR ALL" BY THE OFFERING OF THE BODY OF JESUS CHRIST. IN CH. 7, 27 THE AUTHOR APPLIES Equita & TO CHRIST'S OFFERING UP OF HIMSELF, AND IN CH. 9, 28 HE USES Trace IN THE SAME CONNECTION, WHICH

11. MOLL, OP. CIT., P. 140.

MEANS THAT HE HAS ALREADY EMPHASIZED THE FACT THAT CHRIST'S SACRIFICE IS FOR ONCE AND FOR ALL. Now, IN THE VERSE UNDER CONSIDERATION, HE EMPHASIZES THE FACT THAT WE HAVE BEEN SANC-TIFIED ONCE FOR ALL THROUGH THIS SACRIFICE OF THE BODY OF CHRIST. A FEW COMMENTATORS TRY TO MAKE TIPOTOPOR TOU TW-MATOS LASSO XPLOTOU MEAN THE SELF-PRESENTATION OF CHRIST IN THE HEAVENLY HOLY OF HOLIES. SUCH AN INTERPRETATION IS HARDLY PROPER EITHER LINGUISTICALLY OR LOGICALLY. THE WORD TIPOTOPOR IN THE NEW TESTAMENT ALMOST ALWAYS MEANS "SACRIFICE", AND THAT THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS USES IT IN THAT SENSE IN THIS PAS-SAGE IN CONNECTION WITH THE BODY OF CHRIST IS ONLY TOO APPA-RENT. (CP. CH. 9, 28)

IN THIS VERSE, THEN, THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS TELLS US THAT WE HAVE BEEN SANCTIFIED ONCE FOR ALL THROUGH THE OFFERING OF THE BODY OF CHRIST. THE OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION OF THE WHOLE HUMAN RACE WAS ACCOMPLISHED ONCE FOR ALL (CF. CH. 7, 27; CH. 9, 28) WHEN THE LAMB OF GOD WAS OFFERED UP ON MT. CALVARY AS THE ATONEMENT FOR THE SINS OF ALL MEN. THIS GLORIOUS TRUTH IS MOST FIRMLY ESTABLISHED. ITS FOUNDATION IS IN ETERNITY, AND IT SHALL ENDURE TO ALL ETERNITY. AND FROM THIS SUBLIME TRUTH FLOWS THE COMFORTING TRUTH OF SUBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION. AS INDIVIDUAL SINNERS WE ARE NOW SANCTIFIED ONCE FOR ALL THROUGH ALL ADDED FAITH IN THE VICARIOUS ATONEMENT OF JESUS CHRIST. EACH INDI-VIDUAL CHILD OF GOD HAS FULL AND COMPLETE FORGIVENESS OF SIN FOR ALL TIME THROUGH FAITH IN THE ONE COMPLETE OFFERING UP OF THE BODY OF THE SAVIOR OF THE WORLD. BUT WHEN THE WRITER OF

HEBREWS TELLS US THAT WE ARE SANCTIFIED ONCE FOR ALL THROUGH THE OFFERING OF THE BODY OF JESUS CHRIST, HE GIVES US ANOTHER PROOF OF THE TRUE HUMANITY OF OUR LORD, FOR HE TELLS US THAT JESUS HAS A BODY, AND THAT IS SOMETHING ALL TRUE HUMAN BEINGS HAVE.

IN CH. 5. 7-9 WE FIND ANOTHER PASSAGE IN WHICH THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS ASCRIBES TO JESUS A HUMAN CHARACTERISTIC. THERE WE READI OS EN TAIS MUEPALS TUS JAPROS LUTOU Senjoers TE RAL ÉRETAPÉRS TOOS TOU SUVAMEVON OU GELV ZUTOV EK DAVATOU METZ KPAUZUS is Xupás Kal dakpuwv TPOJEVEZKAS KAL ELJAKOUTBELS ATTO TAS EULABELAS, Kainep inv vios, epader aq inv enader Tyv una-KOMY, KaL TENELWDELS EXEVETO TRASLY TOLS UTTAKOUOUSIV LUTE LITIOS TWTYPIAS LUVIOU -WHO IN THE DAYS OF HIS FLESH, HAVING OFFERED UP PRAYERS AND SUPPLICATIONS WITH STRONG CRYING AND TEARS TO THE ONE ABLE TO SAVE HIM OUT OF DEATH, AND HAVING BEEN HEARD FOR HIS GODLY FEAR, THOUGH HE BEING A SON, YET HE LEARNED OBEDIENCE BY THE THINGS WHICH HE SUFFERED; AND HAVING BEEN MADE PERFECT, HE BE-CAME THE AUTHOR OF ETERNAL SALVATION TO ALL THAT OBEY HIM.")

DOES THE AUTHOR HERE REFER TO THE PRAYERS WHICH JESUS OFFERED UP IN THE GARDEN OF GETHSEMANE? IN ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION WE SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING :

1) THE FRAMEWORK OF THIS LONG SENTENCE IS THIS -- & év TRIS AMERALS THIS TAPROS RUTOU ... EMABEV ... THY UTAROMY,

等 "你知道你 重年 当我 法无望的关系可以重要 医结扎系统能觉 医结束节 计机态印象 如果如果 本的 医结束

Kal... έγένετο.... άιτιος τωτηρίας άιωνίου. FROM THIS IT IS GLEAR THAT OS EV TAIS ήμεραις της σαρκός άυτου REFERS TO CHRIST'S WHOLE LIFE IN THE FLESH, AND THAT HE "LEARNED OBEDIENCE" THROUGHOUT HIS WHOLE LIFE, (CF. MT. 3, 15; 4, 1-11; LK. 2, 49-52; LK. 12, 50; JN. 9, 4; 12, 27.)

2) CONSEQUENTLY, IT IS NOT CORRECT TO CONNECT OS ÉV TAIS YUÉDAIS TYS JAPHOS AUTOU WITH TIPOTEVEZHAS HAI ÉIJAHOUTBÉIS AND THEN ARGUE THAT JESUS "LEARNED OBEDIENCE" ONLY ON ONE OC-CASION IN HIS LIFE, THE ONE WHEN HE "OFFERED UP (PRAYERS AND SUPPLICATIONS) AND WAS HEARD".

3) BUT RATHER, SINCE ÉS ÉV TRIS MUGERALS THE JAPROS LOTOD... EMADEN THY ÚTIRKOMV.... REFERS TO JESUS' WHOLE LIFE IN THE FLESH (STATE OF HUMILIATION), WE MUST NOT MAKE THEOTEVES-KAS KAL ELTAKOUTOELS REFER TO ONLY ONE SPECIFIC INCIDENT IN HIS LIFE, BUT TO AN OFFERING UP OF PRAYERS AND SUPPLICATIONS WHICH HE CARRIED ON THROUGHOUT ALL "THE DAYS OF HIS FLESH". (CF. MK. 1,35; 6, 46; LK. 5, 16; 6, 12; 9, 18; MT. 11, 25; LK. 3, 21; JN. 11, 41.)

4) ON ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE OBSERVATIONS WE HOLD THAT THIS VERSE (CH. 5, 7) MUST NOT BE RESTRICTED TO CHRIST'S PRAYER IN GETHSEMANE. WE ARE CONFIRMED IN OUR OPINION BY THE PACT THAT THE EVANGELISTS DO NOT SAY SPECIFICALLY THAT JESUS SHED TEARS IN GETHSEMANE. (CF. MT. 26, 36-46; MK. 14, 32-42; LK. 22, 39-46; JN. 18, I.) THEY DO RECORD THE FACT THAT HE WEPT ON OTHER OCCASIONS (CF. JN. II, 35; LK. 19, 41.) WE DO NOT WISH TO SAY THAT IT IS ALTOGETHER UNLIKELY THAT JESUS WEPT IN THE GARDEN OF GETHSEMANE, BUT IT IS, NEVERTHELESS, TRUE THAT NONE OF THE EVANGELISTS SAY THAT HE DID.

5) THOSE WHO LOOK UPON THIS VERSE (CH. 5, 7) AS A REFE-RENCE TO GETHSEMANE MAKE MUCH OF THE STATEMENT, THOS TON SUNA-MEVON TULELV LUTON EN ORVATOU, ARGUING THAT THESE WORDS ARE AN INDICATION OF WHAT JESUS PRAYED FOR, NAMELY, DELIVERANCE FROM DEATH. THAT CAN VERY WELL BE; BUT IF THESE WORDS DO IN-DICATE WHAT JESUS PRAYED FOR, THEN GETH SEMANE IS RULED OUT. BECAUSE ON THAT OCCASION HE PRAYED TO BE DELIVERED TTO DAVATOU ("LET THIS CUP PASS FROM ME", MT. 26, 39; MK. 14, 36; LK. 22. 42.), AND NOT, AS OUR VERSE STATES, "EK OXVATOU ". THERE IS A DIFFERENCE. "A TO DAVATOU CONVEYS THE IDEA OF BEING DELIV-ERED FROM DYING, OF BEING SPARED THE NECESSITY OF HAVING TO TASTE OF DEATH. "EK OXVATOU EXPRESSES THE IDEA OF BEING SAVED OUT OF THE STATE OR CONDITION OF DEATH, OF BEING DELI-VERED FROM THE GRIP OF DEATH. (CF. THAYER ON "EK", 1.5.) WE KNOW THAT JESUS WAS NOT DELIVERED 2 TO DAVATOU , AND WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS WITH HIS STATEMENT. "AND WAS HEARD" (CITAKOUT DELS)? BUT WE ALSO KNOW THAT JESUS WAS DELIVERED CK AXVX TOU (THE RESURRECTION). THIS FITS THE AUTHOR'S "ELTAKOUTBELS " VERY WELL.

6) IF IT BE ARGUED THAT NOWHERE ARE WE TOLD OF AN OG-CASION WHEN JESUS PRAYED WITH TEARS, AND THAT THE GETH SEMANE PRAYER COMES CLOSEST TO FITTING THIS DESCRIPTION, WE REPLY THAT ON MANY OCCASIONS JESUS PRAYED IN PRIVATE (GF. MK. 1, 35; 6, 46; LK. 5, 16; 6, 12), AND THAT IT IS NOT AT ALL UNREASON-

ABLE TO ASSUME THAT THE HOLY SPIRIT REVEALED TO THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS SOMETHING THAT HE DID NOT SEE FIT TO REVEAL TO THE EVANGELISTS. OF COURSE, THIS IS PURE CONJECTURE, BUT IT IS NOT AN IMPOSSIBILITY.

BUT WHETHER THIS VERSE (CH. 5, 7) REPERS TO GETHSEMANE OR NOT, THE FAGT REMAINS THAT WE ARE TOLD HERE THAT JESUS OFFERED UP PRAYERS AND SUPPLICATIONS WITH STRONG CRYING AND TEARS. WITH THESE WORDS IS ASCRIBED TO JESUS SOMETHING THAT IS UNI-VERSALLY HUMAN, NAMELY, GRYING AND TEARS. IT IS REVEALED TO US HERE THAT OUR SAVIOR HAD HUMAN EMOTIONS, THAT HE COULD BE-COME DEEPLY DISTRESSED AND GIVE EXPRESSION TO HIS DISTRESS IN CRYING AND TEARS. NO WONDER THAT HE IS NOT ASHAMED TO GALL US SRETHREN! AND WHAT A SOURCE OF COMFORT AND STRENGTH IT SHOULD BE TO US TO KNOW THAT IN THE HEAVENS WE HAVE A SAVIOR-KING WHO KNOWS AND UNDERSTANDS OUR GRIEFS, OUR SORROWS, OUR TEARS, AND OUR WEEPING! HE KNOWS THESE THINGS FROM FIRST-HAND EX-PERIENCE. TRULY, HE IS OUR BROTHER!

THAT HAT DE IS ALTONETHER EPERATEDES. SUCH BOTH DAS BEATRAL.

LV ARTER FROM & DERIVAL OF THE OLEAN SOME PERMAL TRUTH THAT

JERON WAS NOT ONLY & TRUMAN DELYNS, SET AL ON THE STREAM TOR

CHAPTER FIVE: THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES TO CHRIST Human Suffering and Death.

ANOTHER PROOF OF THE TRUE HUMAN ITY OF CHRIST IS FOUND IN THE FACT THAT THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASSERTS THAT HE ENDURED HUMAN SUFFERING.

IN CH. 2, 10 WE READS ... TOV & pXy YOV TYS TWTYPLAS aUTWV Dia TIZ BAJARTWY TELELW JAL- ("... TO MAKE THE CAPTAIN OF THEIR SALVATI ON PERFECT THROUGH SUFFERINGS".) APXMXOV - AS THE WORD IS USED IN THIS PASSAGE IT SIMPLY MEANS "AUTHOR". IT 18 EQUIVALENT TO XITIOS IN CH. 5. 9.12 GENERALLY IT HAS THE MEANING, "ONE WHO LEADS, FURNISHING FIRST CAUSE OR OC-CASION FOR SUCH LEADING". "AUTWY- REFERS BACK TO TOLLOUS VIOUS. THE VERB, TEXEL WOLL HAS THE MEANING, "TO BRING TO THE END (GOAL) PROPOSED". THE EXACT NATURE OF THE GOAL TO WHICH THE FATHER PROPOSED TO BRING JESUS. "THE AUTHOR OF THEIR SALVATION", IS STATED IN THE PRECEDING VERSE, NAMELY. SOLY KAL TIMY STEPAVOUS OXL. THE FATHER'S GOAL WAS TO CROWN JESUS WITH GLORY AND HONOR (STATE OF EXALTATION). THE IDEA OF SOME COMMENTATORS THAT GOD PROPOSED TO BRING JESUS TO AN INNER MORAL PERFECTION. OR SOMETHING ELSE OF THAT NATURE IS ALTOGETHER ERRONEOUS. SUCH NOTIONS GENERAL-LY ARISE FROM A DENIAL OF THE GLEAR SCRIPTURAL TRUTH THAT JESUS WAS NOT ONLY A HUMAN BEING, BUT ALSO THE ETERNAL SON

12. THAVER, OP. CIT., P. 77, ON 20× 4805 : " 'THE AUTHOR' ITAS & WAS ACTS 3, 15; TAS & WTAPIAS, HEB. 2, 10. (OFTEN SO IN PROF. AUTH. ITWV TAVTWV, OF GOD, PLATO, TIM. LOCR. P.96C.; TOU 26VOUS TWV & OPWITWV, OF GOD, DIOD. 5, 72; 20×4705 KAC XLTLOS, LEADER AND AUTHOR, ARE OFTEN JOINED, AS POLYB. 1, 66, 10; HDIAN. 2, 6; 22.) CF. BLEEK ON HEB. VOL. 11, 1, PG. 301 SQ." OF GOD. LENSKI SAYS: "WAS JESUS EVER MORALLY IMPERFECT, MORALLY INCOMPLETE? OUR VERSIONS WITH THEIR 'MAKE PERFECT' MIGHT MISLEAD THEIR READERS, BUT THE GREEK INFINITIVE WILL NEVER DO SON.¹³

IN THIS VERSE, THEN, THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS TELLS US THAT GOD PROPOSED TO BRING JESUS, THE AUTHOR OF OUR SALVATION, TO THE GOAL (GLORY AND MONOR) THROUGH SUFFERINGS. IN THE PLAN OF SALVATION IT WAS GOD'S WILL THAT JESUS SHOULD SUFFER FOR THE SINS OF ALL MEN. BY THAT SUFFERING, WHICH HE ENDURED ON THE GROSS, HE BECAME THE AUTHOR OF OUR SALVATION, HE BROUGHT OUR SALVATION INTO BEING; AND THROUGH THAT SAME SUF-FERING HE ENTERED INTO HIS STATE OF EXALTATION, IN WHICH HE WAS CROWNED WITH GLORY AND HONOR. BUT WHEN THE AUTHOR TELLS US THIS, HE GIVES US ANOTHER PROOF OF CHRIST'S TRUE HUMANITY, FOR HE ASCRIBES SUFFERING TO HIM. WHICH SUFFERINGS DOES THE AUTHOR HAVE IN MIND HERE? WITH OUT DOUBT HE MEANS ALL THE SUF-FERINGS WHICH JESUS ENDURED THROUGHOUT HIS ENTIRE STATE OF HUMILIATION (GF. MT. 2, 13; LK. 2, 1FF.). THERE IS NOTHING IN THE PASSAGE THAT INDICATES ONLY THE "PASSIO MAGNA".

IN CH. 13, 12 WE READ: Sid Kai IMTOUS, iva ágiáon Sia Tou isiou áimatos tor daor, égu tús túdas étia Ber - ("Wherefore Jesus also, in order that he might sanctify the people with his own blood, suffered outside of the gate".) The verb, , has the meaning, "to purify by explation" (to free

13. R.C.H. LENSKI, THE INTERPRETATION OF THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS AND OF THE EPISTLE OF JAMES, P. 82.

FROM THE GUILT OF SIN). THAT THIS IS THE MEANING OF THE AUTHOR IS CLEAR FROM THE FACT THAT HE CONNECTS & FLATY WITH THE SUFFERING OF CHRIST, AS HE DOES IN CH. 10, 10.14.29. IT WAS THE PURPOSE OF JESUS TO CLEANSE THE PEOPLE OF THEIR GUILT THROUGH HIS SUFFERING AND DEATH. THE PHRASE, Six TOU idiou LIMATOS , PUTS THE EMPHASIS ON JESUS BLOOD IN CONTRAST WITH THE BLOOD OF BEASTS OFFERED UP UNDER THE OLD COVENANT, WHICH OFFERINGS DID NOT AND COULD NOT CLEANSE THE PEOPLE OF THEIR GUILT. Tor Daor-THIS IS AN EXPRESSION THAT IS FREQUENTLY USED IN THE NEW TESTAMENT TO DESIGNATE THE JEWISH PEOPLE, AND THAT, NO DOUBT, IS THE SENSE IN WHICH IT IS USED HERE. (CP. CH. 2, 17: 7. 27: 9. 7.19.) THE REASON FOR THIS IS NOT HARD TO FIND. FOR ONE THING, THE AUTHOR IS WRITING TO HEBREWS. THEN, TOO, IN THIS PASSAGE AND THE PRECEDING VERSE HE REFERS DIRECTLY TO AN OLD TESTAMENT SIN-OFFERING WHICH GOD HAD OR DAINED FOR THE PEOPLE OF ISRAEL. BUT BY NO MEANS ARE WE TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE AUTHOR LIMITS THE ATONEMENT OF CHRIST TO THE ISRAELITES. SELF, FOR IN CH. 2, 9 HE SAYS THAT JESUS TASTED OF DEATH FOR EVERY MAN, AND IN CH. 2, 15 HE SAYS THAT JESUS CAME TO "DELI-VER THEM. WHO THROUGH FEAR OF DEATH WERE ALL THEIR LIFETIME SUBJECT TO BONDAGE", WHICH, OF COURSE, APPLIES TO ALL MEN. THE STATEMENT, EEW TYS TUDYS, IS VERY INTERESTING. HERE THE AUTHOR REFERS TO THE FACT THAT JESUS WAS CRUCIFIED OUT-SIDE OF THE GATES OF JERUSALEM, AND HE CONNECTS THIS WITH THE OLD TESTAMENT PRACTICE OF BURNING THE BODIES OF THE BEASTS.

WHOSE BLOOD WAS USED AS A SIN-OFFERING IN THE TABERNACLE, OUT-SIDE OF THE CAMP. THE POINT OF THE AUTHOR IS CLEAR. HE WANTS TO SHOW HIS READERS THAT JESUS' ALL-SUFFICIENT SACRIFICE FOR THE SINB OF MEN WAS FREED FROM ALL COMMUNION WITH JUDAISM, BECAUSE IT WAS PERFORMED OUTSIDE OF THE "CAMP" ($\vec{e} \notin \omega \tau \eta s \tau \delta \eta s$), AND THAT THEREFORE THOSE WHO DESIRE TO EAT OF THE ALTAR OF CHRIST (V. 10) MUST DEPART OUT OF JUDAISM AND GO FORTH UNTO CHRIST WITHOUT THE CAMP (V. 13).

SOME COMMENTATORS HAVE FOISTED SOME VERY FAR-FETCHED IN-TERPRETATIONS ON THIS EEW TYS TICAYS . FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE "EXPOSITOR'S GREEK TESTAMENT" WE FIND THE FOLLOWING COMMENT ON CH. 13, 12: "THE BURNING OF THE VICTIM (I.E., THE BEASTS BURNED OUTSIDE OF THE CAMP UNDER THE OLD COVENANT) WAS NOT IN-TENDED TO SUBLIMATE BUT TO GET RID OF IT. THE BODY PLAYS NO PART IN THE ATONING ACT, AND HAS IN FACT NO SIGNIFICANCE AFTER THE BLOOD HAS BEEN DRAINED FROM IT. THE LIFE, AND THEREFORE THE ATONING ENERGY, RESIDES IN THE BLOOD AND IN THE BLOOD ALONE. ON THE WRITER'S SCHEME, THEN, NO FUNCTION IS LEFT FOR THE BODY OF JESUS. IT IS THROUGH HIS OWN BLOOD, THAT HE MUST SANCTIFY THE PEOPLE. IT IS THUS INEVITABLE THAT WHILE THE WRITER FULLY RECOGNIZES THE FACT OF THE RESURRECTION OF CHRIST (V. 20), HE CAN ASSI ON NO PLACE TO IN HIS ARGUMENT OR ATTACH TO IT ANY THEOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE". --- IF THE AUTHOR CAN ATTACH NO THEOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE TO THE RESUR-RECTION OF CHRIST, THEN WHAT IS HE TALKING ABOUT IN CH. 13, 20, WHERE HE SAYS: "NOW THE GOD OF PEACE, THAT BROUGHT AGAIN

FROM THE DEAD OUR LORD JESUS, THAT GREAT SHEPHERD OF THE SHEEP, THROUGH THE BLOOD OF THE EVERLASTING COVENANT, MAKE YOU PERFECT IN EVERY GOOD WORK TO DO HIS WILL, WORKING IN YOU THAT WHICH IS WELLPLEASING IN HIS SIGHT, THROUGH JESUS CHRISTS TO WHOM BE GLORY FOREVER AND EVER. AMEN."

 $E\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon v - this is the important word of the passage, as$ far as our purposes are concerned. Jesus suffered, and Hesuffered in such a way as to shed His own blood. If anyonewould say that the sufferings of Jesus were not human sufferings, let him note that the author here connects Jesus ¹ suffering with His blood. Any suffering that is connected withthe shedding of human blood, is human suffering. Here, then,is another proof of the true humanity of Christ.

SO FAR WE HAVE BEEN CONSIDERING ONLY PASSAGES WHICH AS-CRIBE TO JESUS HUMAN <u>SUFFERING</u>. LET US NOW LOOK AT SOME OF THE PASSAGES THAT ASCRIBE <u>DEATH</u> TO HIM. IN CH. 13, 20 WE READ: O Sè Oeos Tus eigúvas, o ἀναχαχών eκ νεκρών... Tov κύριον μμῶν Lysoūv-("Now the God of peace, the one who brought AGAIN FROM THE DEAD.... OUR LORD JESUS...") THE EXPRESSION, O Deos Tus eigúvas , "The God of peace", is very common. (CF. ROM. 15, 33; 16, 20; Phil. 4, 9; 2 COR. 13, 11; 1 THESS. 5, 23.) IT CAN BE UNDERSTOOD AS MEANING EITHER "THE GOD OF BALVATION", WHO BRINGS PEACE TO MEN BY BESTOWING HIS SALVA-TION ON THEM, OR "THE GOD OF PEACE", i.e., God, who produces PEACE. IN THIS PARTICULAR VERSE IT COULD BE TAKEN EITHER WAY. THE PARTICIPLE, O ἀναχαχών, FURTHER CHARACTERIZES

GOD AS THE GOD WHO RAISED CHRIST FROM THE DEAD AND THUS SANG-TIONED AND ATTESTED HIS REDEMPTIVE WORK. SOME HAVE TRIED TO READ INTO THIS $\delta v \kappa_{J} \kappa_{J} \omega v$ the idea of Jesus' exaltation into HEAVEN, BUT IT IS HARDLY PROPER TO DO THIS BINGE IT IS EXPRES-SLY CONNECTED WITH $\delta \kappa v \kappa_{J} \omega v$. The word $v \kappa_{J} \omega s$ means, "de-GEASED, DEPARTED, DEAD". As IT IS USED IN THIS PASSAGE IT SIMPLY MEANS THAT JESUS WAS NUMBERED AMONG THE DEAD AND THAT GOD BROUGHT HIM FROM AMONG THEM. HERE, THEN, WE HAVE A CLEAR TESTIMONY TO THE FACT THAT CHRIST WAS AMONG THE DEAD. HE DIED. A PROOF OF HIS HUMANITY?; YES, BEGAUSE MEN DIE.

IN GH. 2, 14 WE READ! ETEL OUV TA TALSLA KEKOLVWVMKEV $\lambda'\mu\lambda\tauos kal Japkos, kal autos Tapath Mytus <math>\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\sigma\chi\epsilonv$ τ uv autwv, iva dia tou bavatou katapymyty tov to koatos $\epsilon\chiovta tou bavatou, tout'éstlv tov Slabov- ("Singe then the$ GHILDREN ARE SHARERS OF FLESH AND BLOOD, HE HIMSELF ALSO INTHE SAME WAY TOOK PART OF THE SAME, THAT THROUGH DEATH HE MIGHTBRING TO NOUGHT HIM THAT HAS THE POWER OF DEATH, THAT IS, THEDEVIL...") THE WORDS THAT CONCERN US HERE ARE: iva dia tou $<math>\thetaavatou katapymyty tov to koatos exover of death, that is, the$ DEVIL...") THE WORDS THAT CONCERN US HERE ARE: iva dia tou $<math>\thetaavatou katapymyty tov to koatos exovta tou <math>\thetaavatou,$ tout'éstlv tov Slabov. (For comments on the first part of this verse see P. BFF.) $\Thetaavatos -$ "the death of the Body, i.e., THAT SEPARATION OF THE SOUL FROM THE BODY BY WHICH THE LIFE ON EARTH IS ENDED" (THAYER). Katapymyty - expresses the idea of DEPRIVING SOMETHING OR SOMEONE OF FORCE, INFLUENCE, OR POWER. Tov to koatos exovta tou $\thetaavatou,$ tout'éstlv tov Slaboro -

16. Querda in Languentan, an. 01200 P. 441.

"HIM HAVING THE POWER OF DEATH, THAT IS, THE DEVIL". THE STATEMENT THAT THE DEVIL HAS THE POWER OR RULE OF DEATH CAN BEST BE UNDERSTOOD IN THE LIGHT OF ROM. 5, 12, SIN ENTERED INTO THE WORLD AS A RESULT OF THE ENTIGEMENT OF THE DEVIL, AND WITH SIN CAME DEATN. MEN DIE BEGAUSE OF SIN, AND THE FATHER OF SIN IS THE DEVIL. IN VIEW OF THIS, AND KEEPING IN MIND HOW SATAN USES THE THOUGHT OF DEATH, BOTH TEMPORAL AND ETERNAL, TO FILL MEN'S MEARTS WITH TERROR, IT IS GLEAR WHY THE AUTHOR OF HEBREWS SPEAKS, OF THE DEVIL AS HAVING THE POWER OF DEATH. IT WAS THIS TERRIBLE RULE OF THE DEVIL THAT JESUS GAME TO BRING TO NOUGHT. AND HOW DID HE DO IT?---BY DYING HIMSELF. BENGEL NOTES THAT HERE WE HAVE A PARADOX. HE SAYS: "JESUS MORTEM PASSUS VICIT; DIABOLUS MORTEM VIBRANS SUCCU-BUIT".¹⁴

IN ORDER TO CONQUER DEATH JESUS DIED. IN ORDER TO DIE HE BECAME A "SHARER OF FLESH AND BLOOD", AS THE AUTHOR POINTS OUT IN THE FIRST PART OF THIS VERSE. ONCE AGAIN WE ARE FACED WITH AN INCONTROVERTABLE CONCLUSION: JESUS HAS A TRUE HUMAN NATURE, FOR HE DIED.

IN CH. 2, 9 WE READ:.... STIWS X X PITI DEGU STIEP TIXVTOS YEUTYTAL DAVATOU - ("...THAT HE BY THE GRACE OF GOD SHOULD TASTE DEATH FOR EVERY MAN".) THE FIRST THING WE NOTE HERE IS THAT THERE IS A VARIANT READING. IN SOME MANUSCRIPTS THE WORDS, XWPIS DEGU, APPEAR INSTEAD OF X PLTI DEGU. THE READING, XWPIS DEGU, MUST BE REJECTED SINCE IT IS

14. QUOTED IN LUNEMANN, OP. CIT., P. 441.

FOUND ONLY IN A FEW MINOR MANUSCRIPTS. FURTHERMORE, IF IT IS CONNECTED WITH XEUTYTAL BAVATOU , IT COULD POSSIBLY EXPRESS A THOUGHT THAT IS ENTIRELY ALIEN TO THE HOLY SCRIP-TURES, NAMELY, THE IDEA THAT JESUS TASTED DEATH WITHOUT HIS DEITY. THE NESTORIANS MADE USE OF THIS READING TO EXPRESS THE NOTION THAT JESUS TASTED DEATH "WITHOUT GOD", I.E., WITH-OUT THE PARTICIPATION OF HIS GODHEAD, WITH THE MERE SHARING OF HIS HUMANITY IN DEATH. SUCH A THOUGHT IS. OF COURSE. UN-SCRIPTURAL AND IS THEREFORE TO BE REJECTED. IN ALL PROBAB-ILITY THE WORDS, XWOIS DEOU , WERE ADDED AS A GLOSS TO THE WORDS OF V. 8, "HE LEFT NOTHING THAT IS NOT PUT UNDER HIM", BECAUSE OF ST. PAUL'S STATEMENT IN I COR. 15, 27, "BUT WHEN HE SAITH, ALL THINGS ARE PUT UNDER HIM, IT IS MANIFEST THAT HE IS EXCEPTED, WHICH DID PUT ALL THINGS UNDER HIM". A LATER TRANSCRIBER THEN ERRONEOUSLY REGARDED THIS GLOSS AS A CORRECTION OF XAPITI DEOU IN V. 9 AND INSERTED IT INTO THAT VERSE. 15

What are we to understand by the statement that Jesus "Tasted death" by the grace of God ($\chi \not \alpha \rho (\tau (\theta \in \sigma \sigma))$? Nothing else than this, that it was the grace and love of God that were the supreme cause of the redeeming death of Christ (cp. Rom. 5, 8; Gal. 2, 21.). This truth is brought into clearer light by $\dot{\sigma} \dot{\pi} \dot{e} \rho$ $\pi \alpha v \tau \dot{\sigma} s$. $\pi \alpha v \tau \sigma s$ as it is used here means "everyone", and connected with $\dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{e} \rho$ it ex-

15. CF. LUNEMANN, OP. CIT., P. 421-422.

PRESSES THE IDEA THAT JESUS TASTED DEATH ON BEHALF OF EVERY-ONE. THE AUTHOR, IT IS TO BE NOTED, USES THE SINGULAR, IN ORDER TO BRING OUT THE BLESSED TRUTH THAT CHRIST DIED ON BE-HALF OF EACH INDIVIDUAL IN THE NUMAN RACE. THE XAPIS GEOU IS NOW CLEAR. IT WAS THE GRACE AND LOVE OF GOD FOR ALL MEN THAT MOVED HIM TO SEND HIS ONLY-BEGOTTEN SON INTO THE WORLD TO "TASTE DEATH". TEUTYTAL OXVATOU- REPRESENTS THE EXPERIENCE OF DEATH UNDER THE FIGURE OF TASTING OF THE SAME. THIS SAME FIGURE IS USED IN MK. 9, 1; LK. 9, 27; JN. 8, 52, AND IS EVIDENTLY ONLY A MORE SIGNIFICANT EXPRESSION FOR THE ORDINARY KTO OVYTKELV . IN VIEW OF THIS IT IS DOUBTFUL THAT THE AUTHOR USED THE EXPRESSION TO CONVEY THE IDEA OF THE BRIEF DURATION OF CHRIST'S DEATH, OR THE IDEA OF THE BITTERNESS OF DEATH. BUT WHETHER THE AUTHOR HAD SUCH THINGS IN MIND, CR NOT, THE FACT REMAINS THAT IN THIS PASSAGE HE ASCRIBES DEATH TO JESUS, AND THUS WE HAVE ANOTHER PROOF OF THE TRUE HUMAN-ITY OF CHRIST.

a set a set in the set

.

SUMMARY OF THE FIRST PART

THE FACT THAT OUR LORD JESUS CHRIST HAS A TRUE HUMAN NATURE IS GLEARLY PROVED BY THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS. IT ASCRIBES TO HIM:

- 1. HUMAN NAMES, CH. 2, 6
- 2. HUMAN FLESH AND BLOOD, CH. 2, 14; 10, 19.20
- 3. HUMAN DESCENT, CH. 7, 14
- 4. A HUMAN BODY, CH. 10, 10
- 5. HUMAN EMOTIONS, CH. 5, 7
- 6. HUMAN SUFFERING AND DEATH, CH. 2, 10; 13, 12; 13, 20;

2, 14; 2, 9

THAT JESUS HAD A REALLY HUMAN BIRTH IS NOT SPECIFICALLY STATED, BUT IT IS CERTAINLY IMPLIED IN CH. 2, 17.

CHEFTER ONE: THE EFISTLE TO, THE HEARING ASCRIBED TO CHESET'S RUMAN BATURE PEPFERT SIRLERBREES.

other man (opcoutings, admaughtanveates), neventhatees

DIVICUALES) THAT ARE NOT FOUND IN OTHER DUMAN BEITERS.

A MARKEN I STATE

THE PECULIARITIES OF CHRIST'S HUMAN NATURE.

mer doxieppies, orion, KKKKes, AMILYTOS, KEXWEITREVOS

IN AR. 7, 25-27 VE READS TOLOUTOS SAP MINTY NAL STIDE-

HE REAR DATUPE HAR GERTALS PEOPLEARITIES (PROPRIETATES IN-

άντιφερείν, επειτα των του λασύ.-(«σαα εσαα α μισα παιε ατ απο αεσομισε το σο, ποιν, πακαιεπο, καστικο, απρασατε γκου, ει απαθε, παο ακτομα ει σκαε τμαυ της καταπε, απο οσας πος καιο ολιιν, αο της μισα γσιτατο, το αγγας σε απακισιατε, εια τ γου αια σνα πισα, απο τηςε τοπ ταστε σε τως επογιε".) Τοι συτος- δαγεπό αποκ το αιι τματ απα ασακά παιο ασους α γπετεγτασα αρτικό τως αποτε σε απιστικομα, "δυση" ο πισα γποτες τως εποσες σε τακε (στηρετικο) σου σου του αποκο τηςε συ αια τιτα τους ο τως εποσιετασιώτεισε ος τοιο κασα γαιαστ.

"Orters- "superiles by his, sweet resu uscheduess, pure, maly". In the Septempint the word in ones onterly as a verseCHAPTER ONE: THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES TO CHRIST'S Human Nature perfect sinlessness.

ALTHOUGH JESUS IS TRUE MAN, CONSUBSTANTIAL WITH ALL OTHER MEN $(\delta\mu o \delta \omega \sigma i \alpha s$, consubstantialis), nevertheless HIS HUMAN NATURE HAS CERTAIN PECULIARITIES (PROPRIETATES IN-DIVIDUALES) THAT ARE NOT FOUND IN OTHER HUMAN BEINGS. Several of these peouliarities are spoken of in the Epistle TO THE HEBREWS. THE FIRST ONE WE SHALL NOTE IS CHRIST'S PERFECT SINLESSNESS.

ΙΝ ΟΝ. 7, 26-27 WE READI ΤΟΙΟυΤΟς γαρ ήμιν και έπρεπεν αρχιερεύς, ότιος, «κ«κος, αμίαντος, κεχωριτμένος απο των αμαρτωλών, και ύψηλότερος των ουρανών γενόμενος. ός ούκ έχει καθ ήμεραν αναγκην, ώτπεροί αρχιερείς, πρότερον ύπερ των ιδίων αμαρτιών θυτίας αναφέρειν, έπειτα των του λαου. -

("For such a high priest was becoming to us, holy, harmless, undefiled, separate from sinners, and become higher than the heavens. Who does not need daily, as the high priests, to offer up bacrifices, first for his own sins, and then for those of the people".) Toloutos – refers back to all that has been said about a priesthood after the order of Melchizedek. "Such" a high priest ($lpha \rho \chi_{lep} e us$) was fitting ($e \pi \rho e \pi e v$) for us. The author then proceeds to give some of the characteristics of this high priest.

OCLOS- "UNDEFILED BY SIN, FREE FROM WICKEDNESS, PURE, HOLY". IN THE SEPTUAGINT THE WORD IS USED CHIEFLY AS A TRANS- LATION OF Tマラブ.

 \dot{A} κακος - "WITHOUT GUILE OR FRAUD, HARMLESS, FREE FROM GUILT". CHRYSOSTOM SAYS: \dot{A} κακος τί έστιν; \dot{A} πόνμρος, ουχ ύπουλος. και ότι τοιοῦτος, ακουε τοῦ προφήτου λεγοντες. Ουδε ευρεθη δόλος εν τῷ στόματι ἀυτοῦ. (ISA. 53, 9).¹⁶

"NOT DEFILED, UNSOILED, FREE FROM THAT BY WHICH THE NATURE OF A THING IS DEFORMED AND DEBASED, OR ITS FORCE AND VIGOR IMPAIRED". (CP. JS. 1, 27; 1 PET. 1, 4.)

Kexupituevos and two apaptus wv - "separatedfrom sinners". Some commentators look upon this as a reference to Jesus' exaltation in heaven, where He is separated from sinners and cannot be defiled by them.¹⁷ They point to the practice of the Old Testament high priests who had to preserve themselves free from defilement (Lev. 21, 10ff), and cite the Talmud which states that before the great day of atonement the high priest had to spend seven days in the temple, apart from his family, in order to be secured against defilement. But such a view is untenable. During all the days of His sojourn on earth Jesus was in almost constant contact with sinful men and was never defiled by them. Why then must He be taken into heaven to be separated from sinners, so as not to be defiled by them? Furthermore, if, according to the example of the Old Testa-

16. QUOTED IN LUNEMANN, OP. CIT., P. 574 (FOOTNOTE). 17. LUNEMANN, BLEEK, THOLUCK, DAVIDSON, ET AL. MENT, JESUS HAD TO SEPARATE HIMSELF FROM SINNERS TO PROTECT HIMSELF FROM BECOMING DEFILED, WHY DID THIS SEPARATION TAKE PLACE AFTER THE SACRIFICE ON CALVARY? IT SEEMS TO US THAT IF ANY SEPARATION FROM SINNERS WAS NEEDED TO GUARD AGAINST DEFILEMENT (ACCORDING TO THE EXAMPLE OF THE OLD TESTAMENT HIG: PRIESTS), IT SHOULD HAVE TAKEN PLACE <u>BEFORE</u> THE SACRI-FIGE. THEREFORE WE HOLD THAT $KeXwpicT\mu evos \lambda \pi \delta \tau w v$ $\lambda \mu dp \tau w \lambda w we have simply that Jesus was separated from sinners$ BECAUSE OF HIS SINLESSNESS. THE EXPRESSION WERELY REVEALSHOW DIFFERENT JESUS WAS FROM OTHER WEN IN THIS RESPECT. $<math>\tilde{Y} \mu \lambda \delta \tau e pos \tau w v \delta v w Jevo \mu evo \mu evo s when the the ment of the second$ HEAVENS", CLEARLY REFERS TO THE ASSEPSION INTO HEAVEN.

THUS THE WRITER OF THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASGRIGES TO THE HUMAN NATURE OF CHRIST PERFECT SINLESSNESS. THAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT CHRIST'S HUMAN NATURE IS GLEAR FROM THE FACT THAT HE ASCRIBES THIS SINLESSNESS TO OUR GREAT HIGH PRIEST, AND THE HUMANITY OF CHRIST CAN NOT BE SEPARATED FROM HIS HIGH-PRIESTLY OFFICE. HE IS VERY EMPHATIC ABOUT THIS SINLESSNESS, AS CAN BE SEEN FROM HIS CHOICE OF WORDS.

HE GOES ON IN THE NEXT VERSE (V. 27) TO SAY SOMETHING ELSE ABOUT THIS SINLESSNESS OF CHRIST. OS OUR EXEL... waykyv-literally, "WHO DOES NOT HAVE NECESSITY", Kad ymepav- "DAILY", OR "DAY BY DAY", WOTTER OL ARXIEPETS - "AS THE HIGH PRIESTS", THE HIGH PRIESTS OF THE OLD COVENANT, TROTEROV UTER TWV ILLWV AMARTIWV BUTLAS AVAREPELV, ETELTA TWV TOU $\lambda \propto 00$ - "FIRST FOR HIS OWN SINS TO OF- FER UP SACRIFICE, THEN FOR THE PEOPLE'S". THE AUTHOR HERE STATES THAT THE OLD TESTAMENT HIGH PRIESTS OFFERED UP SACRI-FIGES DAILY, FIRST FOR THEIR OWN SINS, AND THEN FOR THE SINS OF THE PEOPLE. THIS STATEMENT HAS PUZZLED MANY COMMENTATORS BECAUSE THEY ARE OF THE OPINION THAT THE OLD TESTAMENT HIGH PRIESTS DID NOT OFFER UP SUCH SACRIFICES EVERY DAY. HOWEVER. IN I CHRON. 16, 40 WE ARE TOLD THAT THE PRIESTS OFFERED UP BURNT OFFERINGS UNTO THE LORD CONTINUALLY "MORNING AND EVEN-ING ". JOSEPHUS ALSO STATES THAT THE HIGH PRIESTS WENT UP TO THE TEMPLE WITH THE OTHER PRIESTS TO TAKE PART IN THE SACRI-FICIAL SERVICE AS OFTEN AS THEY WERE SO MINDED. 18 PHILO ALSO REMARKS THAT IN THE DAILY SACRIFICE THE PRIESTS OFFERED THE OBLATION FOR THEMSELVES, BUT THE LANBS FOR THE PEOPLE. 19 IN ANOTHER PASSAGE HE STATES THAT THE HIGH PRIEST OFFERED A DAL-LY SACRIFICE. 20 THE TALMUD ALSO TESTIFIES TO THIS POINT. THEREFORE, THERE IS NO NEED FOR US TO BE IN DOUBT IN THIS MATTER, NEITHER IS IT NECESSARY FOR US TO MAKE THE AUTHOR SAY SOMETHING HE OBVIOUSLY DOES NOT INTEND TO SAY, AS FOR EXAMPLE, TO FORCE UPON KXB' MEPKY THE SIGNIFICATION, "YEARLY, ON A DEFINITE DAY".

18. CP. JOSEPHUS, DE BELLO JUDAICO, V., 5.7.

19. PHILO, QUIS RER. DIVIN. HAER., P. 505 A (WITH MANGEY 1. P. 497.)

20. PHILO, DE SPECIALL. LEGG., P. 797 E (WITH MANGEY, 11, P. 321.) THE OLD TESTAMENT HIGH PRIESTS WERE COMPELLED TO OFFER DAILY SACRIFICES FIRST FOR THEIR OWN SINS, AND THEN FOR THE SINS OF THE PEOPLE. BUT, AS THE AUTHOR POINTS OUT, IT WAS NOT NECESSARY FOR JESUS, THE GREAT HIGH PRIEST, TO OFFER UP SAGRIFICES FOR HIS OWN SINS. WHY?--BECAUSE HE WAS SINLESS.

AT THE END OF V. 27 WE READ: TOUTO JAP ETTOLYTEN Equ-TAE EQUION QVEVENERS - "FOR THIS HE DID ONCE, WHEN HE OFFERED UP HIMSELF". SOME COMMENTATORS MAKE THIS STATEMENT REFER BACK TO ALL OF V. 27, WITH THE RESULT THAT THE STATEMENT THEN IMPLIES THAT JESUS HAD TO OFFER UP A SACRIFICE FOR HIS OWN EMEPTICAL , TOOL THEY THEN PROCEED TO GIVE A WATERED-DOWN DEFINITION OF THE EMAPTIAL OF JESUS, WHICH IS CON-TRARY TO ALL SCRIPTURE, AND TO THE LINGUISTIC USE OF A HAP-TIRE . THIS, OF COURSE, IS NONSENSE. THE STATEMENT, TOUTO WEVEYKAS IS FORCED BY THE CONTEXT (VV. 26 AND 28) TO REFER TO THE OFFERING UP OF A SACRIFICE FOR THE SINS OF THE PEOPLE. THIS JESUS DID ONCE WHEN HE "OFFERED UP HIMSELF". THE POINT THAT THE AUTHOR WANTS TO BRING OUT IN VV. 26 AND 27 IS THAT THE OLD TESTAMENT PRIESTS WERE SINFUL AND INFIRM AND HAD TO REPEAT THEIR SACRIFICES OVER AND OVER AGAIN, BUT JESUS IS PERFECTLY SINLESS, AND THE ONE SACRIFICE HE OFFERED UP IS PERFECT AND COMPLETE. IT IS A CONTRASTING OF SINFUL-NESS WITH SINLESSNESS, OF MANY SACRIFICES WITH ONE SACRIFICE.

IN THIS PASSAGE (CH. 7, 26.27) THE WRITER OF THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES ABSOLUTE SINLESSNESS TO JESUS. IT WOULD BE UTTER FOLLY TO ASCRIBE SIN OF ANY KIND TO HIM IN

THE FACE OF THIS PASSAGE. TO DO SO WOULD ONLY CONTRADICT DIRECTLY WHAT THE INSPIRED WRITER TELLS US HERE. THEREFORE WE HOLD, ON THE BASIS OF THIS PASSAGE, THAT CHRIST WAS NOT ONLY FREE FROM ACTUAL SIN (PEGCATUM ACTUALE), BUT ALSO FROM ORIGINAL SIN (PEGCATUM ORIGINALE). IT IS TRUE THAT HE AS-SUMED HUMAN FLESH AND RLOCE (CH. 2, 14), BUT IN SO DOING HE DID NOT BECOME A SHARER OF THE HEREDITARY GORRUPTION OR THE HEREDITARY GUILT WHICH ARE IMPUTED TO ALL OTHER MEN. HOW IT WAS POSSIBLE FOR JESUS TO DO THIS IS, OF GOURSE, EX-PLAINED BY THE FACT THAT HIS CONCEPTION WAS OF A SUPERNATURAL NATURE. HE WAS CONCEIVED BY THE HOLY GHOST (MT. 1, 18; LK. 1, 35). HE DID NOT COME FROM SINFUL SEED.

IF IT BE ARGUED THAT SINCE JESUS WAS WITHOUT ORIGINAL SIN HE COULD NOT HAVE HAD A TRUE HUMAN NATURE, BEGAUSE ALL MEN BY NATURE ARE TAINTED WITH ORIGINAL SIN, WE REPLY THAT SUCH AN ARGUMENT IS NOT VALID. SIN DOES NOT BELONG TO THE ESSENCE OF MAN. IT IS AN "ACCIDENS", SOMETHING THAT HUMAN NATURE HAS ACQUIRED AS A RESULT OF THE FALL. THEREFORE, BEING A MAN DOES NOT NECESSARILY INVOLVE BEING A SINNER, AND THUS IT WAS WITH JESUS. HE WAS, INDEED, A TRUE MAN, BUT HE WAS A MAN WITHOUT SIN. BUT THAT VERY FACT DISTIN-GUISHES HIM FROM ALL OTHER MEN, FOR ALL OTHER MEN ARE SIN-NERS AND ARE TAINTED WITH THE CORRUPTION OF ADAM.

THE SINLESSNESS OF CHRIST IS ATTESTED IN ANOTHER PAS-SAGE OF THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS. IN CH. 4, 15 WE READ: où jàp éxomer apxiepéa my Luvá meror Jumia Oy Jac

TAIS $\lambda T \theta \in v \in (\lambda (S, M \mu \overline{w} v), T \in T \in (\rho \wedge V \mu \in v \circ v) \ \delta \in K \wedge T \times T \wedge v \tau \times K \wedge \theta' \cap (v \tau \wedge T \wedge v)$ K $\wedge \theta' \cap (v \tau \wedge T \wedge v)$ PRIEST WHO IS NOT A BLE TO HAVE COMPASSIONATE FEELING FOR OUR WEAKNESSES, BUT WAS TEMPTED IN ALL RESPECTS LIKE AS WE ARE, WITHOUT SIN".) WE SHALL CONSIDER THE LAST PART OF THIS PASSAGE FIRST. ON KATA TIAVTA SEE THE COMMENTS ON CH. 2, 17 (P. 16 FF.) K $\wedge \theta' \circ \mu \circ (\circ \tau \eta \tau \times - " \circ n)$ LIKE MANNER". JESUS WAS TEMPTED "IN ALL THINGS, IN ALL RESPECTS" (K $\wedge \tau \times \tau \times - \tau \times - 1$) "IN LIKE MANNER" (K $\wedge \theta' \circ \mu \circ (\circ \tau \eta \tau \times - 1)$ To US, BUT IN HIS CASE IT WAS $\chi w \rho \circ S \wedge \eta \wedge \eta \tau \circ S \wedge 0$.

Some commentators try to read into the expression, "without Sin", the idea that it was possible for Jesus to sin, but that even when subjected to temptation He overcame and remained without sin. Such a notion, however, is not compatible with the teaching of Holy Scripture. Christ's human nature was received into the $\lambda \circ_{f} \circ s$, and therefore we must deny that in Him there was even the possibility of sinning. (Cf. Jn. 8, 46; 1 Pet. 1, 19.)

BUT IF THAT WAS THE CASE, WERE THE TEMPTATIONS THAT JESUS ENDURED, <u>REAL</u> TEMPTATIONS? YES, THEY WERE. THAT IS ONE OF THE MAIN POINTS WHICH THE AUTHOR WISHES TO BRING OUT IN THIS VERSE. HE SAYS: OU YAP EXOMEV APXIEPED MY SUVAMENOV JUMTIA BY JAI TAIS AT BEVELAIS JUMV - ("FOR WE DO NOT HAVE A HIGH PRIEST WHO IS NOT ABLE TO HAVE COMPASSIONATE FEELING FOR OUR WEAKNESSES".) SUMTIA BY JAI- "TO HAVE SYM-PATHY, COMPASSIONATE FEELING"; TAIS AT BEVELAIS-"WEAKNESSES,

INFIRMITIES", HERE ESPECIALLY DENOTES WEAKNESS IN RESTRAIN-ING THE PROCLIVITY TO SIN. 21 WITH THESE WORDS THE AUTHOR TELLS US THAT WE DO NOT HAVE A HIGH PRIEST WHO IS UNABLE TO HAVE COMPASSIONATE FEELING FOR OUR WEAKNESSES, ESPECIALLY OUR WEAKNESS IN KEEPING OUR SELVES FROM FALLING INTO SIN. NOW THE QUESTION IS, IF JESUS DID NOT ENDURE REAL TEMPTA-TIONS, IF HIS WERE ONLY SHAM TEMPTATIONS, HOW COULD HE HAVE COMPASSIONATE FEELING FOR OUR WEAKNESS IN THE FACE OF SIN AND TEMPTATION? THE AUTHOR'S POINT IS ONLY TOO GLEARS JESUS, OUR HIGH PRIEST, HAS A COMPASSI ONATE FEELING FOR OUR WEAKNESSES BECAUSE HE ENDURED THE SAME TEMPTATIONS WE MUST IT IS HOPELESS TO ARGUE THAT JESUS DID NOT ENDURE REAL TEM PTATIONS BECAUSE IT WAS IMPOSSIBLE FOR HIM TO SIN. SUCH A LINE OF REASONING ONLY CONTRADICTS WHAT THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS AND ALL SCRIPTURE HAVE TO SAY ON THE SUR-JECT. on our economic of Tacin Ashrotasts. . Set is the table

This same point is brought out by the author in ch. 2, 18: $ev & a a p \pi e \pi ov \theta ev a v tos \pi e i p a t \theta e is, d v a t a t t t t i s t e i p a Gomevous Boy <math>\theta m \tau a i - ("For in that he himself suffered$ Being tempted, he is able to help those that are tempted".) Ev <math>w"IN that, bince"; $Boy \theta m \tau a i - has the basic meaning of$ "Run to the cry of (those in danger)", and prom that is de-Rived the meaning, "to help, succor, bring aid". What the author tells us here is simply this; bince jesus Himself

21. CF. THAYER, OP. CIT., P. 80, XTDEVELX.

SUFFERED BEING TEMPTED, HE IS ABLE TO BRING AID TO US, WHO ARE IN A CONTINUAL STATE (TEIPE ONEVOS) OF BEING TEMP-TED. AGAIN THE EMPHASIS IS ON THE FACT THAT JESUS ENDURED REAL TEMPTATIONS. THAT IS WHY HE IS 30 ABLY SUITED TO GIVE HELP TO US IN OUR TEMPTATIUNS.

JESUS ENDURED REAL TE APTATION FOR OUR SAKE, BUT HE DID IT Xwpis Audptics (ch. 4, 15). HE BECAME OUR BROTHER (ch. 2, 17) "IN ALL THINGS", EVEN ENDURING TEMPTATIONS SUCH AS OURS, BUT THROUGH IT ALL HE WAS WITHOUT SIN. AND THAT IS ONE THING THAT SETS HIM APART FROM ALL OTHER MEN, EVEN THOUGH HE WAS A TRUE MAN HIMSELF ---- HE IS ABSOLUTELY SIN-LESS.

IN ROM. 5, 12, WE ARE TOLD: "WHEREFORE, AS BY ONE MAN SIN ENTERED INTO THE WORLD, AND DEATH BY SIN; AND SO DEATH PASSED UPON ALL MEN, FOR THAT ALL HAVE SINNED". THIS MEANS THAT MEN DIE BEGAUSE OF THEIR SINFULNESS. SIN IS THE CAUSE OF DEATM. THE WRITER OF THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS REFERS TO THIS TERRIBLE TRUTH IN CH. 2, 14-15, WHERE HE GALLS AT-TENTION TO THE FACT THAT JESUS, THROUGH HIS OWN DEATH, DE-LIVERED "THEM, WHO THROUGH FEAR OF DEATH WERE ALL THEIR LIFETIME SUBJECTED TO BONDAGE".

DEATH COMES TO MEN AS A RESULT OF THEIR SINS. BUT IN THE CASE OF JESUS THIS DID NOT HOLD TRUE. HE WAS SINLESS, FREE FROM THE TAINT OF BOTH ORIGINAL SIN AND ACTUAL SIN, AND THEREFORE HIS HUMAN NATURE WAS IMMORTAL. HE WAS NOT SUBJECT TO DEATH BECAUSE OF THE ABSENCE OF SIN FROM HIS HUMAN NATURE.

THE IMMORTALITY OF CHRIST'S HUMAN NATURE WAS CERTAINLY IN THE MIND OF THE WRITER OF HEBREWS WHEN HE WROTE THE FOLLOW-ING (CH. 7, 24.25): Ó SE Sià TO MEVELV ZUTOV ELS TOV ALTUVA ... ("BUT HE, BECAUSE HE ABIDES UNTO ETERNITY "), ANDS TAVIOTE Gur dis to evrug Xxver UTiep 20 Tur- ("HE EVERMORE LIVES, TO MAKE INTERCESSION FOR THEM".) THAT THE AUTHOR HERE RE-FERS TO THE ETERNAL CHARACTER OF CHRIST'S DIVINE NATURE IS, OF COURSE, OBVIOUS. AT THE SAME TIME LT CANNOT BE DENIED THAT THE IMMORTALITY OF HIS HUMAN NATURE IS ALSO COVERED BY THESE WORDS. IN THIS SECTION (CH. 7, 13-28) THE AUTHOR IS SPEAKING OF JESUS AS OUR HIGH PRIEST, AND WHEN HE SPEAKS OF JESUS AS "ABIDING UNTO ETERNITY" (V. 24) AND "LIVING EVER-MORE" (V. 25). HE IS SAVING THESE THINGS OF HIM AS OUR HIGH PRIEST. BUT CHRIST'S HIGH-PRIESTLY OF FICE IS INSEPARABLY BOUND UP WITH HIS HUMAN NATURE, AND THEREFORE THE STATEMENTS, "HE ABIDES UNTO ETERNITY", AND "HE EVERMORE LIVES", CAN NOT BE FULLY UNDEPSTOOD WITHOUT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE IMMORTALITY OF HIS HUMAN NATURE. FURTHERMORE, IT MUST BE REMEMBERED THAT "JESUS" (V. 22) IS THE NAME GIVEN TO A HUMAN BEING, MT. I, 21.25; LK. 2. 21.

IN REGARD TO THE DEATH OF JESUS WE MUST ALWAYS REMEMBER THAT HE DIED OF HIS OWN WILL AND POWER AS THE SAVIOR OF MEN. HE DID NOT DIE ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OWN SIN (HE WAS BINLESS), BUT ON ACCOUNT OF IMPUTED SIN. (CHRISTUS MORTUUS EST PROPTER PECCATUM IMPUTATUM.) HE LAID DOWN HIS LIFE OF HIS OWN FREE WILL (JN. 10, 18) IN ORDER THAT THROUGH HIS DEATH ALL MEN

MIGHT HAVE ETERNAL LIPE. THIS FACT LIES BEHIND EVERY STATEMENT THAT THE AUTH OR OF HEBREWS MAKES CONCERNING JESUS¹ DEATH. HE ALWAYS SPEAKS OF JESUS AS DYING NOT BECAUSE OF SOME NECESSITY ON HIS OWN PART, BUT PURELY BECAUSE HE DE-SIRED, THROUGH HIS OWN DEATH, TO GAIN BALVATION FOR HIS "BRETHREN". (CF. CH. 2, 14; 9, 26-28; 9, 12-15; 13, 12.)

THE DIFFERENCE ACTIVES JEDDE AND ALL DIRES AND IN THE DECY ONE

THIS PREVENSION OF HERIPTHEAL TENTS HAS THEN BROWN OF ABOUT TO A REATAIN EXTENT OF THE PAILURE OF SATIONALISTIC THEOLEGIASS TO ACCEPT THE DIBLICAL TEACHERS THAT CHOIST'S HUBAR BATHER LARGO VENESUALITY, S.C., THAT IT DED NOT FORM A DISTINCT PERSON IN ITECLF. JEWIN SED NOT CONSIDE OF YOU PERSONS, ONE DIVINE AND THE OTHER HUMAN, NOT OF DES INCIVIDIALE FERSEN IN WHOM WERE UNITED THE DIVINE RATEDE AND THE HUMAN EXTURE.

ΤΗΣΕ ΥΘΟΤΗ, ΝΑΜΕLY, ΤΗΣΤ ΤΗΣ ΑΝΕΛΗ ΠΑΤΟΝΕ ΟΓ CHRIST LECECO & FERRODALITY OF ITS ONE, ΑΣΕΛΜΕΣ ΘΕΣΑΚ ΜΗΣΗ ΤΗΣ SORIPTORAL PARSAGES DESCRICIPES THE INCARDATION AND ATMINE. ALL OF THE OF PARSAGES CAPALICITES THE INCARDATION AND ATMINE. DID FOT ASDESS & NUMBER FERROD IN HIS INCARDATION, SUT OFFT DID FOT ASDESS & NUMBER FERROD IN HIS INCARDATION, SUT OFFT NUMBER DATORS. THE NUMBER SATURE WAS SEREFTED INTO THE FER-DOS OF THE XOLOS. THAT THIS WAS THE SARE IN EFISERST IN SUCH A PARSAGE AS HER. 8. IAL ETC: OUT TO THE SERM DID FOT ASSESSES AS HER. 8. IAL ETC: OUT TO THE SERM DID FOT ASSESSES AS HER. 8. IAL ETC: OUT TO THE SERM DID FOT ASSESSES AS HER. 8. IAL ETC: OUT TO THE SERM DID FOT ASSESSES AS HER. 8. IAL ETC: OUT TO THE SERMIC VIOLANCE ACLUSTICS REAL SUPPRES, REAL AUTOS THEORY AND CLUS CHAPTER TWO: THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES IMPERSO-NALITY TO CHRIST'S HUMAN NATURE.

IN RECENT TIMES RATIONALISTIC THEOLOGY HAS DEPARTED FROM THE SCRIPTURAL DOCTRINE OF THE TWO NATURES OF CHRIST AND HAS SUBSTITUTED A TEACHING THAT MAKES OF JESUS NOTHING MORE THAN A MERE MAN, IN WHOM GOD REVEALED HIMSELF MORE FULLY THAN HE DOES IN OTHER MEN. ACCORDING TO THIS VIEW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN JESUS AND ALL OTHER MEN IS ONLY ONE OF DEGREE, NOT OF KIND.

THIS PERVERSION OF SCRIPTURAL TRUTH HAS BEEN BROUGHT ABOUT TO A CERTAIN EXTENT BY THE FAILURE OF RATIONALISTIC THEOLOGIANS TO ACCEPT THE BIBLICAL TEACHING THAT CHRIST'S HUMAN NATURE LACKED PERSONALITY, I.E., THAT IT DID NOT FORM A DISTINCT PERSON IN ITSELF. JESUS DID NOT CONSIST OF TWO PERSONS, ONE DIVINE AND THE OTHER HUMAN, BUT OF ONE INDIVISIBLE PERSON IN WHOM WERE UNITED THE DIVINE NATURE AND THE HUMAN NATURE.

THIS TRUTH, NAMELY, THAT THE HUMAN NATURE OF CHRIST LACKED A PERSONALITY OF ITS OWN, BECOMES CLEAR WHEN THE SCRIPTURAL PASSAGES DESCRIBING THE INCARNATION ARE STUDIED. ALL OF THE SE PASSAGES EXPLICITLY TEACH THAT THE SON OF GOD DID NOT ASSUME A HUMAN PERSON IN HIS INCARNATION, BUT ONLY HUMAN NATURE. THE HUMAN NATURE WAS RECEIVED INTO THE PER-BON OF THE $\lambda O \chi O S$. THAT THIS WAS THE CASE IS EVIDENT IN SUCH A PASSAGE AS HEB. 2, 14: ETGI OUV TA TALGLA KEKOL-VWVMKEV SCHARTOS KAL TAPKOS, KAL SUTOS TAPKTAMTINS μετέσχεν των ἀυτων-("Singe then the children are sharers of flesh and blood, he himself also in the same way to ok part of the same....") The expression, σαρξ και άτμα designates human nature by pointing to the living human body, compounded of flesh and blood. It usually garries with it the idea of feebleness (cp. Eph. 6, 12, where St. Paul contrasts the weakness of men with the supernatural strength of the powers of darkness), or weakness of understanding, especially in regard to divine things (cp. Gal. 1, 16; Mt. 16, 17.).²² in this verse, then, the author of Hebrews tells us that Jesus, the glorious Son of God (ch. 1), became a partaker of flesh and blood (human nature) together with All other men.

Now IT IS TO BE NOTED THAT THE WRITER OF THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS DOES NOT SAY THAT CHRIST ASSUMED A HUMAN <u>PERSON</u>. He does state, However, that Christ assumed Human <u>NATURE</u>. THE ETERNAL SON OF GOD TO OK UNTO HIMSELF HUMAN FLESH AND BLOOD. HE, THE $\lambda o \gamma o s$, received this human na-

22. IN THE FIRST CHAPTER OF GALATIANS ST. PAUL EMPHA-SIZES THE POINT THAT HE RECEIVED THE GOSPEL NOT FROM MEN, BUT BY THE REVELATION OF JESUS CHRIST. IN THE 16TH VERSE HE POINTS OUT THAT AFTER HIS CONVERSION HE DID NOT CONFER WITH "FLESH AND BLOOD" (THE OTHER APOSTLES), THUS IMPLYING THE FALLIBILITY OF HUMAN NATURE IN REGARD TO DIVINE THINGS. IN MT. 16, 17 JESUS TELLS PETER THAT HIS GLORIOUS CONFESS-ION OF CHRIST WAS NOT REVEALED TO HIM BY MEN ("FLESH AND BLOOD"), BUT BY THE FATHER IN HEAVEN. THUS JESUS ALSO IM-PLIES THE WEAKNESS OF HUMAN UNDERSTANDING IN DIVINE MAT-TERS.

TURE INTO HIS OWN DIVINE PERSON. ACCORDINGLY WE SAY THAT CHRIST'S HUMAN NATURE HAS NO PERSONALITY OF ITS OWN («Vυποστασία), BUT THAT IT SUBSISTS IN THE λόχος (Ενυποστασία).

But this very fact makes the human nature of Christ different from the nature of all other men, even though it was a true human nature. "Quot humanae naturae, tot personae humanae" is perfectly true when applied to all other men, because among men there is no such thing as a human nature without a personality of its own; but this axiom cannot be applied to Christ because his human nature was received into the divine person of the $\lambda o \chi o S$.

SUMMARY OF THE SECOND PART

ALTHOUGH THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS ASCRIBES A TRUE HUMAN NATURE TO CHRIST, IT ALSO ASCRIBES TO HIS HUMAN NATURE CERTAIN PECULIARITIES THAT ARE NOT FOUND IN OTHER HUMAN BEINGS. THEY ARE:

1. SINLESSNESS, CH. 7, 26.27; 2, 18; 4, 15

2. IMMORTALITY, CH. 7, 24.25

3. IMPERSONALITY, CH. 2, 14

MUELLER, JOHN THEODORE, CHRISTIAN DAGMATION, ST. Lauis, Con

BACHES, ALBERT, MOTUS ON THE EPIRTLE TO THE PRANEWS. AND YORK, MARPER AND BROTHERS, 1870.

CORCORDIA PUBLICATIO HOURT, 1910.

SCHEDEDEL, G.G., EFISTLE TO THE HEARENS, ST. LOUIS, CORRECTA BENINARY MINISTRATIST CO.

THAVER, JOSEPH REBRY, & GARER-EMALIES LESISON OF THE REP TERTIMET, OCTOBETED BOITICS, MEN YORK, ANERICAE BOOK Co., 19107

TERTAULEY, THELFTH CHITIGE, BORTON, CROCKER AND BRENETER, TERTAULEY, THELFTH CHITIGE, BORTON, CROCKER AND BRENETER, 1861.

BIBLIQGRAPHY

- MOLL, CARL BERNARD, "DER BRIEF AN DIE HEBRMER", THEOLOGISCH-HOMILETISCHES BIBELWERK, LANGE-SCHAFF, BIELEFELD, VER-LAG VON BELHAGEN UND KLASING, 1865, THEIL 12.
- LUNEMANN, GOTTLIEB, "COMMENTARY ON THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS", <u>Meyer's Commentary on the New Testament</u>, New York, Funk AND WAGNALLS, 1890, Vol. on Tim., Heb., Titus.
- THOLUCK, A., KOMMENTAR ZUM BRIEFE AN DIE HEBRRER, ZWEITE VER-BESSERTE AUFLAGE, HAMBURG, FRIEDRICH PERTHES, 1840.
- LENSKI, R.C.H., THE INTERPRETATION OF THE EPISTLE TO THE HEB-REWS AND OF THE EPISTLE OF JAMES, COLUMBUS, OHIO, LUTHERAN BOOK CONCERN, 1938
- DODS, MARCUS, "THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS", THE EXPOSITOR'S GREEK TESTAMENT, GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN, WM. B. EERDMAN'S PUBLISHING CO., VOL IV.
- BARNES, ALBERT, NOTES ON THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS, NEW YORK, HARPER AND BROTHERS, 1870.
- MUELLER, JOHN THEODORE, CHRISTIAN DOGMATICS, ST. LOUIS, CON-CORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, 1934.
- GRAEBNER, A.L., OUTLINES OF DOCTRINAL THEOLOGY, ST. LOUIS, CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, 1910.
- SCHROEDEL, G.C., EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS, ST. LOUIS, CONCORDIA SEMINARY MIMEOGRAPHING CO.
- THAYER, JOSEPH HENRY, <u>A GREEK-ENGLISH LEXICON OF THE NEW</u> <u>Testament</u>, corrected edition, New York, American Book Co., 1910?
- GESENIUS, WILLIAM, <u>A HEBREW AND ENGLISH LEXICON OF THE OLD</u> <u>TESTAMENT</u>, TWELFTH EDITION, BOSTON, CROCKER AND BREWSTER, 1861.